New Mexico Juvenile Justice Services

Fiscal Year 2016

Annual Report

This page left intentionally blank

State of New Mexico

CHILDREN, YOUTH and FAMILIES DEPARTMENT

SUSANA MARTINEZ
GOVERNOR

JOHN SANCHEZ
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

MONIQUE JACOBSON
CABINET SECRETARY

JENNIFER SAAVEDRA
DEPUTY CABINET SECRETARY

Juvenile Justice Services (JJS) Annual Report

Fiscal Year 2016

(July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016)

Tamera Marcantel, JJS Director
Nick Costales, JJS Deputy Director of Field Services
Jeremy Howard, JJS Data Bureau Chief

Produced by:
JJS Data Bureau
Dr. Jeffrey Toliver, JJS DAU Manager
Stefanie Pepin, JJS Data Analyst
Dago Martinez, JJS Data Analyst
Elisa Alford, JJS Data Analyst
Amanda Olson-Lee, JJS Data Analyst
Steve Brooks, DMC Coordinator

Special thanks for major contributions by:
JJS Applications Analysis Unit
Amanda Trujillo, JJS AAU Manager
Rachel Jaramillo, JJS AAU Operations Analyst
Sonia Jones, JJS AAU Management Analyst
Francesca Kegler, JJS AAU Management Analyst

Comments/suggestions regarding this publication may be emailed to:
JJSDataRequest@state.nm.us

From the Cabinet Secretary

Dear legislators, stakeholders and staff,

I want to thank you for your support and interest in the New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD). The mission of CYFD is to improve the quality of life for our children. We are committed to ensuring that our work is focused on positive outcomes for the children and youth of New Mexico. This annual report outlines the activities, strategic initiatives and performance results for our Juvenile Justice Service (JJS) Division for FY16.

Over the past year, we have been dedicated to executing our agency's strategic plan which will drive our efforts to improve our own performance and actively engage those we work with. This plan includes shoring up our core functions, focusing on abuse and neglect prevention, improving our communications with law enforcement, ensuring that we have sound financial controls within CYFD, and involving our communities in our efforts.

On behalf of the entire CYFD team, thank you for your continued support of our agency. We look forward to working with each and every one of you as we pull together to make New Mexico the best place to be a kid.

Best regards,

Monique Jacobson
Cabinet Secretary

From the
Juvenile Justice Services Director

Dear Stakeholders:

We are pleased to present you with the Fiscal Year 2016 Annual Report of the New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department, Division of Juvenile Justice Services (JJS). The majority of the data for this report is extracted from our case management system (FACTS), which has been operational in JJS since 1999. We hope this information will be useful, not only as it relates to your respective efforts, but in our collaborative commitment to effectively serve the youth and families of New Mexico.

This year under the leadership of Secretary Jacobson our department has been unified under one mission: *To improve the quality of life for our children.* The expanded application for JJS is: *To keep our children safe and to prepare them to be contributing members of society.*

Building on our foundational elements/practices, we will continue to demonstrate resiliency and the sustained commitment to the continuous improvement of the juvenile justice system so that it protects public safety, holds clients accountable, and provides treatment and rehabilitative services tailored to the needs of juveniles and their families. Throughout the system we have established data standards and quality assurance measures to monitor compliance with policy and adopted standards to ensure that our youth are receiving the highest quality services and care.

This report represents the hard work of over 900 JJS employees. Day in and day out they work to make the State of New Mexico a safer place and I commend them for working together to navigate the many challenges we currently face. They perform their duties in an honorable and professional manner while accomplishing the CYFD mission. I remain grateful for their service and blessed to work beside them and with each of you.

Sincerely,

Tamera Marcantel
Director of Juvenile Justice Services

Table Of Contents

Introduction 4

Letter from the Cabinet Secretary....4
Letter from the Juvenile Justice Services Director....5
CYFD mission statement, operating principles, and strategic planks....10
Figure A: Map of Juvenile Justice Services facilities and centers....11
Juvenile Justice System reform efforts....12
Figure B:Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative, Annie E. Casey Foundation....12

Section 1. New Mexico Juvenile Population Served 14

Figure 1-1: Juvenile population, 2000-2015....14
Figure 1-2: Projected juvenile population by gender, 2000 to 2030....15
Figure 1-3: Juvenile population, percent by gender, 2015....15
Figure 1-4: Juvenile population by age and gender, 2015....16
Figure 1-5: Juvenile population, percent by race/ethnicity, 2015....16

Section 2. Client Referral Pathway 17

Figure 2-1: Client referral pathway....17
Figure 2-2: Outcomes for juvenile referrals/arrests (Tree Stats)....18

SECTION 3. JUVENILE REFERRALS 19

Figure 3-1: Juvenile referrals by type....19

Delinquent referrals

Figure 3-2: Juvenile referrals trend....20
Figure 3-3: Juvenile referral clients gender trend....20
Figure 3-4: Juvenile referral clients ethnicity trend....21
Figure 3-5: Juvenile referral clients age trend....21
Table 3-1: Delinquent referral sources....22
Table 3-2:Top 15 offenses for delinquent referrals....22
Figure 3-6: Delinquent referrals by most serious offense level....23
Figure 3-7: Clients with delinquent referrals, percent by gender....23
Figure 3-8: Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity....24
Table 3-3: Delinquent referrals by age group....24
Figure 3-9: Clients with a delinquent referral vs. the total number of delinquent referrals....25
Table 3-4: Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals....25
Table 3-5: Top 15 disposed offenses for delinquent referrals....26

Status (non-delinquent) referrals

Table 3-6: Status referral sources....26
Table 3-7: Offenses for status referrals....27
Figure 3-10: Clients with status referrals, percent by gender....27
Figure 3-11: Status referrals by race/ethnicity....28
Table 3-8: Clients with status referrals by age group....28
Figure 3-12: Clients with a status referral vs. the total number of status referrals....29
Table 3-9: Action taken/disposition for status referrals....29

Probation violation referrals

Table 3-10: Probation violation referral sources....30
Table 3-11: Offenses for probation violations....30
Figure 3-13: Clients with probation violation referrals, percent by gender....31
Figure 3-14: Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity....31
Table 3-12: Clients with probation violations by age group....32
Figure 3-15: Clients with a PV referral vs. the total number of PV referrals....32
Table 3-13: Action taken/disposition for probation violation referrals....33

Section 4. Juvenile Screening and Classification (SDM) 34
Figure 4-1: Client risk level....36
Figure 4-2: Client risk level by gender....36
Table 4-1: Client risk level by race/ethnicity....37
Table 4-2: Client risk level by age group....37
Figure 4-3: Client needs level....38
Figure 4-4: Client needs level by gender....38
Table 4-3: Client needs level by race/ethnicity....39
Table 4-4: Client needs level by age group....39
Table 4-5: Top five client priority needs....40
Table 4-6: Top five client priority strengths....40

Section 5. Juveniles in Secure Facilities 41
Figure 5-1: Juvenile term commitment, FY02-FY16....42
Table 5-1: Top 15 most serious admitted offenses for term admissions....42
Figure 5-2: Term admissions, percent by gender....43
Table 5-2: Term admissions by age group....43
Figure 5-3: Term admissions by race/ethnicity....44
Figure 5-4: Snapshot of clients with a term commitment by gender & facility....44
Table 5-3: Snapshot of clients with a term commitment by age group & facility....45
Table 5-4:Snapshot of clients with a term commitment by race/ethnicity & facility....45
Figure 5-5: Average daily population & capacity, secure facilities....46
Figure 5-6: Average length of stay by gender & commitment type....46
Table 5-5: Average length of stay by age group & commitment type....47
Table 5-6: Average daily population by race/ethnicity & commitment type....47
Figure 5-7: Disciplinary incident report rates by secure facility....48
Figure 5-8: Overall DIR rate for secure facilities by fiscal year....48

Section 6. Juveniles in Reintegration Centers 49
Table 6-1: Top five most serious offenses for reintegration admissions....50
Figure 6-1: Reintegration center admissions, percent by gender....50
Table 6-2: Reintegration center admissions by age group....51
Figure 6-2: Reintegration center admissions, percent by race/ethnicity....51
Figure 6-3: Snapshot of clients in reintegration centers by gender & facility....52
Table 6-3: Snapshot of clients in reintegration centers by age group & facility....52
Table 6-4: Snapshot of clients in reintegration centers by race/ethnicity & facility....53
Figure 6-4: Average daily population & capacity, reintegration centers....53
Figure 6-5: Average length of stay by gender....54
Table 6-5: Average length of stay by age group....54
Table 6-6: Average length of stay by race/ethnicity....55
Figure 6-6: Disciplinary incident reports by reintegration center facility....55
Figure 6-7: Overall DIR rate for reintegration facilities by fiscal year....56

Section 7. Juveniles Referred to/in Detention 57
Table 7-1: Client outcome of referral by referral reason....59
Table 7-2: Clients referred to detention by age at first referral....59
Figure 7-1: Client risk assessment instrument outcome by report category....60
Figure 7-2: Clients referred to detention, percent by gender....60
Figure 7-3: Clients referred to detention by race/ethnicity....61
Table 7-3: Top 15 screened offenses for referrals to detention....61
Figure 7-4: Detained clients by report category & gender....62
Table 7-4: Detained clients by age at first detained intake....62
Figure 7-5 Detained clients by race/ethnicity....63
Figure 7-6: Average daily population by gender & juvenile detention center....63
Figure 7-7: Average length of stay in detention by referral county....64

Section 8. Youth Services **65**
Education services..... 65
Figure 8-1: Number of clients attaining GEDs or high school diplomas in secure facilities.....66
Medical services..... 67
Behavioral health services..... 67
Substance abuse program..... 68
Community services.....68

Section 9. Behavioral Health **69**
Figure 9-1: Clients with MIP/DWI offenses, FY11 to FY16.....69
Table 9-1: Clients with MIP/DWI offenses by gender & race/ethnicity..... 70
Table 9-2: Clients with MIP/DWI offenses by age group.....70
Table 9-3: Clients with substance abuse offenses by gender & race/ethnicity..... 71
Table 9-4: Clients with substance abuse offenses by age group.....71
Table 9-5: Top 20 behavioral health service recommendations..... 72
Figure 9-2: Substance & alcohol abuse diagnoses of clients admitted to secure facilities (DSM-4)..... 74
Figure 9-3: Substance & alcohol abuse diagnoses of clients admitted to secure facilities (DSM-5).....75
Table 9-6: Top 10 DSM-4 codes for clients in secure facilities..... 76
Table 9-7: Top 20 DSM-5 codes for clients in secure facilities..... 76

Section 10. Case Processing/Caseload **77**
Figure 10-1: Formal case processing time by petition type.....78
Figure 10-2: Formal case processing time by degree of charge..... 78
Figure 10-3: Juvenile probation office weekly caseload..... 80
Figure 10-4: Juvenile probation office monitoring weekly caseload..... 80
Figure 10-5: Juvenile probation office supervising weekly caseload..... 81

Section 11. Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) **82**
All referrals.....84
Delinquent referrals..... 86
Probation violation referrals..... 88
Status (non-delinquent) referrals.....90

County Appendices by District **92**
For each of the following sections, data will be provided by county on:

- Delinquent referrals by gender, age, race/ethnicity, action taken/disposition, and top offenses
- Status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, age, race/ethnicity, action taken disposition, and top offenses
- Probation violations by gender, age, race/ethnicity, action taken/disposition, and top offenses
- Formal case processing time by petition charge
- Minor in Possession/Driving While Intoxicated (MIP/DWI) offenses by gender & race/ethnicity
- Probation violations for alcohol/drugs by gender and race/ethnicity
- JPO caseload on 6/30/2015 by specific action type
- Term admissions by referral type
- Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Relative Risk Index (RRI) counts for all referrals
- Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) Relative Risk Index (RRI) rates for all referrals

District 1
Los Alamos..... 93
Rio Arriba..... 99
Santa Fe..... ..105
District 2
Bernalillo.....111
District 3
Dona Ana.....117

District 4
Guadalupe....123
Mora....129
San Miguel....135

District 5
Lea....141

District 6
Grant....147
Hidalgo....153
Luna....159

District 7
Catron....165
Sierra....171
Socorro....177
Torrance....183

District 8
Colfax....189
Taos....195
Union....201

District 9
Curry....207
Roosevelt....213

District 10
De Baca....219
Harding....225
Quay....231

District 11
McKinley....237
San Juan....243

District 12
Lincoln....249
Otero....255

District 13
Cibola....261
Sandoval....267
Valencia....273

District 14
Chaves....279
Eddy....285

Full County Tables
Table O-1: Total FY16 referrals by county....291
Table O-2: Total FY16 caseload by county....292
Table O-3: Detention center releases by referral county....293
Table O-4: Detention center ALOS by referral county....294

CYFD's **mission** is to improve the quality of life for our children. To have quality of life, children need to be alive, be safe, be nurtured, be a contributing member of society, and have connections. Towards this end, CYFD uses the operating principles and strategies outlined below.

Operating principles

- Be kind, respectful and responsive
- Be child/youth-centric
- Create a culture of accountability and support
- Simplify: do fewer, bigger things that produce results
- Behavioral health and program support strategically enveloped in all programs
- It's all about the quality of our workers

Strategic planks

- Shore up our core functions
- Prevention
- Improve communications with law enforcement
- Financial controls
- Community engagement

CYFD has forty-five (45) offices statewide that provide an array of services in local communities in partnership with other public, private and non-profit agencies to address the needs of children and families. CYFD has four programmatic divisions intended to integrate and put appropriate emphasis on services provided by multiple state agencies, ranging from early childhood development to institutional care. They include the Office of Community Outreach and Behavioral Health Programs, Early Childhood Services (ESC), Protective Services (PS), and Juvenile Justice Services (JJS).

Unlike many states, all juvenile justice functions, from arrest or other referral, to release from court ordered supervision or custody, are unified in a single governance structure that includes: Secure Facilities, Reintegration Centers, Releasing Authority, Probation/Supervised Release, the Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee, Community Corrections, and Transition Services. **Figure A** on page 11 provides a geographic description of FY16 New Mexico JJS facilities, Juvenile Probation Offices, and County Detention centers.

Figure A: Map of Juvenile Justice Services facilities, offices, and centers, New Mexico, FY16



Secure Facilities
Youth Diagnostic & Development Center (YDDC)
Camino Nuevo Youth Center (CNYC)
John Paul Taylor Center (JPTC)
Lincoln Pines Youth Center (LPYC) (*closed on*
San Juan Juvenile Detention Center (SJDC)

Reintegration Centers
Eagle Nest Reintegration Center (ENRC)
Carlsbad Community Residential Facility (CCRF)
Albuquerque Girls Reintegration Center (AGRC)
Albuquerque Boys Reintegration Center (ABRC)

Juvenile Justice System Reform Efforts

During the 1980s the United States began to realize a dramatic pendulum swing away from individualized treatment and services for youth towards "law and order" efforts. The perception of a growing juvenile crime epidemic in the early 1990s fueled public scrutiny of the system's ability to effectively control violent juvenile offenders. State legislatures responded to this outcry by passing laws to crack down on juvenile crime.

Contrary to predictions, violent juvenile crime arrests declined by the mid 1990s. During the same time frame, the number of incarcerated youth also dropped significantly. Mass incarceration proved not to be fiscally sustainable, and innovative ideas began to flourish about how to best deal with these youth. The following provides a brief description of key reform efforts implemented in New Mexico.

Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI)

With a vision that all youth involved in the juvenile justice system should have opportunities to develop into healthy, productive adults, while promoting public safety, the Annie E. Casey Foundation (AECF) began to tackle juvenile justice reform efforts through the implementation of the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) using eight (8) core strategies which have been replicated in 300 jurisdictions in 40 states and the District of Columbia. These strategies are described in **Figure B** below, and include: data-driven decision making; objective admissions based on valid risk assessment instruments; alternatives to detention; case processing reform; special detention cases; reducing racial disparities; improving conditions of confinement; and collaborative partnerships.

Figure B: Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative, Annie E. Casey Foundation (AECF)



Around 2003, the New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department joined in this initiative which sparked both procedural and program reforms statewide and has now become ingrained in the vision and policies of the department and the New Mexico Children's Code. In 2013, the AECF broadened the focus of JDAI to the dispositional (or "deep") end of juvenile justice and Bernalillo County was selected as one of the five model sites. CYFD has been an active partner in the quantitative and qualitative assessment to better understand local dispositional trends and to identify opportunities for safely reducing the number of out-of-home placements. In FY15, a statewide steering committee was convened to develop a work plan for continuing our efforts to implement the JDAI strategies in sites throughout New Mexico.

Juvenile Community Corrections

The JCC program is one of CYFD's alternatives to incarceration for youth on probation or supervised release. More information on the JCC program can be found in the Special Program Unit's annual report.

Screening, Admissions, & Release Application (SARA)

In 2008, the New Mexico JDAI team developed and implemented the Screening, Admissions & Release Application (SARA). Section 7 beginning on page 57 of this report provides additional information on SARA.

Cambiar New Mexico

In 2008, Juvenile Justice Services adopted *Cambiar New Mexico* as the model for facilities. This rehabilitative model for youth in custody includes several concepts from the *Missouri Model*, including:

- Individualized service plans addressing carefully assessed needs, strengths and risks of New Mexico youth
- Smaller, secure regional facilities across New Mexico
- Smaller, more nurturing living units within those facilities
- Youth centered unit management and milieu therapy
- Rich programming, education, vocational training, medical, behavioral health, and community services

Today, Juvenile Justice Services continues to develop *Cambiar New Mexico*, employing performance-based, research-driven best practices in both facilities and field offices. JJS promotes public safety by engaging youth and their families to develop the beliefs, skills and relationships necessary to thrive in their communities. Services and support are provided in the most beneficial and least restrictive setting necessary, including serving youth in their communities whenever possible. By combining both the field and facility services under one governing model, a continuation of services is provided throughout a client's involvement with the juvenile justice system.

Detention Inspection Certification

CYFD is responsible for the annual inspection and certification of the state's juvenile detention centers. More information on detention inspection can be found in the Special Program Unit's annual report.

Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee (JJAC)

The Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee (JJAC) is appointed by the governor and is an advisory group to CYFD, the governor, and the legislature. The JJAC advocates for the prevention of delinquency, alternatives to secure detention, improvement of the juvenile justice system, and the development of a continuum of graduated sanctions for juveniles in local communities. More information on JJAC can be found in the Special Program Unit's annual report.

Section 1: New Mexico Juvenile Population

This section presents the latest data available from the United States Census Bureau on population numbers and projections for New Mexico juveniles aged 10 to 17 years old. Data is also presented by; gender, age, and race/ethnicity, and provides a context for considering subsequent sections of this report.[1]

In 2015, New Mexico's Juvenile population was 221,944 which was the lowest number of youth aged 10 to 17 years old during the last 15 years. This represents a roughly 0.3% decrease from 2014, when the juvenile population was 222,584. As Figure 1-1 below shows, the juvenile population peaked in 2002 when there were 237,910 youth in New Mexico.



Data source: Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2015). *Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2014.* Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/

Figure 1-1 shows, the youth population has been gradually decreasing within in the past 15 years, with the peak year being 2002 which had a youth population of 237,910.

[1]Thoughout this report, the reader should keep in mind that some youth served by Juvenile Justice Services in FY16 were less than 10 years old and some were aged 18 years and older. In addition, different presentations of race/ethnic groups are made because of different reporting standards across data collection requirements across the juvenile justice system. For example, American Indian may be reported as Native Indian/Alaska Native, or Hispanic may be reported as Hispanic/Latino. A uniform standard across data set systems for presenting data could help improve this issue in the future.



Data source: *File 4. Interim State Projections of Population by Single Year of Age: July 1, 2004 to 2030;* United States Census Bureau, Population Division, Interim State Population Projections, 2005.

Population Projections compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau had suggested that New Mexico's Juvenile population will decrease to a low in the year 2015, but will then rebound and increase until the year 2030. The population projection in **Figure 1-2** indicates that during the next 15 years there will be more males than females, but only by a slight margin. The percentage breakdown between males and females will continue to be around 50/50.



Figure 1-3 In 2015, 51% of New Mexico's Juvenile population was male, while the remaining 49% was female. These are similar numbers to the years in the past, and also to the projected population numbers discussed earlier.

Data source: Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2015). *Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2014.* Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/



Data source: Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2015). *Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2014.* Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/

Figure 1-4 presents the number of juveniles by gender and age group in New Mexico. In 2015, males outnumbered females by an average of 3.8% across all Juvenile age groups for New Mexico. This was similar to 2014 in which there was an average difference of 3.6% between the same age groups. The biggest difference between male and females in 2015 came at the 17 year old age group with the difference being 5.9%. Additionally, the 10 year old age group had the most combined juveniles for this age range at 28,206.



Figure 1-5 provides a breakdown of New Mexico's Juvenile population by Race/Ethnicity. 59.1% of youths in New Mexico were Hispanic, with the next largest group being Non-Hispanic Whites at 26.6%. Both were trailed by American Indian which was the third largest race/ethnic group for Juveniles at 10.6%. 2015 had similar Race/Ethnic statistics as 2014 with the biggest difference from last year being an increase in Hispanics from 58.7% to 59.1%.

Data source: Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2015). *Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2014.* Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/

Section 2: Client Referral Pathway

This section describes juvenile arrests in FY16. **Figures 2-1** and **2-2** illustrate vertical and horizontal diagrams, respectively, of how juvenile cases were handled from arrest/detainment (i.e., referrals) to final disposition as clients navigated the Juvenile Justice System.

Figure 2-1. Client referral pathway, Juvenile Justice Services, New Mexico



*Other entities include County, State, Municipality, University/College, Public School Police Department, Fire Department, Correctional/Detention Facility, Border Patrol, Federal Agency, Parent/Guardian, and Citizens. Referrals for Probation Violations are handled similarly.

**The CCA is in the Office of the District Attorney specializing in juvenile cases. Cases referred to the CCA will be evaluated, and if enough evidence is present, will be remanded to juvenile court (petition will be filed).

***Youthful Offender petition may be filed after a juvenile petition was filed.

****Serious Youthful Offenders are not handled within the juvenile system and are excluded from this pathway.

Figure 2-2 is a Tree-statistics diagram and includes timelines and numbers on outcomes for juvenile-clients arrested/detained (N=12,609) by the New Mexico Juvenile Justice System in FY16. Of the referrals for FY16, 32.1% were handled formally, 64.4% were handled informally, and the remainder were pending.

In general, juveniles who are detained and/or arrested are referred to a district Juvenile Probation Office. After assignment to a Juvenile Probation Officer (JPO), the client and family members meet to discuss the case (preliminary inquiry or PI). After discussing the referral with the client and their family members, the JPO makes a decision to either refer the case to the children's court attorney (CCA) or to handle the case through informal means. If the JPO refers the case to the CCA (formal handling), then the client goes on to court proceedings to determine the next steps. Outcomes for cases sent to the CCA include: commitment, detention, fines, probation, and dismissal.

Figure 2-2: Outcomes for juvenile referrals/arrests (Tree Stats), New Mexico, FY16



SOURCE: CYFD FACTS--Data Pull November 8, 2016
*Assumption: The large number of pending petitions is due to case processing time of 5-6 months
**Reconsiderations of commitment were counted as commitments
***Consent Decree in which no Judgement (adjudicated delinquent) is entered (32A-2-22)
**** Case Processing Utilizes Disposition Charges-Case Processing file FY16

Section 3: Juvenile Referrals

This section presents juvenile referral data. The Juvenile Justice System follows the law set forth in the New Mexico Children's Code [32A-1-1 NMSA 1978], and observes the following three juvenile referral/offense types:

- **Delinquent Referrals**: An act committed by a child that would be designated as a crime under the law if committed by an adult.
- **Status Referrals (non-delinquent offenses):** Also referred to as Families In Need of Services (FINS) referrals, an act that is a violation only if committed by a juvenile, and include: runaway, incorrigible, and truancy.
- **Probation Violations**: Any violation of the terms of probation (which are specific to each client), which may include, but are not limited to, the following categories (in FACTs):

- Alcohol/Drugs	- Associates	- Community Service	- Counseling
- Curfew	- Driving	- General Behavior	- Parents
- Residence	- Restitution	- School/Education	- Special Condition
- Travel	- Weapons		



Overall in FY16, there were 19,767 accrued offenses. The most serious charge determined if a referral is processed as delinquent, status, or probation violation. **Figure 3-1** shows that of the 12,609 referrals, 77.4% were delinquent referrals (N=9,756), 14.3% were status (non-delinquent) referrals (N=1,800), and 8.4% were probation violations referrals (N=1,053).

The next few pages provide trend information on referrals and demographics. This is followed by a more intensive breakdown of each referral type. Additionally, because a client may have multiple offenses for one or more referral type, data is presented for number of clients with referrals and for the total number of referrals in that category during the reporting period.



Figure 3-2 displays a trend graph of the overall amount of juvenile referrals from FY13 to FY16. As the graph indicates, there is a decreasing trend in both the total number of referrals and the total number of clients who received a referral. FY16 is the first fiscal year within the last decade where less than 10,000 clients received a referral.



Figure 3-3 provides a gender breakdown of the number of clients who received a referral during the time period of FY13 through FY16. The average number of males who received a referral compared to the number of females has been at a consistent 65/35 ratio across the four fiscal years.



Figure 3-4 provides an ethnicity breakdown of the number of clients who received a referral during the time period of FY13 through FY16. Throughout this time range the rankings are consistent every fiscal year in that Hispanic is the primary ethnicity that receives a referral, followed by Non-Hispanic White, and Native American.



Figure 3-5 provides an age breakdown for the clients who received a referral during the time period of FY13 through FY16. Roughly 75% of all referrals are received by clients between the ages of 14 and 17 in any specified fiscal year.

Delinquent Referrals

In FY16, there were a total of 10,065 unduplicated clients across all three referral types, and there were a total of 12,609 referrals (some clients had multiple referrals/arrests and could have been represented in one or more referral types). Of the 12,609 referrals, 9,757 were for delinquent referrals.

Table 3-1 provides a breakdown of referral sources. The majority of delinquent referrals (64.0%) came from Municipal Police Departments, while Department of Public Safety and County Sheriff's Departments came in at second (14.2%) and third (14.0%) respectively. The top 3 referral sources made up 92.2% of all Delinquent Referral Sources.

Table 3-1: Delinquent referral sources, New Mexico, FY16

	Number	Percent
Municipal Police Department	6,242	64.0%
Department of Public Safety	1,391	14.2%
County Sheriff's Department	1,368	14.0%
Public School Police Department	526	5.5%
Other	60	0.6%
University/College Police Department	50	0.5%
Correctional/Detention Facility	46	0.4%
County Marshal's Office	31	0.3%
State Agency	23	0.2%
Juvenile Probation Officer	7	0.1%
Federal Agency	5	0.1%
Public School Department	4	0.0%
Fire Department	2	0.0%
Tribal Police Department	2	0.0%
Total delinquent referrals	9,757	100.0%
Total Referrals	12,609	

Table 3-2: Top 15 offenses for delinquent referrals, New Mexico, FY16

	Number	Percent
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	1,315	9.0%
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	1,294	8.9%
Battery	1,194	8.2%
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	1,019	7.0%
Battery (Household Member)	675	4.6%
Public Affray	612	4.2%
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	605	4.2%
Criminal Damage to Property	605	4.2%
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	440	3.0%
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)	225	1.5%
Larceny ($250 or less)	196	1.3%
Disorderly Conduct	178	1.2%
No Driver's License	171	1.2%
Unlawful Carrying of a Deadly Weapon on School Premises	168	1.2%
Burglary (Automobile)	158	1.1%
Top 15 offenses for Delinquent Referrals	8,855	60.9%
Total Number of Offenses for Delinquent Referrals	14,546	
Total number of offenses for all referrals	19,765	

In FY16, the 12,609 referrals received accumulated 19,767 offenses. Out of these 19,767 total offenses, 14,546 or roughly 74% of all offenses, were from delinquent referrals. **Table 3-2** provides a breakdown of the top 15 most common offenses for these delinquent referrals. 9% of the total offenses for delinquent referrals were for, Shoplifting ($250 or less). This was followed by Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia at 8.9% and, Battery at 8.2%. These top 15 offenses accounted for 60.9% of all delinquent referral offenses.



Often times, a single referral to JJS consists of multiple offenses. **Figure 3-6** displays a pie chart for the most serious offense type for each delinquent referral from FY16. 77.14% of the most serious offense types on a delinquent referral were at the misdemeanor degree/level. While 22.78% were at the felony degree level and city ordinances were last at 0.08%.



Figure 3-7 There were a total of 7,659 youths that received a delinquent referral in FY16. The majority of delinquent referrals were for males at 5,086 or 66.41%; while females accounted for the remaining portion of delinquent referrals at 2,570 or 33.56%.



Figure 3-8 provides a Race/Ethnicity breakdown of the 7,659 clients who received a delinquent referral in FY16. 65.8% of delinquent referral clients self-identified as Hispanic. Non-Hispanic White came in second at 21.2%, which was followed by Native American at 7.8%. The top three race/ethnicity groups accounted for 94.9% of all delinquent referral clients.

Table 3-3: Clients with delinquent referrals by age group, New Mexico, FY16

Age group	Number of clients with a delinquent referral	% of Delinquent referral clients	Number of clients for all referral types	% of clients for all referral types
<10	71	0.9%	179	1.8%
10-11	252	3.3%	342	3.4%
12-13	1,295	16.9%	1,529	15.2%
14-15	2,546	33.2%	3,352	33.3%
16-17	3,483	45.5%	4,539	45.1%
>=18	3	0.0%	112	1.1%
Unknown	9	0.1%	12	0.1%
Total	7,659	100.0%	10,065	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 years olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

*Clients can be represented more than once due to being able to receive multiple referral types

Table 3-3 provides an age group breakdown of unduplicated clients who received a delinquent referral in FY16. The age groups 16-17 and 14-15 accounted for 78.7% of all delinquent referral clients. These two age groups also accounted for 78.4% of all referral clients in FY16. (All clients include all Delinquent, Status, and Probation referral clients. This total includes any duplicate clients that have a referral(s) within multiple referral categories.)



Figure 3-9 The total number of ***delinquent referrals*** and ***delinquent-referral clients*** has been steadily declining in the last seven years, with the peak for both categories coming in FY09 which had 19,997 delinquent referrals and 14,904 delinquent referral clients. FY16 has the lowest total within this seven year time period with 9,757 total delinquent referrals and 7,659 delinquent referral clients. These two numbers also represented a decrease of 10.6% and 10.3% compared to FY15 respectively.

Table 3-4: Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals (N=9,751), New Mexico, FY16

	Number
Handled Formally	**4,408**
Adult sanctions - jail	0
Consent decree	958
Dismissed	486
Judgment - CYFD commitment	81
Judgment - detention	46
Judgment - fines	1
Judgment - probation	468
Nolle prosequi or time expired	162
Refiled	0
Time waiver	375
Youthful offender judgment - CYFD commitment	3
Youthful offender judgment - probation	1
Non-adjudicated	1,827
Pending preliminary inquiry	**12**
Handled informally	**5,331**
Assessed/referred	744
Informal services	3,957
Referred to Children's Court attorney after informal dispostion	245
No further action	385
Children's Court attorney rejected	0

*There were 6 referrals that were received in FY16, but not yet processed at the time of reporting

Table 3-4 There were a total of 9,751 Delinquent Referrals in FY16 that had either a formal or informal action taken at the time of reporting. 5,331 or 54.7% of delinquent referrals were handled informally. The remaining 4,408 or 45.2% were handled formally. Of the referrals handled formally, 21.9% were settled with a consent decree while 10.9% were dismissed entirely.

Table 3-5: Top 15 disposed offenses for delinquent referrals, New Mexico, FY16		
	Number	Percent
Battery	350	4.9%
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	335	4.7%
Battery (Household Member)	320	4.5%
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	286	4.0%
Criminal Damage to Property	273	3.8%
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	232	3.3%
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	216	3.0%
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	174	2.5%
Burglary (Automobile)	144	2.0%
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)	140	2.0%
Criminal Sexual Penetration 1st Degree (Child Under 13)	116	1.6%
Disorderly Conduct	114	1.6%
Unlawful Taking of a Motor Vehicle (1st Offense)	113	1.6%
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	106	1.5%
Larceny ($250 or less)	106	1.5%
Top 15 disposed offenses	3,025	42.6%
Total Disposed Offenses from Delinquent Referrals	7,093	
Total number of disposed offenses	10,462	

In FY16, a total of 7,093 offenses from delinquent referrals were disposed of, or handled in Children's Court. **Table 3-5** provides a breakdown of the top 15 most common of these offenses for FY16. Number one on the list was Battery, which accounted for 4.9% of all disposed offenses for delinquent referrals. The top 15 list accounted for 42.6% of all disposed offenses for delinquent referrals in FY16.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals

In FY16 there were a total of 12,609 referrals to the New Mexico Juvenile Justice Services Department. Of that total, 1,800 were for status referrals. **Table 3-6** provides a breakdown of the referral sources. The majority of status referrals (55.0%) came from a Public School Department, while Municipal Police Department and Parent/Guardian came in at second (24.1%) and third (8.4%) respectively. In all, the top 3 referral sources made up 87.5% of all status referral sources.

Table 3-6: Status referral sources, New Mexico, FY16		
	Number	Percent
Public School Department	988	55.0%
Municipal Police Department	435	24.1%
Parent/Guardian	150	8.4%
County Sheriff's Department	128	7.1%
Other	35	1.9%
Juvenile Probation Officer	32	1.8%
Department of Public Safety	14	0.8%
State Agency	11	0.6%
Protective Services Division	5	0.3%
County Marshal's Office	2	0.1%
Total Status referrals	1,800	100.0%
Total Referrals	12,609	

Table 3-7: Offenses for Status Referrals, New Mexico, FY16		
	Number	Percent
Truancy	1,050	58.0%
Incorrigible	402	22.2%
Runaway	349	19.3%
Offenses by Minors	9	0.5%
Total number of status referral offenses	1,810	100.0%
Total number of offenses for all referrals	19,765	

Out of the 19,765 total offenses from FY16 referrals, 1,810 or 9.2% of all offenses, were from status referrals. **Table 3-7** provides a breakdown of the offenses for these status referrals. 58.0% of the total offenses for status referrals were for, Truancy. This was followed by the offenses; Incorrigible at 22.2% and, Runaway at 19.3%.



Figure 3-10 In FY16 there were a total of 1,640 clients that, received a status referral. The majority of status referrals were for males who made up 52.6% or 863 of all status referral clients. Females accounted for 47.3% or 776, of the status referral clients.



Figure 3-11 provides a race/ethnicity breakdown of the 1,640 clients who received a status referral in FY16. 68.3% of status referral clients self-identified as Hispanic. Non-Hispanic White came in at second with 17.2%, followed by Native American at 11.7%. The top three race/ethnicity groups accounted for 97.1% of all status referral clients.

Table 3-8: Clients with status referrals by age group, New Mexico, FY16

Age group	Number of	% of Status referral clients	Number of clients	% of clients for all
<10	108	6.6%	179	1.8%
10-11	90	5.5%	342	3.4%
12-13	212	12.9%	1,529	15.2%
14-15	578	35.2%	3,352	33.3%
16-17	647	39.5%	4,539	45.1%
>=18	2	0.1%	112	1.1%
Unknown	3	0.2%	12	0.1%
Total	1,640	100.0%	10,065	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 years olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

*Clients can be represented more than once due to being able to receive multiple referral types

Table 3-8 provides an age group breakdown of unduplicated clients who received a status referral in FY16. The age groups 14-15 years old and 16-17 years old accounted for 74.7% of all status referral clients. This followed the same findings for the other referral types in that the primary age of clients who received a referral was between 14 and 17 years old.



Figure 3-12 The total number of status referrals and status referral clients has been steadily declining in the past seven years, with the peak for both categories coming in FY12 which had 2,579 status referrals and 2,306 status referral clients. FY16 had the lowest total within the past seven years with 1,800 total status referrals and 1,640 status referral clients. These two numbers also represented a decrease of 4.5% and 5.3% respectively compared to FY15.

Table 3-9: Action taken/dispositions for status referrals (N=1,800), New Mexico, FY16

	Number
Handled Formally	**188**
Adult sanctions - jail	0
Consent decree	0
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD commitment	0
Judgment - detention	0
Judgment - fines	0
Judgment - probation	0
Nolle prosequi or time expired	0
Refiled	0
Time waiver	2
Youthful offender judgment - CYFD commitment	0
Youthful offender judgment - probation	0
Non-adjudicated	185
Pending preliminary inquiry	**0**
Handled informally	**1,612**
Assessed/referred	730
Informal services	537
Referred to Children's Court attorney after informal dispostion	22
No further action	322
Children's Court attorney rejected	1

Table 3-9 There were a total of 1,800 status referrals in FY16 that had either a formal or informal action taken. 1,612 or 89.6% of the status referrals were handled informally. The remaining 188 or 10.4% were handled formally.

Probation Violation Referrals

In FY16 there were a total of 12,609 referrals to the New Mexico Juvenile Justice Services department. Of that total, 1,053 were probation violation referrals. **Table 3-10** provides a breakdown of the referral sources. The majority of probation violation referrals (95.1%) came from Juvenile Probation Officers, while Municipal Police Departments and the Department of Public Safety came in at second (2.4%) and third (0.7%) respectively.

Table 3-10: Probation Violation referral sources, New Mexico, FY16

	Number	Percent
Juvenile Probation Officer	1,002	95.1%
Municipal Police Department	25	2.4%
Department of Public Safety	7	0.7%
County Sheriff's Department	6	0.6%
Other	5	0.5%
County Marshal's Office	3	0.3%
Parent/Guardian	2	0.2%
Public School Department	2	0.2%
Citizen	1	0.1%
Total Probation Violation Referrals	1,053	100.0%
Total Referrals	12,609	

Table 3-11: Offenses for Probation Violation referrals, New Mexico, FY16

	Number	Percent
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	688	20.2%
Probation Violation - Residence	498	14.6%
Probation Violation - Special Condition	396	11.6%
Probation Violation - Curfew	342	10.0%
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	331	9.7%
Probation Violation - School/Education	326	9.6%
Probation Violation - Reporting	291	8.5%
Probation Violation - Counseling	239	7.0%
Probation Violation - Parents	185	5.4%
Probation Violation - Associates	47	1.4%
Probation Violation - Community Service	27	0.8%
Probation Violation - Travel	19	0.6%
Probation Violation - Driving	11	0.3%
Probation Violation - Restitution	6	0.2%
Probation Violation - Weapons	4	0.1%
Total number of Probation Violation offenses	3,410	100.0%
Total number of offenses for all referrals	19,765	

In FY16 the total number of referrals consisted of 19,765 offenses. Of these offenses, 3,410 or 17.3% were for probation violations. **Table 3-11** provides a breakdown of these offenses. 20.2% of the total offenses for probation violation referrals were for Alcohol/Drugs. This was followed by Residence at 14.6% and Special Condition at 11.6%.



Figure 3-13 In FY16 there was a total of 766 clients that received a probation violation referral. The majority of probation violation referrals were for males who made up 72.6% or 556 of all probation violation referral clients. Females accounted for 210 or 27.4% of the remaining probation violation referral clients.



Figure 3-14 provides a race/ethnicity breakdown of the 766 clients who received a probation violation referral at some point in FY16. 73.2% of probation violation referral clients self-identified as Hispanic. Non-Hispanic White came in at second at 14.5%, which was followed by Native American at 6.0%. The top three race/ethnicity groups accounted for 93.7% of all probation violation referral clients.

Table 3-12: Clients with Probation Violation referrals by age group, New Mexico, FY16

Age group	Number of clients with a Probation Violation referral	% of Probation Violation referral clients	Number of clients for all referral types	% of clients for all referral types
<10	0	0.0%	179	1.8%
10-11	0	0.0%	342	3.4%
12-13	22	2.9%	1,529	15.2%
14-15	228	29.8%	3,352	33.3%
16-17	409	53.4%	4,539	45.1%
>=18	107	14.0%	112	1.1%
Unknown	0	0.0%	12	0.1%
Total	766	100.0%	10,065	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 years olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

*Clients can be represented more than once due to being able to receive multiple referral types

Table 3-12 provides an age group breakdown of unduplicated clients who received a probation violation referral in FY16. The age groups 14-15 years old and 16-17 years old accounted for 83.2% of all probation violation referral clients. This followed the same findings for the other referral types in that the primary age of clients who received a referral was 14 to 17 years old.



Figure 3-15 The total number of probation violation referrals and probation violation referral clients has been steadily declining in the past seven years, with the peak year for probation violation referrals coming in FY10 with 1,387, and the peak year of probation violation referral clients coming in FY09 with 1,085. FY16 had the lowest total within this seven year time period with 1,053 total probation violation referrals and 766 probation violation referral clients. These two numbers also represent a decrease of 5.6% and 9.0% respectively from the numbers in FY15.

Table 3-13: Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals (N=1,058), New Mexico, FY16	
	Number
Handled Formally	**1,049**
Adult sanctions - jail	0
Consent decree	142
Dismissed	118
Judgment - CYFD commitment	107
Judgment - detention	76
Judgment - fines	1
Judgment - probation	411
Nolle prosequi or time expired	28
Refiled	0
Time waiver	20
Youthful offender judgment - CYFD com	0
Youthful offender judgment - probation	0
Non-adjudicated	146
Pending preliminary inquiry	**0**
Handled informally	**9**
Assessed/referred	4
Informal services	4
Referred to Children's Court attorney af	1
No further action	0
Children's Court attorney rejected	0

Table 3-13 There were a total of 1,058 probation violation referrals in FY16 that had either a formal or informal action taken. This number includes unprocessed probation violation referrals that were received in FY15, but were not process until FY16. Of these referrals, 1,049 or 99.1% of probation violation referrals, were handled formally. The remaining 9 or 0.9%, were handled informally. 39.4% of the referrals handled formally received a judgment of probation while 10% received a judgment of CYFD commitment in FY16.

Section 4: Juvenile Screening and Classification (SDM)

Source: http://www.siue.edu/education/psychology/abc/

In 1998, with the assistance of the National Council on Crime and Delinquency (NCCD), the Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD) implemented Structured Decision Making (SDM) as the risk and needs classification instrument for juvenile offenders in New Mexico. SDM in New Mexico is comprised of:

- a risk assessment
- a risk reassessment and
- a needs assessment

Every time there is a disposition ordered for an adjudicated juvenile offender, a risk assessment and a needs assessment are completed. Risk reassessments and a needs reassessment are completed on a set schedule depending on what type of supervision the youth is receiving, or whenever there is a significant change in the youth's situation or behavior. These reassessments continue until the youth is discharged from supervision by the department.

The SDM tool helps provide consistent and standardized decisions that affect juveniles, as well as guide treatment planning. Specifically, CYFD uses the SDM instrument to guide disposition recommendations, define which set of minimum contact standards to utilize when supervising a youth in the community, and assist in the classification process of youth committed to CYFD facilities. Periodic reassessments are completed to track progress, and if indicated, modify treatment plans. Aggregate data provides important case management information about client characteristic trends, workload, service utilization, gaps in service, and enables managers to plan, monitor, and evaluate JJS' outcomes.

The *risk assessment/reassessment* piece of the SDM instrument is used to classify individuals according to their likelihood of re-offending. Responses for the risk questions are number entry's, drop down selections, or a dichotomous response (yes/no). The risk assessment tool consists of the following six items:

- R1: Number of Referrals/Arrests
- R2: Age at First Juvenile Referral/Arrest
- R3: Petition Offense History
- R4: Gang Affiliation
- R5: Education/School Issues
- R6: History of Substance Abuse/Experimentation

The *needs assessment/reassessment* tool is the companion piece to the risk assessment/reassessment. It is used to evaluate the presenting strengths/needs of each youth and to systematically identify critical needs in order to plan effective interventions. The needs assessment encompasses twelve variables, which are evaluated for the youth, the youth's treatment setting, and in one case (N1) the client's family, in order to determine needs and strengths of the client. Responses for the needs questions are scored on a Likert-type scale, with question specific responses ranging from no to chronic. The needs variables are as follows:

- N1: Family Relationships
- N2: Emotional Stability
- N3: Education
- N4: Substance Abuse
- N5: Physical Issues
- N6: Life Skills
- N7: Victimization
- N8: Social Relations
- N9: Employment/Vocational
- N10: Sexuality/Sexual History
- N11: Criminal History of Biological Parents
- N12: Community Resources

In 2008, CYFD incorporated the SDM system for field supervision into the Family Automated Client Tracking System (FACTS), the department's case management system, and in 2011, the facility supervision component of the SDM system was incorporated into FACTS. FACTS automatically calculates a risk and needs score for each client based on the risk and needs assessment values. The risk score will determine the risk level of the client ranging from low (3 or less) to medium (4-6) to high (7 or more). A similar score for needs is calculated: low (-1 or less), moderate (0-9), or high (10 or more). In addition to an overall needs score, FACTS will also determine the priority needs and strengths of the client (the 3 needs that scored the highest and the lowest).

Further information on the SDM tool used by Juvenile Justice can be found in papers that the staff in the Data Analysis Unit have written on the SDM instrument. In 2010, a study on the validation of the risk assessment tool was completed using data from a fiscal year 2008 cohort (Courtney, Howard, and Bunker). In 2011, a study on the inter-rater reliability of the risk assessment tool was analyzed using a cohort of JPOs (Courtney and Howard).

In FY16, there were 1,484 clients that had cases that went to disposition, resulting in a risk and needs assessment. The remainder of this section presents data for 1,380 (93.0%) of these clients; a total of 104 clients had missing data. Client risk and need levels by selected demographics (age, gender, and race/ethnicity) are presented, as well as client's top five priority needs and top five priority strengths as identified by the needs assessment tool.

CLIENT RISK LEVEL



Figure 4-1 presents client risk level as assessed by the SDM risk classification tool for juvenile offenders. In FY16, 1,380 clients were issued a SDM as compared to 1,597 clients in FY15, a 13.6% decrease. Approximately 61.7% of the clients were classified as a medium risk level, while 18.3% were high risk, and 19.9% were low risk.



As shown in **Figure 4-2**, there were more male clients in all three risk level groups during FY16 compared to female clients. Of 1,060 males issued a SDM, 21.6% were classified as high risk, 59.6% as medium risk, and 18.8% as low risk. Of the 320 females issued a SDM, 7.5% were classified as high risk, 68.8% as medium risk, and 18.8% as low risk.

Table 4-1: Client risk level by race/ethnicity, New Mexico, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	High (N)	%	Medium (N)	%	Low (N)	%	All Clients	%
Non-Hispanic White	33	2.4%	162	11.7%	68	4.9%	263	19.1%
Hispanic	186	13.5%	589	42.7%	184	13.3%	959	69.5%
African American	12	0.9%	20	1.4%	4	0.3%	36	2.6%
Asian	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%
Native American	14	1.0%	66	4.8%	14	1.0%	94	6.8%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
2 or more	8	0.6%	14	1.0%	3	0.2%	25	1.8%
Missing	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	253	18.3%	852	61.7%	275	19.9%	1,380	100.0%

Date Pulled: November 16, 2016 Source: FACTS Database

Table 4-1 presents risk level data by race/ethnicity. For all three risk level categories in FY16, Hispanic clients were the largest race/ethnic group, and accounted for 69.5% of all clients who received a SDM, followed by Non-Hispanic White, and Native American. Across all race/ethnic groups, most clients were classified as a medium risk level.

Table 4-2: Client risk level by age group, New Mexico, FY16

Age (Years)	High (N)	%	Medium (N)	%	Low (N)	%	All Clients	%
<10*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
10 - 11	0	0.0%	3	0.2%	1	0.1%	4	0.3%
12 - 13	18	1.3%	60	4.3%	39	2.8%	117	8.5%
14 - 15	77	5.6%	278	20.1%	98	7.1%	453	32.8%
16 - 17	139	10.1%	458	33.2%	111	8.0%	708	51.3%
>=18*	19	1.4%	53	3.8%	25	1.8%	97	7.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	253	18.3%	852	61.7%	275	19.9%	1,380	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds Source: FACTS Database

Table 4-2 presents risk level data by age**.** 16-17 year old youth were the largest group (51.3%) to receive a SDM in FY16, as well as the largest group for all three client risk levels. 14-15 year old youth were the second largest group that received a SDM, and were also second in all risk category levels. Together, youth aged 14-17 years old accounted for 84.1% of all SDM clients.

CLIENT NEEDS LEVEL



Figure 4-3 presents client risk level as assessed by the SDM needs classification tool for juvenile offenders. In FY16, 37.5% of the 1,380 clients issued a SDM were classified as moderate needs level. 36.8% were classified as low needs and 25.7% as high needs.



As illustrated in **Figure 4-4,** for both genders, the needs level with the most clients was moderate, followed by low needs level, and then high needs level. Of 1,060 males issued a SDM, 25.0% were classified as high needs, 37.8% as moderate needs, and 37.2% as low needs. Of 320 females issued a SDM, 27.8% were classified as high needs, 36.6% as moderate needs, and 35.6% as low needs.

Table 4-3: Client needs level by race/ethnicity, New Mexico, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	High (N)	%	Moderate (N)	%	Low (N)	%	Total (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	71	5.1%	99	7.2%	93	6.7%	263	19.1%
Hispanic	237	17.2%	363	26.3%	359	26.0%	959	69.5%
African American	10	0.7%	16	1.2%	10	0.7%	36	2.6%
Asian	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%
Native American	24	1.7%	29	2.1%	41	3.0%	94	6.8%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
2 or more	12	0.9%	9	0.7%	4	0.3%	25	1.8%
Missing	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	354	25.7%	518	37.5%	508	36.8%	1,380	100.0%

Date Pulled: November 16, 2016 Source: FACTS Database

Table 4-3 presents client needs level by race/ethnicity. The moderate needs level had the most SDM clients (37.5%) in FY16. This was followed closely by low needs with 36.8%, and high needs which had 25.7% of clients.

Table 4-4: Client needs level by age group, New Mexico, FY16

Age (Years)	High (N)	%	Moderate (N)	%	Low (N)	%	Total (N)	%
<10*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
10 - 11	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	2	0.1%	4	0.3%
12 - 13	24	1.7%	38	2.8%	55	4.0%	117	8.5%
14 - 15	122	8.8%	181	13.1%	150	10.9%	453	32.8%
16 - 17	183	13.3%	268	19.4%	257	18.6%	708	51.3%
>=18*	25	1.8%	29	2.1%	43	3.1%	97	7.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	354	25.7%	518	37.5%	508	36.8%	1,380	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds Source: FACTS Database

Table 4-4 shows needs level by age group. As with risk level, most clients in need were ages 14 through 17 years old. Most clients had moderate needs, except for clients age 18 years and older, most of whom scored as low needs.

CLIENT PRIORITY NEEDS AND STRENGTHS

As mentioned earlier, implementation of the SDM tool also provided information for determining the priority needs and strengths of the client by calculating the three needs that scored the highest and the lowest.

Table 4-5: Top five client priority needs, New Mexico, FY16

Need	Number of clients	Percent
Substanced Abuse	273	19.8%
Life skills	254	18.4%
Emotional stability	216	15.7%
Education	209	15.1%
Family Relationships	106	7.7%
Total top 5 priority needs	1,058	76.7%
Total priority needs	1,380	100.0%

Date Pulled: November 16, 2016 Source: FACTS Database

Table 4-5 shows that the top priority client need in FY16 was substance abuse; this is a change from the previous three years where the top priority need was education. This need indicates that many of the clients who received a SDM had a combination of the following factors: chronic use of a controlled substance; addiction to a controlled substance; refusal to engage in recommended substance abuse treatment services; loss of job and/or expelled/dis-enrolled from school. Other top needs were life skills, emotional stability, and education which came in second, third, and fourth. The top 5 priority needs in table 4-5 accounted for 76.7% of all the total priority needs.

Table 4-6: Top five client priority strengths, New Mexico, FY16

Strength	Number	Percent
Sexuality	285	20.7%
Employment/Vocational	280	20.3%
Community resources	269	19.5%
Victimization	155	11.2%
Physical Issues	150	10.9%
Total top 5 priority strengths	1,139	82.5%
Total priority strengths	1,380	100.0%

Date Pulled: November 16, 2016 Source: FACTS Database

As described in **Table 4-6,** the top client priority strength in FY16 was sexuality, indicating that many of the clients who received a SDM had not been adjudicated for, or convicted of a sex offense; had abstained from sexual activity for the past 90 days; and had appropriate knowledge of the consequences of sexual activity and protective measures. The top 5 priority strengths accounted for 82.5% of all priority strengths.

Section 5: Juveniles in Secure Facilities

Secure facilities are facilities that are physically and staff secured. CYFD had three secure facilities* and one contracted facility in FY16:

- *Camino Nuevo Youth Center* (CNYC) in Albuquerque
- *John Paul Taylor Center* (JPTC) in Las Cruces
- *Youth Development and Diagnostic Center* (YDDC) in Albuquerque
- *San Juan Detention Center* (SJDC) in San Juan County (contractual agreement for ten beds)

**Lincoln Pines Youth Center* (LPYC) in Lincoln County closed on 1/4/2016, but no youths were housed in this facility during any part of FY16. Therefore, LPYC is not included in any of the FY16 data represented in this report.

Entrance to Camino Nuevo (Bernalillo County)

The intake unit for males is at YDDC and the intake for females is at CNYC. All the secure facilities are male only with the exception of CNYC, which houses both male and female clients. In this report, clients are described by three secure commitment types:

- *Term clients:* The main population housed in CYFD's secure facilities is adjudicated youth who received a disposition of commitment. Commitment terms can be for 6 months, one year, two years, or in special cases, up to age twenty-one.
- *Diagnostic clients:* These are youth court ordered to undergo a 15-day diagnostic evaluation to help determine appropriate placement services.
- *Non-adjudicated treatment clients (Non-Adj Tx):* These are youth under the jurisdiction of a tribal court who have been placed in a secure facility by action of tribal court order through an intergovernmental agreement.

In FY16, 173 term clients, 23 diagnostic clients and 1 non-adjudicated treatment client were admitted to CYFD secure facilities. The overall capacity at the three secure facilities plus the one contracted facility was 262 beds (note that bed capacity may differ from the staffed capacity). The average daily population (ADP) of CYFD secure facilities during the fiscal year, including all client types, was 194 clients.

Secure Hallways in Camino Nuevo (Bernalillo County)

The remainder of this section presents additional data for juveniles housed in secure facilities, by facility and selected demographics (gender, age, and race/ethnicity). Also presented are most serious offenses committed by term clients, average length of stay (ALOS), and disciplinary incident report (DIR) rates.

TERM ADMISSIONS (COMMITMENTS)



As illustrated in **Figure 5-1**, term commitments have declined 63.3 % over the past 15 years, going from 471 in FY02 to a low of 173 in FY16. The number of term commitments for FY16 shows no change compared to FY15.

Table 5-1: Top 15 most serious offenses (MSO) for term admissions, New Mexico, FY16

Offense	Number of offenses	Percent
Probation Violation	90	52.0%
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	6	3.5%
Aggravated Battery (Misdemeanor)	5	2.9%
Aggravated Burglary (Armed After Entering)	4	2.3%
Battery	3	1.7%
Abuse of a Child (Resulting in Death)	2	1.2%
Armed Robbery	2	1.2%
Murder in the Second Degree	2	1.2%
Possession of a Weapon or Explosive by a Prisoner	2	1.2%
Robbery	2	1.2%
Aggravated Battery (Deadly Weapon)	2	1.2%
Aggravated Battery (Great Bodily Harm)	2	1.2%
Burglary (Dwelling House)	2	1.2%
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)	2	1.2%
Battery Upon a Peace Officer	2	1.2%
Total Top 15 (out of 173 total offenses)	128	74.0%
Total most serious offenses	173	100.0%

Source: FACTS Database

Table 5-1 shows that probation violations topped the FY16 list of the most serious offenses (MSOs) for term admissions, which accounted for 90 offenses (52.0%). This was a decrease of 15.1% when compared to 106 probation violation admissions in FY15. As a percentage of all MSOs, probation violations decreased 9.3% (from 61.3% in FY15 to 52.0% in FY16). Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia was a distant second, accounting for 6 offenses (3.5%). Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia was also the only drug related charge in the top 15 MSOs for FY16, as opposed to FY15 where 3 of the top 15 MSOs were drug related. The top 15 MSOs accounted for 128 (74.0%) of all MSOs for FY16. Of the 173 term client admissions, the MSO was a felony for 48 client admissions (27.7%), a slight decrease from FY15's 28.9%. Misdemeanors accounted for 35 (20.2%) of the MSOs which is a little more than twice the number in FY15.



Figure 5-2 shows that of the 173 term admissions in FY16, 142 (82.1%) were male and 31 (17.9%) were female. 12 unique male clients and no female clients had 2 or more term commitments in FY16, which is the same number as in FY15.

Table 5-2: Term admissions by age group, New Mexico, FY16

Age Group	Term Admissions (N)	Percent
<10*	0	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0.0%
12 - 13	2	1.2%
14 - 15	22	12.7%
16 - 17	110	63.6%
>=18*	39	22.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	173	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds Source: FACTS Database

At 63.6%, term admissions among youth ages 16-17 years old accounted for the majority of all term admissions for FY16 (**Table 5-2**). Term admissions among youth age 18 years and older were a distant second at 22.5%, followed by youth ages 14-15 years old (12.7%). The group of 12-13 year-olds had 2 term admissions, an increase over the previous three fiscal years (FY13-FY15) where there was only 1 term admission in this age group.



Figure 5-3 illustrates that in FY16, 122 term admissions (70.5%) were Hispanic, which was down from 75.1% in FY15. The next highest group was Non-Hispanic White at 16.2%, which was slightly higher than FY15 which was 14.5% Non-Hispanic White.

Figure 5-4, **Table 5-3,** and **Table 5-4** all show the characteristics of clients residing in secure facilities by facility. This snapshot view is based on the population of clients housed in CYFD secure facilities on 12/31/2015, which was deemed a "typical" day in the fiscal year.



Per **Figure 5-4**, 170 (86.7%) of CYFD secure facility clients were male which is 5.6% higher than FY15's snapshot, and 26 (13.3%) were female which is down 5.6% compared to the FY15 snapshot.

Table 5-3: Snapshot* of clients with a term commitment by age group & facility, New Mexico, FY16

Age Group (years)	CNYC		JPTC		SJDC		YDDC		Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
<10**	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
14 - 15	4	2.0%	2	1.0%	1	0.5%	4	2.0%	11	5.6%
16 - 17	33	16.8%	16	8.2%	1	0.5%	28	14.3%	78	39.8%
>=18**	28	14.3%	29	14.8%	4	2.0%	46	23.5%	107	54.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	65	33.2%	47	24.0%	6	3.1%	78	39.8%	196	100.0%

*Snapshot =Reported daily population for 12/31/2015, **<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds Source: FACTS Database

Table 5-3 presents a snapshot of term clients by age group and facility. The total youth age 18 years and older for all secure facilities combined had the most clients (54.6%), while 39.8% were in the group ages 16-17 years old. This is the reverse of FY15, where the 16-17 year old group had more than the 18 and older group. The remaining 5.6% in FY16 ranged from age 14-15 years old. There were no clients under the age of 14 in the secure facilities on the snapshot date of 12/31/2015.

Table 5-4: Snapshot* of clients with a term commitment by race/ethnicity & facility, New Mexico, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	CNYC		JPTC		SJDC		YDDC		Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Non-Hispanic White	16	8.2%	4	2.0%	1	0.5%	7	3.6%	28	14.3%
Hispanic	40	20.4%	38	19.4%	3	1.5%	62	31.6%	143	73.0%
African American	2	1.0%	4	2.0%	1	0.5%	5	2.6%	12	6.1%
Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Native American	6	3.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	4	2.0%	11	5.6%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	0.5%	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.0%
Unknown/Missing	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	65	33.2%	47	24.0%	6	3.1%	78	39.8%	196	100.0%

*Snapshot =Reported daily population for 12/31/2015 Source: FACTS Database

Table 5-4 is a snapshot of term clients by race/ethnicity and facility, and shows that 73.0% of clients were Hispanic and 14.3% were Non-Hispanic White. The remaining 12.8% were divided between African American, Native American, and 2 or more race/ethnic groups.

Average Daily Population and Length of Stay



Figure 5-5 presents the average daily population (ADP) and capacity, by facility. The ADP at secure facilities for FY16 was 194 clients or 74.0% of capacity (262 beds). The ADP was greatest at YDDC at 76 clients, followed by CNYC at 65 clients. JPTC had the greatest ADP-to-capacity ratio for FY16 at 95.8%.



Figure 5-6 shows the average length of stay (ALOS) in days by gender and commitment type for clients discharged in FY16. The ALOS at secure facilities for term clients increased for the second fiscal year in a row, shifting from an average of 385.2 days in FY15 to an average of 435.1 days in FY16. The ALOS for diagnostic clients decreased for the second fiscal year in a row, shifting from 17.2 days in FY15 to 16.8 days in FY16. On average, female term clients in FY16 stayed 106.4 days less than male clients, compared with FY15, where female term clients stayed an average of 30.2 days less than male term clients. There were no clients discharged from secure facilities for non-adjudicated treatment during the reporting period.

Table 5-5: Average length of stay (ALOS) by age group & commitment type, New Mexico, FY16

Age group (years)	Commitment Type							
	Term		Non-adjudicated treatment		Diagnostic		Total	
	Clients (N)	ALOS (days)	Clients (N)	ALOS (days)	Clients (N)	ALOS (days)	Clients (N)	ALOS (days)
<10*	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
10 - 11	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
12 - 13	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
14 - 15	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	17.3	7	17.3
16 - 17	40	335.6	0	0.0	17	16.5	57	240.5
>=18*	105	473.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	105	473.0
Unknown	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	145	435.1	0	0.0	24	16.8	169	375.7

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds

Source: FACTS Database

Table 5-5 presents ALOS by age group and commitment type for clients discharged in FY16. Of the 145 clients with term commitments, juveniles age 18 years and older had the highest ALOS (473.0 days). This number is 65.3 days higher than the ALOS for the same age group in FY15. Of the 24 diagnostic commitment clients, the 14-15 year olds had the highest ALOS at 17.3 days, which is 1 day less than the ALOS for the same age group in FY15.

Table 5-6: Average length of stay (ALOS) by race/ethnicity & commitment type, New Mexico, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Commitment type							
	Term		Non-adjudicated treatment		Diagnostic		Total	
	Clients (N)	ALOS (Days)	Clients (N)	ALOS (Days)	Clients (N)	ALOS (Days)	Clients (N)	ALOS (Days)
Non-Hispanic White	21	403.4	0	0.0	2	14.5	23	369.6
Hispanic	112	432.2	0	0.0	18	17.4	130	374.8
African American	5	471.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	471.8
Asian	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Native American	4	446.0	0	0.0	4	14.8	8	230.4
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
2 or more	3	690.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	690.7
Unknown/Missing	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	145	435.1	0	0.0	24	16.8	169	375.7

Source: FACTS Database

As shown in **Table 5-6**, of the 145 clients with term commitments discharged in FY16, clients with 2 or more race/ethnicities had the highest ALOS at 690.7 days, which is an average of 218.9 days longer than African Americans, the second highest group with an average of 471.8 days. Of the diagnostic commitment clients discharged in FY16, Hispanic clients had the highest ALOS (17.4 days), followed by Native American clients with an ALOS of 14.8 days.

DISCIPLINARY INCIDENT REPORTS



Figure 5-7 illustrates the FY16 rate of disciplinary incident reports (DIR rate per 100 clients, based on average daily population), by facility. The overall DIR rate for all secure facilities (see note for **Figure 5-8** below) was 135.1 per 100 clients. JPTC had the highest rate of DIRs at 173.7 per 100 clients, followed by CNYC with a rate of 141.3 per 100 clients.



The overall DIR rates per 100 clients for secure facilities for FY13-FY16 are depicted in **Figure 5-8** above. The graph shows an upward trend in the overall DIR rate for secure facilities over the past 4 fiscal years.

Please note that in the annual report for FY14 the overall DIR rate for secure facilities was incorrectly reported as 65.8, but the correct overall rate is 67.0. In the annual report for FY15, the overall DIR rate for secure facilities was incorrectly reported as 162.1, while the correct rate was 78.9. Figure 5-8 shows the correct rates and rate of increase for FY13-FY16.

Section 6: Juveniles in Reintegration Centers

Reintegration centers are non-secure facilities that house a small population of adjudicated CYFD clients on probation or supervised release. *Probation clients* are defined as adjudicated clients placed under the supervision and care of a juvenile probation officer by a court-ordered disposition.

Eagle Nest Reintegration Center (Colfax County)

Supervised release clients are youth released from a secure facility but whose commitment has not yet expired; they are subject to monitoring by CYFD until the term of their commitment expires. Probation clients are the only clients admitted directly to a reintegration center, since the clients on supervised release are transferred from a secure facility.

CYFD had four* reintegration centers in FY16:

- Albuquerque Boys Reintegration Center (ABRC)
- Albuquerque Girls Reintegration Center (AGRC)
- Eagle Nest Reintegration Center (ENRC)

*Carlsbad Reintegration Center (CRC) – CRC housed clients through 9/22/2015. Any statistics for CRC contains data thru 9/22/2015. CRC officially closed on 3/15/2016.

Each facility had a capacity of 12 beds in FY16 (note that bed capacity may differ from the staffed capacity). AGRC is the only reintegration center housing female clients.

In FY16, 7 probation clients were admitted directly to a reintegration center. The average daily population (ADP) during FY16 for all CYFD reintegration centers combined was 19 clients. The ADP for reintegration centers includes both probation clients and supervised release clients.

Common Area in AGRC (Bernalillo County)

The remainder of this section presents additional data for juveniles housed in reintegration centers, by facility and selected demographics (gender, age, and race/ethnicity). Also presented are most serious offenses committed by probation clients, average length of stay, and disciplinary incident report rates.

ADMISSIONS

As shown in **Table 6-1,** probation violations topped the list of the most serious offenses (MSO) for probation admissions, accounting for 3 or 42.9% of all MSOs. Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia, Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer, and Battery accounted for the remaining 3 MSOs. One youth was adjudicated in Tribal Court and offense info was not available.

Table 6-1: Top 5 most serious offenses (MSO) for reintegration center admissions, New Mexico, FY16

Offense	Number of offenses	Percent
Probation Violation	3	42.9%
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	1	14.3%
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	1	14.3%
Battery	1	14.3%
(blank)*	1	14.3%
Total Top 5 (out of 7 total offenses)	7	100.0%
Total most serious offenses	7	100.0%

*Youth adjudicated by Tribal Court, therefore no offense info is available. Source: FACTS Database



In FY16, there were 5 female and 2 male probation admissions to a CYFD reintegration center (**Figure 6-1**). No clients received more than one probation admission during the fiscal year, therefore the 7 admissions are unduplicated by client.

Table 6-2: Reintegration Center admissions by age group, New Mexico, FY16

Age Group	# Admissions	Percent
<10*	0	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0.0%
14 - 15	1	14.3%
16 - 17	4	57.1%
>=18*	2	28.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	7	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes18-21 year olds Source: FACTS Database

Table 6-2 shows that most probation violation admissions were among clients aged 16-17 years old and 18 years and older.



Figure 6-2 presents reintegration center admissions by race/ethnicity. In FY16, probation admissions of Hispanic clients accounted for most admissions into reintegration centers (85.7%), followed by a client with 2 or more race/ethnicities at 14.3%.

Figure 6-3, **Table 6-3,** and **Table 6-4** all show the characteristics of clients residing in reintegration centers by facility. This snapshot view is based on the population of clients housed in CYFD reintegration centers on 12/31/2015, which was deemed a "typical" day in the fiscal year. Note that the counts for each reintegration center include both probation and supervised release clients.



While 7 clients were admitted into reintegration centers in FY16, **Figure 6-3** shows that the snapshot of facilities indicates that 15 clients were housed in CYFD's reintegration centers on 12/31/2015. 11 (73.3%) of these clients on the snapshot date were male, while 4 (26.7%) were female.

Table 6-3: Snapshot* of clients in reintegration centers, by age group & facility, New Mexico, FY16

Age Group (years)	ABRC		AGRC		ENRC		Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
<10**	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
14 - 15	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%
16 - 17	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	2	13.3%	4	26.7%
>=18**	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	4	26.7%	10	66.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	5	33.3%	4	26.7%	6	40.0%	15	100.0%

*Snapsho: = Reported daily population for 12/31/2015, **<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes18-21 year olds Source: FACTS Database

Table 6-3 shows that on 12/31/2015, 66.7% of the reintegration center clients were in the age 18 years and older group, while 26.7% were in the 16-17 age group and 6.7% were in the 14-15 age group. ENRC had the highest number of clients at 6.

Table 6-4: Snapshot* of clients in reintegration centers, by race/ethnicity & facility, New Mexico, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	ABRC		AGRC		ENRC		Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%
Hispanic	5	33.3%	4	26.7%	3	20.0%	12	80.0%
African American	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%
Unknown/Missing	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	5	33.3%	4	26.7%	6	40.0%	15	100.0%

*Snapshot = Reported daily population for 12/31/2015 Source: FACTS Database

Table 6-4 shows the race/ethnicity breakdown of the snapshot data for the reintegration centers. On 12/31/2015, 12 (80.0%) were Hispanic, 2 (13.3%) clients were Non-Hispanic White, and 1 (6.7%) client had 2 or more race/ethnicities.

Average Daily Population and Length of Stay



As described in the intro to this section, the average daily population (ADP) for all reintegration centers combined for FY16 was 19 clients. The ADP includes both probation clients and supervised release clients. **Figure 6-4** above shows the breakdown by reintegration center. The ADP was greatest at ENRC with 9 clients. ENRC also had the greatest ADP-to-capacity ratio at 75.0%.

Figure 6-5: Average length of stay (days) at reintegration centers, by gender, New Mexico, FY16

N=10



Figure 6-5 shows that the average length of stay (ALOS) at reintegration centers for probation clients discharged in FY16 was 108.2 days, an increase of 1.6 days compared to FY15. On average, female probation clients stayed 112.0 days less than male probation clients in FY16.

Table 6-5: Average length of stay (ALOS) for clients housed in reintegration centers, by age group, New Mexico, FY16

Age Group	Clients (N)	ALOS (Days)
<10*	0	0.0
10 - 11	0	0.0
12 - 13	0	0.0
14 - 15	1	24.0
16 - 17	6	101.5
>=18*	3	149.7
Unknown	0	0.0
Total	10	108.2

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes18-21 year olds Source: FACTS Database

Table 6-5 presents average length of stay (ALOS) for clients housed in reintegration centers, by age group. The ALOS for probation clients discharged in FY16 was 108.2 days. The aged 18 and older group had the longest ALOS with an average of 149.7 days. The age group with the greatest number of discharged probation clients (6) was the 16-17 years old group. Those clients stayed an average of 101.5 days.

Table 6-6: Average length of stay (ALOS) for clients housed in reintegration centers, by race/ethnicity, New Mexico, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Clients (N)	ALOS (Days)
Non-Hispanic White	1	238.0
Hispanic	6	58.0
African American	0	0.0
Asian	0	0.0
Native American	1	244.0
Native Hawaiian	1	164.0
2 or more	1	88.0
Unknown/Missing	0	0.0
Total	10	108.2

Source FACTS Database

Table 6-6 shows ALOS by race/ethnic group. For probation clients discharged in FY16, Hispanics had the most clients (6) but not the longest ALOS. The Native American group with 1 client had the longest ALOS of 244.0 days. The next highest ALOS was 238.0 days for 1 Non-Hispanic White client.

Disciplinary Incident Reports



Figure 6-6 illustrates the FY16 rate of disciplinary incident reports (DIR rate per 100 clients, based on average daily population), by reintegration center. The overall DIR rate for all reintegration centers (see note for **Figure 6-7** below) was 76.3 per 100 clients. ABRC had the highest rate of DIRs at 152.4 per 100 clients, and ENRC had the lowest rate at 35.1 per 100 clients. Please note that CRC's rate of 100 was skewed by the fact that they only housed clients for less than three months of the year.



The overall DIR rates per 100 clients for reintegration centers for FY13-FY16 are depicted above in **Figure 6-7**. The graph shows an upward trend in the overall DIR rate for reintegration centers over the last 4 fiscal years.

Please note that in the annual report for FY14 the overall DIR Rate for reintegration centers was incorrectly reported as 28.9, but the correct rate was 56.3. The overall DIR Rate was not reported for reintegration centers in the FY15 annual report. **Figure 6-7** shows the correct rates and rate of increase for FY13-FY16.

Section 7: Juveniles Referred to/in Detention Centers

In 2008, New Mexico launched the first internet/web-based system in the nation, linking all detention centers, JPO offices, and district court judges statewide to one real-time information tracking system, Screening Admissions & Releases Application (SARA). Developed and implemented by the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) team and community detention partners, SARA enabled the statewide implementation of the Risk Assessment Instrument (RAI), a NM Children's Code mandated screening tool for all youth referred to detention.

SARA aids JPOs and other law officers in determining the steps of care needed for each individual juvenile referred to, or in detention centers. Specifically, SARA:

- Provides a mechanism for the equitable and consistent screening of children referred for detention statewide.
- Provides access to accurate prior offense information 24/7 on any youth screened by the Risk Assessment Instrument (RAI) for juvenile probation and the courts.
- Monitors the status of youth in detention and allows juvenile probation supervisors to manage timelines for case expedition.
- Monitors through a "red flag alert" system, any State statutory violation with respect to JDAI core principles and JJDPA core requirements.
- Increases the quality of juvenile justice systems service assurance and improves reliability of detention data.
- Provides information for monitoring of compliance with State statute and Federal funding requirements.
- Provides statewide and regional detention data across system agencies, the courts, and law enforcement, that is used to informs policy makers, and aids with internal decision-making.

In FY16 SARA was transitioned to the JJS Application Analysis Unit (AAU) for support and further development. Additionally, in FY16 security enhancements were made and quality assurance processes were implemented for SARA data.

The SARA system provides New Mexico the ability to be in alignment with other Annie E. Casey Foundation grantees. The data from the SARA system offers CYFD an additional tool to track New Mexico youth awaiting placement for treatment, at risk for out-of-home placement, or transport for juvenile commitment.

Following are some key terms used to aggregate data from SARA. These terms have been in place and consistent in our reporting for over ten years since New Mexico implemented JDAI.

Screened:

- Cases referred for a detention decision
- No special detention situation noted
- Reasons for a referral to detention in which the risk assessment instrument (RAI) is applied include:
    - Delinquent offense
    - Probation violation
        - Delinquent offense + probation violation (no warrant)
        - Probation violation
        - Warrant—probation violation

Special Detention:

- Cases referred for a detention decision when there is an outstanding arrest or bench warrant
- Most serious offense is usually a probation violation; some are left blank
- RAI is usually scored; however, there are some situations where scoring is not possible or not considered necessary
- Reasons for a special detention referral include:
    - Warrant—arrest
    - Warrant—bench
    - Warrant—Failure to appear
    - Warrant—parole detention order/supervised release retake
    - Warrant—not indicated
    - Warrant—magistrate/municipal

Auto Detention:

- Cases where a decision is not necessary
- RAI is NOT SCORED
- Most serious referred offense is not completed
- Reasons for "auto" referral for detention:
    - Committed/Diagnostic- return to court on pending case
    - Detained pending post-dispositional placement
    - Violation of court order/condition of release
    - GPS (Global Positioning System) violation
    - Hold for out of state—Interstate Compact on Juveniles (ICJ)
    - Electronic monitoring
    - Hold for out of state—Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)
    - Drug court hold
    - Remand order
    - Juvenile court hold (not drug court)
    - Community custody hold
    - Program for Empowerment of Girls (PEG) hold
    - Transport order
    - Parole Retake
    - Disposition- 15 day detention

In FY16, a total of 3,721 referrals for detention involved 2,477 unduplicated youth. The data in this section is extracted from SARA and includes information on offenses and overrides that resulted in youth being taken to detention centers, as well as admission and release data.

Table 7-1: Client outcome of referral by referral reason (N=3,721 referrals), New Mexico, FY16

Report Category	Referred Offense	Not Detained	Non-Secure Detention	Detained	Total
Screened	Delinquent offense	948	15	853	1,816
	Delinquent offense + Probation Violation (no warrant)	40	0	126	166
	Parole Retake (Supervised Release)	0	0	1	1
	Probation violation	5	0	109	114
	Probation violation (Warrant)	0	0	350	350
	Total Screened	993	15	1,439	2,447
Special detention	Warrart- arrest	2	1	324	327
	Warrart- Bench	5	0	94	99
	Warrart- FTA	0	0	38	38
	Warrart- Parole Detention Order/Retake	0	0	1	1
	Total Special	7	1	457	465
Auto detention	Committed/Diag - return to court on pending case	0	0	8	8
	Community Custody/PEG Hold	0	0	60	60
	Drug Ct. Hold	3	0	280	283
	GPS Violation/Electronic Monitoring	0	0	14	14
	Hold for out of state-ICJ	0	0	37	37
	Juvenile Court Hold (not Drug Court)	0	0	167	167
	Remand Order	5	0	36	41
	Transport Order	0	0	4	4
	Violation of court order/condition of release	1	0	194	195
	Total Auto	9	0	800	809
	Overall Total	1,009	16	2,696	3721*

*The 3,721 referrals for detention involved 2,477 unduplicated youth. Source: SARA Database

Table 7-1 lists the outcomes by referral reason within each reporting category from the SARA database system. There were three possible client outcomes: not detained, non-secure detention (treatment facility, group home, or shelter), or detained. Of the 3,721 referrals entered into SARA, 2,696 (72.5%) were detained, 1,009 (27.1%) were not detained, and 16 (0.4%) went to non-secure detention facilities. Most screened referrals (1,816 or 74.2%) were for delinquent referral reasons. Auto detentions mainly consisted of Drug court holds (283), Juvenile Court Holds (167), and Violation of court order/conditions of release (195). These three categories (645 or 79.7%) accounted for the majority of auto detentions.

Table 7-2: Clients referred to detention, by age at first referral in FY16, New Mexico

Age (Years)	Number	Percent
<10*	2	0.1%
10 - 11	19	0.8%
12 - 13	234	9.4%
14 - 15	783	31.6%
16 - 17	1,368	55.2%
>=18*	69	2.8%
Unknown	2	0.1%
Total	2,477	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds

Source: SARA Database

Table 7-2, shows the age of juveniles referred to detention. The calculation is based on the first referral in the reporting period. 16-17 year olds represented over half (55.2%) of all referral clients, followed by 14-15 year olds with 31.6%.



Figure 7-1 shows client risk assessment instrument outcomes by SARA report categories (screened, special detention and auto detention). In FY16, there were 3,721 total referrals for detention, a decrease of 12.4% compared to FY15. Of the 2,447 screened referrals in FY16, 1,439 (58.8%) resulted in the client being detained.



Figure 7-2 shows client referrals to detention by gender. The 3,721 referrals for detention involved 2,477 unduplicated youth. Males comprised 71.0% of the total in FY16, down 0.7% compared to FY15. Females comprised 29.0%, which was up 0.8% over FY15.



Figure 7-3 presents data on clients referred to detention by race/ethnic group. In FY16, 1,694 (68.4%) of the youths referred were Hispanic, followed by 462 Non-Hispanic White (18.7%) and 160 Native American (6.5%). A total of 37 (1.5%) referral records were missing the youth's race/ethnicity.

Table 7-3: Top 15 screened offenses for referrals to detention, New Mexico, FY16

Referred screened offense	Not Detained	Non-Secure Detention	Detained	Total
Battery (Household Member)	190	2	144	336
Probation Violation - Residence	2	0	124	126
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	94	0	26	120
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	1	0	89	90
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	44	0	46	90
Probation Violation - Reporting	0	0	74	74
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)	3	0	70	73
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	0	0	72	72
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	49	2	18	69
Battery	48	0	19	67
Unlawful Taking of a Motor Vehicle (1st Offense)	29	0	31	60
Burglary (Automobile)	24	0	28	52
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	42	0	7	49
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	33	0	16	49
Burglary (Dwelling House)	6	2	32	40
Total number (Top 15)	565	6	796	1,367
Total	993	15	1,439	2,447

Table 7-3, show that the top 15 offenses represented 55.9% of all screened offenses (1,367). Battery (household member) topped the list with 336 referrals, followed by probation violation - residence with 126. Battery (household member) had 46 more youths not detained (190) than detained (144).



Figure 7-4 Of the 2,696 referrals resulting in detention in FY16, there were 2,124 unduplicated youth with at least one detention admission during the year. It is possible for a client to be counted in more than one category. Overall, 73.0% percent of juveniles detained were male and 27.0% were female. By category, males comprised 74.6% of screened, 70.6% of special detention, and 71.2% of auto detention.

Table 7-4: Detained clients, by age group at first detained intake in FY16, New Mexico

Age (Years)	Number
<10*	0
10 - 11	4
12 - 13	136
14 - 15	511
16 - 17	963
>= 18*	66
Unknown/Missing	1
Total	1,681

*<10 includes 7-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds

Source: SARA Database

Table 7-4 presents the number of detained clients by age group at first detained intake in FY16. Out of the 2,696 referrals resulting in detention in FY16, there were 1,681 unduplicated youths detained. The age of juveniles detained was based on the client's earliest detention admission in the fiscal year. All detained youth were only counted once. Youth aged 16-17 years old accounted for the most detained clients at 57.3%. No juveniles under age 10 were detained.

Figure 7-5 presents detention data by race/ethnicity. Of the 1,681 unduplicated youth detained in FY16, 68.1% were Hispanic, followed by 18.1% who were Non-Hispanic White.



Figure 7-6 presents the average daily population (ADP) by gender and juvenile detention center. The ADP was generated from SARA, which calculates a daily census for each day in the reporting period and then averages the daily census.

Note that youth age 18 years or older may be transferred or admitted to an adult detention center instead of being housed in a juvenile facility.



On the following page **Figure 7-7** refers to length of stay. Rather than report by facility where transfers impacted ALOS, we calculated averages by county of referral for detention in order to provide a more relevant duration for community programs aimed at alternatives to detention, or expedited case processing time. The referral county usually retains jurisdiction over formal case processing hearings and outcomes.

Youth transfers between detention centers can be tracked independently. Transfers between detention centers may occur for several reasons such as: available bed space, transport, arrangements between counties, and appearance in court are the most common reasons.

Figure 7-7 presents ALOS in detention, by referral county. During this reporting period, there were 2,675 releases from detention including youth who may have been admitted prior to FY16. A youth may have had multiple stays in detention during this period. SARA offers the ability to calculate the length of stay from admission date to release date. The length of stay (LOS) is a simple calculation of release date minus admission date. This includes any time spent in multiple detention centers. Note: Sierra county's LOS is skewed due to a small data set.



Section 8: Youth Services

This section describes client services related to education, medical, behavioral health, substance abuse, and community-based programs. These services are provided by New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department Juvenile Justice Services (JJS).

Education Services

Education services during secure commitment —JJS operates two New Mexico Public Education Department accredited high schools: Foothill High School (FHS) and Aztec Youth Academy (AYA). Foothill High School is located on the grounds of the secure JJS facilities in Albuquerque (Youth Diagnostic and Development Center and Camino Nuevo Youth Center). Aztec Youth Academy is located on the grounds of the secure facility in Las Cruces (John Paul Taylor Youth Center). Juveniles who have not graduated from high school, and who are committed to these secure facilities by the New Mexico courts, attend one of these two high schools during secure commitment.

Both high schools offer special education direct services including: teachers, speech language therapists, occupational therapists, education diagnosticians, school psychologists, vocational programming, English as a second language (ESL), library services, and General Equivalency Diploma (GED) preparation and testing. Foothill High School provides extracurricular NMAA sports activities (wrestling, basketball, football) that clients can participate in only if they reach certain academic and behavioral standards.

Accrediting authority — As the New Mexico Public Education Department (PED) maintains statutory authority and responsibility for the assessment and evaluation of the JJS high schools, Foothill High School and Aztec Youth Academy comply with the provisions of New Mexico Administrative Code, Title 6-*Primary and Secondary Education*.

Vocational education — JJS also offers post-secondary courses to high school graduate juveniles committed to the Albuquerque or Las Cruces facilities via agreements with Central New Mexico Community College (CNM) and Eastern New Mexico University-Roswell (ENMUR). JJS Education provides these programs in order to help students gain employable skills that will allow them to be productive citizens upon release. Clients are able to earn college credits from CNM and ENMUR through online programs in computer classrooms located at each facility.

During fiscal year 2016, clients achieved the following vocational education outcomes:

- 40 students were accepted to participate in post-secondary education opportunities at CNM or ENMUR; 13 at AYA, 27 at FHS, and 6 of the FHS students were dual credit students.
- 5 students at FHS took summer classes during CNM's intersession, these classes required a serious time commitment and energy, 2 of these students were dual credit students.
- 42 students at AYA were enrolled in job training courses. 4 were fully certified in the NCCER job training course and 38 were partially certified.
- 38 FHS students received a national certification. 24 were certified in introduction to telecommunications, and 14 were certified in copper based systems.

Partnering with CNM Workforce Solutions has provided clients the opportunity to earn industry based certificates. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Introduction to Construction, and Culinary/ Hospitality certification are examples of classes that have been offered onsite at the Youth Diagnostic and Development Center by CNM workforce instructors.

Additionally clients at the reintegration centers received education and employment opportunities. During fiscal year 2016 reintegration clients achieved the following educational and employment outcomes:

At **ABRC** 21 clients were employed, 7 were attending college, 1 received a GED, 10 attended GED prep courses, and 8 attended high school.

At **AGRC** 4 clients were employed, 5 were attending college, 1 received a GED, 11 attended GED prep courses, and 8 attended high school.

At **ENRC** 45 clients were employed, 9 were attending college, 5 attended GED prep courses and 5 attended high school.



Figure 8-1 above presents data on the number of General Equivalency Diploma (GED) and graduates of CYFD/JJS supported high schools over the last five school years. During the 2015-2016 school year, there were a total of 83 graduates. Of these, 62 clients received their GED, while 21 received a high school diploma.

Medical Services

The Juvenile Justice Services Medical Department provides care to facility clients by licensed health care professionals. During the first week, a medical doctor, physician's assistant or nurse practitioner will perform a physical exam. Clients receive testing for sexually transmitted infections (STIs), if necessary. If required, clients will also be tested for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Clients are updated on required vaccinations as needed, and are additionally given flu and hepatitis vaccinations to better protect them while in the facility. A dentist examines and x-rays each client's teeth and gums to address any dental needs. Additionally, each client also receives an eye and hearing exam.

The Medical Department also provides a nutrition program that begins by collecting Body Mass Index (BMI) measurements from clients four times a year. This data is given to the registered dietitian who then uses the information, in conjunction with other health factors, to identify those who are underweight, within normal limits, overweight, or obese. Clients who are underweight, overweight, or obese receive individualized nutritional counseling on weight management, risk factors, and strategies to improve their overall health. These clients also receive health education about the benefits of proper nutrition and healthy food choices. The registered dietitian also monitors the meals served in the cafeteria to ensure overall quality and nutrition. Our nutrition program seeks to educate our clients about the impact of proper nutrition on nearly every aspect of their daily lives from energy level and self-perception to emotional regulation and relapse prevention.

Behavioral Health Services

Behavioral health counselors are available to respond to facility clients 24 hours per day. Counselors are available for individual and group counseling during regular business hours, and a counselor remains on call after regular business hours in case of emergencies. Clients meet with behavioral health staff upon intake and are screened (resulting in a DSM diagnosis and needs level assessment) to determine their specific needs. There are many behavioral health services available in the facilities, and in the community. These include:

- Alcoholics Anonymous
- Anger management
- Art therapy
- Behavior management
- Community group
- Dialectical behavior therapy
- Empathic skills
- Family therapy
- Family visitation
- Group therapy
- Individual therapy
- Journaling/feedback
- Parenting classes
- Psycho-educational classes
- Resiliency/emotional intelligence
- Sex offender treatment
- Substance abuse programs
- Trauma spectrum
- Phoenix Curriculum (gang prevention, life skills and and more)

Cognitive Behavioral Therapies which focus on trauma indices, namely Trauma Focused-Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT), Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT) Coping Skills Training, and Seeking Safety, are all used to some degree in all JJS facilities. Sex Specific Therapy is also used for youth who have caused sexual harm.

Phoenix Curriculum

One programming component of the Cambiar New Mexico Model (for a description of this model, see page 13 of this report) is the Phoenix/New Freedom Program, a resource recognized as an evidence-based curriculum by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP)/National Gang Center. This program contains 100 one-hour lessons organized into five 20-lesson modules to reduce high risk, delinquent, criminal, and gang-related behaviors. Through the skillful use of cognitive behavioral therapy and motivational interviewing techniques, the Phoenix Curriculum teaches clients to recognize their specific risk factors and inoculates them against the highest risk factors for gang involvement. It also links clients to the most available protective factors and assets.

Phoenix Curriculum lessons aim to help clients:

- increase motivation (specifically importance, self-confidence, and readiness to change)
- develop emotional intelligence and empathy
- identify risk factors (people, places, things, situations) for violence, criminal behavior, and gang activity
- develop concrete action plans to successfully address these risk factors, and demonstrate effective skills to do so
- increase self-efficacy
- identify specific protective factors for buffering risk factors, including a safety net of supportive people who can help
- develop coping skills and impulse control
- manage aggression and violence
- master new problem-solving skills
- prepare to reenter former neighborhood, school, and family settings, including specific action plans

Substance Abuse Program

Camino Nuevo Youth Center (CNYC) has implemented a combination of evidence-based treatments that were developed and tested in New Mexico – namely motivational interviewing and community reinforcement approach - with the goal of building motivation to change and learning the coping skills needed to maintain those changes in the community. These approaches were chosen because of their empirical evidence, cultural inclusivity, age appropriateness. and cost effectiveness. This program is in the process of being disseminated throughout all of the CYFD/JJS facilities statewide, and behavioral staff in all facilities will be trained and monitored for fidelity and consistency in using this program. Collaborations are being developed with community substance abuse providers, particularly the Addiction and Substance Abuse Program (ASAP) at the University of New Mexico Hospital. Training and education of medical and security staff are ongoing. In addition, several Alcoholics Anonymous groups meet at CNYC and the Youth Diagnostic and Development Center (YDDC), and will be in all facilities in FY16. Narcotics Anonymous group meets at CNYC.

Community Services

Juvenile Community Corrections (JCC) —This program is a unique approach to working with adjudicated delinquent youth. The program utilizes a team approach which includes client, family, contracted agencies, local public schools staff, juvenile probation officers, and other significant individuals in the client's life. The program provides participants with program services based on the client's individualized needs. Case managers are able to work with clients on a daily basis to help them learn new skills, search for employment, build social skills, find community service opportunities, assist with school work, and help them make more positive decisions. JCC can also provide transportation for clients to appointments, work, community service, and school. More information on this program can be found in the Special Program Unit's annual report.

Section 9: Behavioral Health

This section presents information on three key youth behavioral health topics: 1) the number of clients with substance abuse offenses and with minor in possession and driving while intoxicated (MIP/DWI) offenses; 2) behavioral health services recommendations; and 3) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-5 and DSM-4) diagnoses for clients admitted to secure facilities.

The ADE database, initiated in 2009, is a secure web-based client tracking program that provides a way of monitoring behavioral health recommendations made by CYFD clinical staff for adjudicated youth. CYFD contracted with ADE, Incorporated, from Clarkston, Michigan to develop this case management software. The goal of creating this client tracking system was to integrate work processes into the software, offer collaboration between services providers, enhance reporting functions, and provide timely and accurate data for consistent decision making. Service recommendations, treatment plans, diagnoses, and clinical staff notes are the main pieces of information stored in the ADE database.

Substance Abuse and Minor in Possession/Driving While Intoxicated (MIP/DWI)

This section is a summary of the substance abuse and MIP/DWI offenses drawn from FY16 charges dataset. Out of the total clients (9,204) in FY16, 28.9% had substance abuse offenses (2,661), and 7.6% had MIP/DWI offenses (697). Also included is the number of facility clients diagnosed with substance and alcohol DSM diagnoses.

Figure 9-1: Number of clients with minor in possession/driving while intoxicated (MIP/DWI) offenses and number of charges, New Mexico, FY11-FY16



Figure 9-1 illustrates that the number of clients referred for MIP/DWI has steadily decreased over the last few years, from 2,059 in FY11 to 697 clients in FY16. Similarly, the number of MIP/DWI offenses has declined, from 2,787 charges in FY11 to 770 charges in FY16. Data also show that the number (697) of MIP/DWI clients declined 17.4% from FY15 (844), and the number (770) of MIP/DWI offenses declined 17.7% (936) from FY15.

Table 9-1: Clients with MIP/DWI Offenses by gender and race/ethnicity, New Mexico, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Female		Male		Totals	
	Count	% of Overall Total	Count	% of Overall Total	Count	% of Overall Total
Non-Hispanic White	62	8.9%	86	12.3%	148	21.2%
Hispanic	174	25.0%	297	42.6%	471	67.6%
African American	5	0.7%	9	1.3%	14	2.0%
Asian	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Native American	22	3.2%	34	4.9%	56	8.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%
2 or more	0	0.0%	7	1.0%	7	1.0%
Unknown/Missing	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	263	37.7%	434	62.3%	697	100.0%

Source: FACTS Database

Table 9-1 shows that Hispanic male and female clients had the most MIP/DWI offenses, 297 and 174, respectively, in FY16. This was followed by Non-Hispanic White male and female clients, at 86 and 62, respectively.

Table 9-2: Clients with MIP/DWI Offenses by age group, New Mexico, FY16

Age group	Number of clients with a MIP/DWI Offense	% of MIP/DWI Offense clients	Number of clients for all offenses	% of clients for all offenses
<10	1	0.1%	178	1.9%
10-11	3	0.4%	333	3.6%
12-13	38	5.5%	1,469	16.0%
14-15	173	24.8%	3,035	33.0%
16-17	481	69.0%	4,084	44.4%
>=18	0	0.0%	93	1.0%
Unknown	1	0.1%	12	0.1%
Total	697	100.0%	9,204	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 years olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Table 9-2 presents MIP/DWI offenses by age in New Mexico during FY16. The age groups with the most MIP/DWI offenses were age 16-17 years old, followed by clients age 14-15 years old (69.0% and 24.8% of all MIP/DWI offenses, respectively). Of all clients age 14-17 years old, MIP/DWI offenses accounted for 9.2% of all their offenses.

Table 9-3: Clients with Substance Abuse Offenses by gender and race/ethnicity, New Mexico, FY16

	Female		Male		Totals	
Race/Ethnicity	Count	% of Overall Total	Count	% of Overall Total	Count	% of Overall Total
Non-Hispanic White	155	5.8%	356	13.4%	511	19.2%
Hispanic	494	18.6%	1,309	49.2%	1,803	67.8%
African American	10	0.4%	44	1.7%	54	2.0%
Asian	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%
Native American	76	2.9%	173	6.5%	249	9.4%
Native Hawaiian	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	2	0.1%
2 or more	8	0.3%	29	1.1%	37	1.4%
Unknown/Missing	2	0.1%	2	0.1%	4	0.2%
Total	747	28.1%	1914	71.9%	2661	100.0%

Source: FACTS Database

Table 9-3 presents data on substance abuse offenses, by gender and race/ethnicity. In FY16, Hispanic clients had the most substance abuse offenses, with 1,309 males and 494 females. This was followed by Non-Hispanic White clients with 356 males and 155 females, and Native American clients at 173 males and 76 females. For FY16, there were 1,914 male clients and 747 female clients with substance abuse offenses, in comparison to 1,998 male clients and 876 female clients in FY15.

Table 9-4: Clients with Substance Abuse Offenses by age group, New Mexico, FY16

Age group	Number of clients with a Substance Abuse Offense	% of Substance Abuse Offense clients	Number of clients for all offenses	% of clients for all offenses
<10	2	0.1%	178	1.9%
10-11	32	1.2%	333	3.6%
12-13	292	11.0%	1,469	16.0%
14-15	818	30.7%	3,035	33.0%
16-17	1,461	54.9%	4,084	44.4%
>=18	54	2.0%	93	1.0%
Unknown	2	0.1%	12	0.1%
Total	2,661	100.0%	9,204	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 years olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Table 9-4 describes substance abuse offenses by age, in FY16. The age groups with the most substance abuse offenses were age 14-15 year olds and 16-17 year olds, together accounting for 85.6% of all substance abuse offenses. Of clients age 14-17 years old, substance abuse offenses accounted for 32% of all their offenses. Note: multiple clients may be represented more than once in each category (i.e., in substance abuse offenses, in all offenses, or both).

Behavioral health service recommendations for probation clients

Clients on probation receive behavioral health services based on SDM risk score and needs level. Some reasons a client may receive behavioral health services are:

- The client is 13 or under
- The client was charged with a sex offense
- The client has high needs
- The client is homeless
- The client expresses suicidal or homicidal ideation or intentions

Additionally a probation officer may consult with a behavioral health clinician to determine if a client may benefit from receiving behavioral health services.

Table 9-5: Top 20 Behavioral health services recommendations, New Mexico, FY16

Recommendation	Count	% of All Recommendations
BH-11 Individual Therapy	1,199	13.4%
BH-43 Residential Treatment	1,165	13.1%
BH-09 Medication Management	672	7.5%
BH-13 Family Therapy	645	7.2%
ED-01 Public Education	604	6.8%
BH-12 Group Therapy	409	4.6%
BH-48 Other	385	4.3%
BH-25 Multi-Systemic Therapy (MST)	298	3.3%
BH-41 Group Home	295	3.3%
BH-36.1 Substance Abuse - Counseling	288	3.2%
BH-37 Drug Court	275	3.1%
BH-02 Assessment: Bio-Psycho-Social	259	2.9%
BH-40 Treatment Foster Care	232	2.6%
ED-02 GED	199	2.2%
BH-08 Psychiatric Assessment	189	2.1%
BH-36 Substance Abuse - Intensive Outpatient Tx	161	1.8%
BH-01 Screening	153	1.7%
BH-31 Comp. Community Support Srvcs. (CCSS)	149	1.7%
ED-07 Other	119	1.3%
LS-03 Other	101	1.1%
Total Number Recommendations in Top 20	7,797	87.5%
Total Number of All Recommendations	8,915	100.0%
Data pulled 11/18/2016		Source: ADE Database

Table 9-5 shows that there were 8,915 behavioral health services recommendations made in FY16. The top 20 comprised 87.5% (7,797) of all recommendations made. The top three recommended services were: individual therapy (1,199); residential treatment (1,165); and medication management (672); and together, comprised about a third of the total number of service recommendations. The number of recommended services reflected that there were multiple recommendations per client.

Behavioral health screening and assessment for clients admitted to secure facilities

Upon intake, each client receives comprehensive screening and assessment. Screenings and assessments will vary from client to client, depending on the results of the initial screen. Some clients will show greater needs than others in the initial screen.

Screening, assessments, and diagnostic interviews result in tailored service recommendations for each client. The following is a list of some (not all) of the screening and assessments that are administered to clients:

- Massachusetts Youth Screening Instrument – Version 2 (MAYSI-2)
- Kaufman Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Aged Children - Present and Lifetime (K-SADS-PL)
- Millon Adolescent Clinical Inventory (MACI)
- Adolescent Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory (SASSI-A2)
- Child and Adolescent Functional Assessment Scale (CAFAS)

After a client has completed all screening, assessments, and diagnostic interviews behavioral health staff attend an Intake, diagnostic, and disposition meeting and a consensus is reached for the level rating and DSM-5 diagnosis for the client. The level rating represents the level of needs each client has, with level 1 being the lowest and level 3 being the highest. The DSM-5 provides a common language and standard criteria for classifying behavioral health disorders.

The remainder of this section presents some results for clients diagnosed with behavioral health disorders in FY16. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 4th edition (DSM-4) was used for diagnoses of clients until September 30th, 2015. On October 1st, 2015 ADE began using The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 5th edition (DSM-5) for diagnoses of clients. In this section information for clients will be shown with both DSM-4 and DSM-5 charts. DSM-4 was used for only the first quarter of FY16 so a smaller amount of information is represented in the graphs and charts below. In FY17 only DSM-5 will be used.



Figure 9-2 shows that Cannabis related disorder was the most common substance abuse diagnosis, using DSM-4, for clients admitted to secure facilities in FY16. Of 155 clients admitted, 18.7% had a cannabis related disorder. The other most common disorders included: alcohol related (15.5%) and polysubstance related (8.4%). (Note: multiple clients may be represented in one or more diagnosis categories).



Figure 9-3 shows that Cannabis use disorder, moderate or severe was the most common substance abuse diagnosis for clients admitted to secure facilities in FY16. Of 155 clients admitted, over half (54.2%) had a cannabis use disorder, moderate or severe. The other most common disorders included: alcohol, moderate or severe (32.3%) and stimulant use disorder, moderate or severe (25.2%). (Note: multiple clients may be represented in one or more diagnosis categories).

Table 9-6: Top 10 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-4) diagnoses for clients (n=155) admitted to secure facilities, New Mexico, FY16

Diagnosis	Number	Percent
Conduct Disorder	**45**	18.4%
Abuse/Neglect Problem	**32**	13.1%
Cannabis-Related Disorder	**29**	11.8%
Alcohol Related Disorder	**24**	9.8%
Depressive Disorder	**17**	6.9%
Relational Problem	**15**	6.1%
PTSD	**14**	5.7%
Polysubstance Related Disorder	**13**	5.3%
Amphetamine Related Disorder	**10**	4.1%
substance induced disorder	**9**	3.7%
Total Number Diagnoses in Top 10	208	84.9%
Total Number of All Diagnoses	245	100.0%

Data pulled 12/7/2016 Source: ADE Database

Table 9-6 illustrates the top 10 DSM-4 diagnoses for clients admitted to secure facilities in FY16 (note: multiple clients may be represented in more than one diagnosis category). Of the 155 admitted facility clients, the most common diagnoses were: a conduct disorder (29%); an abuse/neglect problem (20.6%); a cannabis related disorder (18.7%). The top 10 DSM-4 diagnoses accounted for 84.9% percent of the total DSM-4 diagnoses made for clients admitted to secure facilities.

Table 9-7: Top 20 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-5) diagnoses for clients (n=155) admitted to secure facilities, New Mexico, FY16

Diagnosis	Number	Percent
304.30 Cannabis use disorder; moderate or severe	**84**	10.3%
V62.5 Imprisonment or Other Incarceration	**69**	8.5%
995.52 Child neglect, confirmed	**62**	7.6%
V62.3 Academic or Educational Problems	**55**	6.8%
303.9 Alcohol use, moderate or severe	**50**	6.2%
995.51 Child psychological abuse, Confirmed	**44**	5.4%
995.54 Child Physical Abuse, Confirmed	**43**	5.3%
304.40 Stimulant Use Disorder; Moderate or Severe; Amphetamine Type	**39**	4.8%
300.4 Persistent Depressive Disorder (Dysthymia)	**36**	4.4%
V61.20 Parent-Child Relational Problems	**34**	4.2%
312.32 Conduct disorder; adolescent onset type	**23**	2.8%
304.00 Opioid Use Disorder; Moderate or severe	**22**	2.7%
309.81 Post-traumatic stress disorder	**21**	2.6%
312.81 Conduct disorder; childhood onset type	**20**	2.5%
304.90 Other/unknown Substance Use Disorder; moderate or severe	**19**	2.3%
995.53 Child sexual abuse, Confirmed	**15**	1.8%
995.53 Child sexual abuse, Suspected	**12**	1.5%
304.20 Stimulant Use Disorder; Moderate or Severe; Cocaine	**10**	1.2%
305 Alcohol use, mild	**9**	1.1%
304.40 Stimulant Use Disorder; Moderate or Severe; Other/Unspecified Stimulant	**8**	1.0%
Total Number Diagnoses in Top 20	675	83.0%
Total Number of All Diagnoses	813	100.0%

Data pulled 12/7/2016 Source: ADE Database

Table 9-7 illustrates the top 20 DSM-5 diagnoses for clients admitted to secure facilities in FY16; (note: multiple clients may be represented in more than one diagnosis category). Of the 155 admitted facility clients, the most common diagnoses and the percentage of clients with these diagnoses were: cannabis use disorder, moderate or severe (54.2%); imprisonment or other incarceration (44.5%); child neglect, confirmed (40%); academic or education problems (35.5%); alcohol use, moderate or severe (32.3%). The top 20 DSM-5 diagnoses accounted for 83% percent of the total DSM-5 diagnoses made for clients admitted to secure facilities.

Section 10: Case Processing and Caseloads

CASE PROCESSING



Case Processing Time is directly related to both the type of charge and the seriousness of the charge. The Children's Code currently dictates the following time frames for case processing if a juvenile is **NOT** detained:

1. The JPO has thirty (30) days from the date a referral is received to conduct the preliminary inquiry (PI).
2. If the referral is handled formally, the children's court attorney has sixty (60) days to file a petition alleging a delinquent offense/probation violation.
3. Once the petition is filed, the court then has one hundred twenty (120) days to adjudicate the case, and sixty (60) days from adjudication to dispose the case.

If a juvenile **IS** detained, the Children's Code dictates the following time frames:

1. The preliminary inquiry must be held within twenty-four (24) hours.
2. The children's court attorney must file the petition within forty-eight (48) hours.
3. All court hearings up to and including disposition must occur within thirty (30) days.

It is important to note that case processing times begin at the time the referral is received by the JPO. The following figures indicate that all entities are complying with the intent of the Children's Code to expedite juvenile cases, with the exception of dispositional hearings for grand jury indictments.



Figure 10-1 shows that in FY16, grand jury petitions had the longest processing times compared to probation violations and delinquent referrals. On average, grand jury petitions took 397 more days to process than delinquent referrals and 479 more days to process than probation violations. The petition type that had the quickest on average case processing time was probation violations.



Figure 10-2 shows the average case processing time for the different degrees of charges. First degree felony cases took the longest time to process, while high misdemeanors took the shortest amount of time. Furthermore, first degree felony cases had a significantly higher average of days from "incident to referral" than the other levels of charges.

JUVENILE PROBATION OFFICER CASELOAD

Juvenile probation officer (JPO) caseload is categorized into three groups:

- *Pre-disposition:* refers to the number of youth who have had a petition filed and are awaiting adjudication, but are not being formally supervised by the JPO.

- *Monitoring:* consists of informal conditions, informal supervision, and time waiver. Time waivers also may, or may not, involve active JPO supervision depending on the conditions set by the attorneys.

- *Supervision*: consists of conditional release, probation, supervised release, Interstate Compact on Juveniles parole, and Interstate Compact on Juveniles probation/tribal. Conditional release refers to any conditions of release ordered by the court, either at the first appearance or upon release from secure detention, that require JPO Supervision.

Juveniles on probation may be seen at different intervals, depending on their supervision level as determined by the Structured Decision Making® (SDM) system for juvenile justice. According to the National Council on Crime and Delinquency, the SDM model "...is an evidence– and research-based system that identified the key points in the life of a juvenile justice case and uses structured assessments that are valid, reliable, equitable, and useful." Key components of the model include detention screening instruments, actuarial risk assessments, a disposition matrix, post-disposition decisions, case management tools, a response matrix, and a custody and housing assessment.

Supervision levels range from minimum (client is seen face to face by a JPO at least once a month), medium (client is seen every two weeks), maximum (client is seen at least once a week), and intensive (client is seen multiple times a week). SDM standards also recommend that the JPO meet with both the client's family and any treatment providers at the same intervals. These supervision levels are minimum contact standards for JPOs, and supervisor/chief JPOs may also assign community support officers (CSO) to supervise cases and/or provide additional support on an individual basis. All clients on supervised release (Parole) receive AT LEAST maximum supervision for ninety (90) days following their release, and clients placed in a residential treatment center (RTC) receive minimum supervision.

SDM reassessments are conducted at least every one-hundred eighty (180) days for clients on probation and at least every ninety (90) days for clients on supervised release. Supervision levels may decrease or increase at each reassessment, dependent upon various individual circumstances taken into account by the SDM tool. The SDM tool may also be used to justify terminating supervision early if the juvenile's risk and/or needs scores are improving and the juvenile demonstrates that he/she has either achieved the goals developed in conjunction with the needs score on the SDM, or no longer needs supervision to be able to attain those goals.



Figure 10-3 illustrates the number of supervision and monitoring caseloads in FY16. Juvenile probation officers handled more cases that required supervision (57.6%), than monitoring (42.4%). Overall, the number of cases in FY16 decreased from the FY15 caseload of 3,183 (with 58.6% requiring supervision and 41.4% requiring monitoring).



Figure 10-4 presents the number of monitoring cases, by case type. Over two thirds (69.8%) of the cases were handled through informal conditions. This was followed by time waiver (20.7%) and informal supervision (9.5%).



Figure 10-5 shows the number of supervision cases, by case type. The vast majority of these supervision cases were for probation (81.2%), followed by conditional release (13.1%), supervised release (3.1%), Interstate compact probation/tribal (2.5%), and Interstate compact parole (0.1%).

Section 11: Disproportionate Minority Contact

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) as defined by the national Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) refers to, "the disproportionate number of minority youth who come into contact with the juvenile justice system". DMC is currently measured across nine decision points: arrest; referral (to court); diversion (from court); detention; petition filed; adjudicated delinquent; probation placement; commitment; and juveniles bound over to adult court. The number of youth at each of these decision points are compared with estimated populations for the state and each county. The data is broken down further by ethnicity to develop the Relative Rate Index (RRI) for each race/ethnicity category. Definitions for these nine decision points can be found in OJJDP's DMC Databook (http://ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/dmcdb/).

The RRI is a numerical value that indicates the number of times youth of color are contacted at each decision point compared with the number of contacts for white youth. The reference group for this measure is Non-Hispanic White males (value given is 1.0). For example, in FFY15 (Table 11-2 on page 85), the statewide RRI for a Black/African American at arrest was 1.59, so for every ten Non-Hispanic White youth, almost sixteen Black/African American youth were arrested statewide. Or, put another way, a Black/African American youth is arrested almost 1.6 times more often in the State of New Mexico compared to a Non-Hispanic White youth. In the State of New Mexico, each contact with a juvenile is counted separately (with a potential for a client to be counted multiple times depending on the number of referrals incurred during the fiscal year). The RRI is calculated for each county in the State of New Mexico, as well as statewide. Beginning in 2016, the State of New Mexico, as required by the OJJDP Title II grant solicitation, converted ALL RRI calculations from the State Fiscal Year (July 1 of one year to June 30 of the next year) to the Federal Fiscal Year (October 1 of one year to September 30 of the next year). The DMC Coordinator has successfully converted all RRI numbers for the entire state and each county to Federal Fiscal Year going back to 2007. The following numbers are calculated for Federal Fiscal Year 15 (October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016).

RRI calculations, entered by the DMC Coordinator into tables provided by the OJJDP, look at rates between the nine decision points using the following key. It is important to note that some aspects are unique to New Mexico and do not necessarily align with the model. We began to look at these differences and their impact on RRI calculations in FY14.

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold Font**
Results that are not statistically significant:	Regular Font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population:	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis:	**
Missing data for some element of calculation:	—

Definitions of rates:

Recommended base	**Base used in New Mexico**
1. Juveniles Arrested—rate per 1000 population	per 1000 youth
2. Referrals to Juvenile Court—rate per 100 arrests	per 100 arrests
3. Juveniles Diverted before adjudication—rate per 100 referrals	per 100 referrals
4. Juveniles Detained—rate per 100 referrals	per 100 referrals
5. Juveniles Petitioned—rate per 100 referrals	per 100 referrals
6. Juveniles found to be delinquent—rate per 100 youth petitioned (charged)	per 100 youth petitioned
7. Juveniles placed on probation—rate per 100 youth found delinquent	per 100 youth found delinquent

Example: The model assumes that diversion (line 4) is a subset of cases referred to Juvenile Court. In New Mexico, this represents the number of cases handled informally and not referred to juvenile court. Therefore, In New Mexico, the rate calculated for cases per 100 referrals is using referrals to Juvenile Court rather than the rate of diversion to arrest.

To reduce overrepresentation of minority youth at all nine points of the Juvenile Justice System, New Mexico is currently implementing the DMC Reduction Model recommended by the OJJDP. This model focuses on studying systemic problems rather than problems of individual youth and uses a continuous quality improvement public health approach that includes the following five phases:



- *Identification* – in this phase, states calculate the RRI at the nine contact points to determine whether or not disproportionality exists.
- *Assessment/Diagnosis* – states assess the possible explanations for disproportionality at the decision points that have demonstrated statistical significance, have sufficient volume, and are of a high enough magnitude to warrant further analysis at a more advanced statistical level, and begin to ask questions about the data collected.
- *Intervention* – plans for delinquency prevention and any system improvement activities are implemented in this phase, and are based on the assessment in Step 2.
- *Evaluation* – each state should be conducting a systematic, thorough and objective evaluation of each DMC program/process to ensure that it is having the desired impact.
- *Monitoring* – this phase involves examining any changes in demographics that may affect DMC trends, adjusting existing programs if necessary, and sustaining DMC efforts.

The remainder of this section presents FFY15 data on both the number of individuals from each race/ethnicity (the sum) as well as the RRI for each race/ethnicity at the nine decision points (Note: in FFY15, zero (0) cases were transferred to adult court—the ninth decision point). This analysis provides both the sums and RRIs statewide for:

- All referrals, as reported to OJJDP
- A new, refined methodology we are testing which delineates referrals by referral type (delinquent referral, probation violation, and status referral)

Identifying the RRI for separate categories of offenses has assisted in identifying possible short term, as well as long term goals in order to address this critical issue of disproportionality. Currently, the intent is to focus on arrests rather than other types of referrals.

County Appendices include the same information by New Mexico county.

ALL REFERRALS

Relative Rate Index (RRI) Count —In FFY15, there were 221,944 youth aged 10-17 years residing in the State of New Mexico (**Table 11-1**). During this time period, there were 12,127 arrests, with the majority of these arrests involving youth identified as Hispanic/Latino (68%). At the nine decision points that occur as a client moved through the juvenile justice system, Hispanic/Latino clients outnumbered all of the other race/ethnic groups at eight of nine decision points (no cases were transferred to adult court in FFY15 in New Mexico). Hispanic/Latino clients were the largest race/ethnic group within the state and had the highest representation within all of the populated decision points. The next two largest groups were Non-Hispanic White and American Indian/Alaska Native.

When grouping all of the minority ethnicities into one group, at all eight decision points, all minorities represented more than 74.4% of the total client population as follows: juvenile arrests (80.7%); cases involving referral to juvenile court (81.5%); cases diverted (79.8%); cases involving secure detention (83.2%); cases where charges were filed (82.5%); cases resulting in delinquent findings (81.7%); cases resulting in probation placement (81.2%); and cases resulting in confinement in secure juvenile correctional facilities (85.6%).

Table 11-1: All New Mexico referrals - disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts, New Mexico, FFY15

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	221,944	58,970	5,080	131,121	3,181		23,592		162,974
2. Juvenile Arrests	12,127	2,345	337	8,248	11	12	550	224	9,782
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	5,477	1,014	175	3,859	5	4	325	101	4,463
4. Cases Diverted	6,575	1,325	159	4,347	6	8	614	116	5,250
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2,412	406	81	1,710	2		178	35	2,006
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3,682	645	128	2,595	3	4	243	64	3,037
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1,955	365	50	1,429	1	2	131	23	1,630
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1,719	324	41	1,217		2	116	19	1,395
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	174	25	7	129	1		9	3	149
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be assessed?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

release date: March, 2011

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1. Population:

Item 2. Arrest: FACTS Extract - Referrals.

Item 3. Referral: FACTS Extract - JPO Decisions (refer to CCA).

Item 4. Diversion: FACTS Extract - JPO Decisions (Handle

Item 5. Detention: SARA Extract - Statewide Detention Screening and Assessment Tool

Item 6. Petitioned: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions

Item 7. Delinquent: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions (excludes Dismissed, Nolle

Item 8. Probation: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions

Item 9. Confinement: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions (Judgement-Commitment, YO

Item 10. Transferred: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions

Reporting Period: October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016

Relative Rate Index (RRI) —**Table 11-2** illustrates the RRI for clients of each ethnic group compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. In FFY15, Black/African American clients were the most overrepresented at: arrest; having their cases go to juvenile court; having their cases involve secured detention; and at having charges filed as compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. Moreover, Black/African American clients were diverted at a lower rate than all other race/ethnic groups. Hispanic/Latino clients were: arrested; referred to juvenile court; had charges filed; and had their cases result in delinquent findings at a higher rate as compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. Also, Hispanic clients had their cases diverted at a lower rate when compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. American Indian/Alaska Native clients had the lowest rates of arrest and referral to juvenile court, but were detained at a higher rate when compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. Alaska Native/Native Americans did have their cases diverted at a higher rate (a positive), compared with Non-Hispanic White clients and other minority groups.

When considering the comparison of all minorities vs. Non-Hispanic White clients, all minorities were arrested, had their case referred to juvenile court, had charges filed, and had their cases result in delinquent findings at a higher rate compared to White youth during FFY15.

Table 11-2: All New Mexico referrals - disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI), New Mexico, FFY15

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**1.67**	**1.58**	**0.09**	•	1.01	•	**1.51**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**1.20**	**1.08**	**	•	**0.79**	•	**1.06**
4. Cases Diverted	**0.70**	**0.86**	**	•	**1.45**	•	**0.90**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.16	**1.11**	**	•	**1.37**	•	**1.12**
6. Cases Petitioned	1.15	**1.06**	**	•	**1.18**	•	**1.07**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**0.69**	0.97	**	•	0.95	•	**0.95**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	0.92	0.95	**	•	1.00	•	0.96
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Faciliti	**2.04**	**1.32**	**	•	1.00	•	**1.39**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	•	**	•	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	•
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	--

Reporting Period: October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016

DELINQUENT REFERRALS ONLY

Relative Rate Index (RRI) Count—During FFY15, 9,334 arrests occurred for delinquent acts (**Table 11-3**), with the majority of these arrests involving Hispanic/Latino youth (84.4%). At the nine decision points that occur as a client moves through the juvenile justice system, Hispanic/Latino clients outnumbered all of the other race/ethnic groups at eight of the points (no cases were transferred to adult court in FFY15 in New Mexico). Hispanic/Latino clients were the largest race/ethnic group, followed by Non-Hispanic White and American Indian/ Alaska Native clients.

When grouping all of the minority ethnicities into one group, within all eight decision points, all minorities represented more than 73.4% of the total client population: juvenile arrests (79.6%); cases involving referrals to juvenile court (80.4%); cases diverted (78.8%); cases involving secure detention (82.2%); cases where charges were filed (81.2%); cases resulting in delinquent findings (79.5%); cases resulting in probation placement (79.2%); and cases resulting in confinement in secure juvenile correctional facilities (85.1%).

Table 11-3: Delinquent referrals only - disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) counts, New Mexico, FFY15

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	221,944	58,970	5,080	131,121	3,181		23,592		162,974
2. Juvenile Arrests	9,334	1,903	271	6,269	6	9	686	190	7,431
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4,283	840	136	2,948	3	2	268	86	3,443
4. Cases Diverted	4,981	1,057	132	3,276	3	7	408	98	3,924
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	903	161	26	623	2		81	10	742
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	2,734	515	91	1,881	1	2	193	51	2,219
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1,330	272	28	920		1	92	17	1,058
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1,226	256	23	845		1	88	13	970
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	67	10	4	46			4	3	57
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be assessed?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

release date: March, 2011

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1.Population:

Item 2.Arrest: FACTS Extract - Referrals.

Item 3.Referral: FACTS Extract - JPO Decisions (refer to OCA).

Item 4.Diversion: FACTS Extract - JPO Decisions (Handle

Item 5.Detention: SARA Extract - Statewide Detention Screening and Assessment

Item 6.Petitioned: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions

Item 7.Delinquent: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions (excludes Dismissed, Nolle

Item 8.Probation: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions

Item 9.Confinement: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions (Judgement-Commitment,

Item 10.Transferred: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions

Reporting Period: October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016

Relative Rate Index (RRI) —Table 11-4 illustrates the RRI for clients with delinquent referrals for each race/ethnic group compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. In FFY15, Black/African American clients were: arrested for a delinquent offense; referred to juvenile court; had charges filed; and had cases resulting in confinement in secure juvenile justice correctional facilities at a higher rate when compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. Moreover, Black/African American clients were diverted (i.e., handled informally) less when compared to Non-Hispanic White youth. Hispanic/Latino clients had higher rates of juvenile arrests and referrals to juvenile court compared with non-White Hispanic clients, and also had their cases diverted less than White youth. American Indian/Alaska Native clients were arrested and referred to juvenile court for a delinquent offense at a lower rate than White youth, however, they also had their cases diverted at a higher rate, involve secure detention at a higher rate, when compared to Non-Hispanic White clients.

When considering the comparison of all minorities vs. Non-Hispanic White clients in FFY15, all minorities were: arrested for a delinquent act; had cases referred to juvenile court; and had cases that resulted in petitioning at a higher rate than White youth. Minorities also had their cases diverted less than White youth.

Table 11-4: Delinquent referrals only - disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI), New Mexico, FFY15

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**1.65**	**1.48**	**0.06**	*	**0.90**	*	**1.41**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.14	**1.07**	**	*	**0.69**	*	**1.05**
4. Cases Diverted	**0.77**	**0.88**	**	*	**1.21**	*	**0.91**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.00	**1.10**	**	*	**1.58**	*	**1.12**
6. Cases Petitioned	1.09	1.04	**	*	**1.17**	*	**1.05**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**0.58**	**0.93**	**	*	0.90	*	**0.90**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	0.98	**	*	1.02	*	0.97
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**1.36**	**	*	**	*	**1.47**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Key:
Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
Missing data for some element of calculation: ---
Reporting Period: October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016

PROBATION VIOLATIONS ONLY

Relative Rate Index (RRI) Count—As **Table 11-5** shows, 1,014 arrests occurred for probation violations during FFY15, with the majority of these arrests involving youth identified as Hispanic/Latino (87.1%). At the nine decision points that occur as a client moves through the juvenile justice system, Hispanic/Latino clients outnumbered all of the other race/ethnic groups at eight of the points (no cases were transferred to adult court in FFY15 in New Mexico). Hispanic /Latino clients were the largest race/ethnic group, followed by Non-Hispanic White and American Indian/Alaska Native clients.

When grouping minority clients into one group, at all eight decision points, all minorities represented more than 73.4% of the total client population: juvenile arrests (86.3%); cases involving referral to juvenile court (86.2%); cases diverted (100%); cases involving secure detention (84.9%); cases where charges were filed (86.3%); cases resulting in delinquent findings (86.0%); cases resulting in probation placement (86.2%); and cases resulting in confinement in secure juvenile correctional facilities (86.0%).

Table 11-5: Probation violation referrals only - disproportionate minority contact (DMC) counts, New Mexico, FFY15

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	221,944	58,970	5,080	131,121	3,181		23,592		162,974
2. Juvenile Arrests	1,014	139	41	762	2	2	54	14	875
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,006	139	39	757	2	2	53	14	867
4. Cases Diverted	7		2	5					7
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	417	63	27	291			30	6	354
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	932	128	37	701	2	2	49	13	804
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	665	93	22	503	1	1	39	6	572
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	493	68	18	372		1	28	6	425
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	107	15	3	83	1		5		92
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be assessed?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

release date: March, 2011

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1.Population:

Item 2.Arrest: FACTS Extract - Referrals.

Item 3.Referral: FACTS Extract - JPO Decisions (refer to CCA).

Item 4.Diversion: FACTS Extract - JPO Decisions (Handle

Item 5.Detention: SARA Extract - Statewide Detention Screening and Assessment

Item 6.Petitioned: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions

Item 7.Delinquent: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions (excludes Dismissed, Nolle

Item 8.Probation: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions

Item 9.Confinement: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions (Judgement-Commitment,

Item 10.Transferred: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions

Reporting Period: October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016

Relative Rate Index (RRI) —Table 11-6 illustrates the RRI for clients of each race/ethnic group compared to Non-Hispanic White clients in FFY15. When grouping all of the minority ethnicities into one group, minority clients had probation violations entered at a significantly higher rate when compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. Black/African Americans, followed by Hispanic/Latino clients had probation violations entered at a higher rate as compared to Non-Hispanic White clients; and American Indian/Native Americans, followed by Black/African American clients had the highest rates of having cases involving secured detention as compared to Non-Hispanic White clients.

Table 11-6: Probation violation referrals only - disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI), New Mexico, FFY15

	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**3.42**	**2.47**	**	*	0.97	*	**2.28**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	0.95	0.99	**	*	0.98	*	0.99
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**1.59**	**0.85**	**	*	1.25	*	**0.90**
6. Cases Petitioned	1.09	1.01	**	*	1.00	*	1.01
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.82	0.99	**	*	1.10	*	0.98
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	1.01	**	*	0.98	*	1.02
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	1.02	**	*	**	*	1.00
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	—

Reporting Period: October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016

Status Referrals (non-delinquent Offenses) Only

Relative Rate Index (RRI) Counts—As presented in **Table 11-7**, 1,779 arrests occurred for status referrals (non-delinquent offenses) in FFY15, with the majority of these arrests involving youth identified as Hispanic/Latino (68.4%). At the nine decision points that occur as a client moves through the juvenile justice system, Hispanic/ Latino clients outnumbered all of the other race/ethnic groups at eight of the points (no cases were transferred to adult court in FFY15 in New Mexico). Hispanic /Latino clients were the largest race/ethnic group, followed by Non-Hispanic White clients and American Indian/Alaska Native youth.

When consolidating all minority clients into one group, at each of the eight decision points, minorities represented more than 73.4% of the total client population. All minority youth comprised: 83.0% of juvenile arrests; 81.4% of cases involving referral to juvenile court ; 83.1% of cases diverted ; 60.0% of cases involving secure detention; 87.5% of cases where charges were filed; 0.0% of cases resulting in delinquent findings; 0.0% of cases resulting in probation placement; and 0.0% of cases resulting in confinement in secure juvenile correctional facilities .

Table 11-7: Status referrals only - disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI), New Mexico, FFY15

	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	221,944	58,970	5,080	131,121	3,181		23,592		162,974
2. Juvenile Arrests	1,779	303	25	1,217	3	1	210	20	1,476
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	188	35		148			4	1	153
4. Cases Diverted	1,587	268	25	1,066	3	1	206	18	1,319
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	30	12	1	15			2		18
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	16	2		13			1		14
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0								0
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	0								0
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be assessed?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

release date: March, 2011

5. DATA SOURCES & NOTES

Item 1.Population:
Item 2.Arrest: FACTS Extract - Referrals.
Item 3.Referral: FACTS Extract - JPO Decisions (refer
Item 4.Diversion: FACTS Extract - JPO Decisions (Handle
Item 5.Detention: SARA Extract - Statewide
Item 6.Petitioned: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions
Item 7.Delinquent: FACTS Extract - Formal
Item 8.Probation: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions
Item 9.Confinement: FACTS Extract - Formal
Item 10.Transferred: FACTS Extract - Formal Dispositions
Reporting Period: October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016

Relative Rate Index (RRI) - **Table 11-8** illustrates the RRI for clients of each race/ethnic group compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. In FFY15, Hispanic/Latino clients, followed by American Indian/Alaskan Native clients, were referred for a status (non-delinquent) offense at a higher rate when compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. When considering the comparison of all minorities vs. Non-Hispanic White clients, all minorities were referred for a status offense at higher rate than White youth.

Table 11-8: Status referrals only - disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI), New Mexico, FFY15

	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	0.96	**1.81**	**	*	**1.73**	*	**1.76**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.05	**	*	**	*	0.90
4. Cases Diverted	**	**0.94**	**	*	**	*	**1.13**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**0.90**	**	*	**	*	**0.94**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Key:
Statistically significant results: **Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant: Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population: *
Insufficient number of cases for analysis: **
Missing data for some element of calculation: —
Reporting Period: October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016

County Appendices by District

New Mexico has 33 counties that group into 14 judicial districts. The information in the county appendices provides county level data for key topics found in the main body of the FY16 JJS Annual Report. Data by referral type (delinquent, status (non-delinquent), and probation violation) is provided for gender, age, race/ethnicity, action taken/disposition, and top offenses for each county. In addition, there is information on case processing time, MIP/DWI offenses, probation violations for Alcohol/Drugs, JPO workload, admissions to juvenile facilities, and disproportionate minority contact. The county profiles are grouped by district number.

The following table provides a breakdown of referrals by referral type, that occurred in New Mexico during FY16:

Number of clients with a referral and the number of referrals, by referral type, New Mexico, FY16

Referral Type*	Unduplicated Clients**	%	Referrals (N)	%
Delinquent referrals	7,829	76.4%	9,757	77.4%
Status (non-delinquent)	776	7.6%	1,800	14.3%
Probation violation referrals	1,643	16.0%	1,053	8.4%
Total	10,248	100.0%	12,610	100.0%

*Total accrued offenses in FY16 was 19,766

**Clients may appear more than once if they have referrals in multiple counties. Clients may also have more than one type of referral.

Keeping in mind that a client may have had multiple offenses per referral, the total accrued offenses in FY16 was 19,766. The most serious charge determined if a referral was processed as a referral type of delinquent, status (non-delinquent), or probation violation. Overall, there were 10,248 unduplicated clients with 12,610 referrals in FY16.

In the County Appendix totals, clients may appear more than once if they have referrals in multiple counties or if they have more than one type of referral. For example, Jane Doe had 2 delinquent referrals, one is from Curry County and the other is from Roosevelt County. She would be counted twice, once for each county's referral total. In another example, John Doe had two referrals within FY16, one was a delinquent referral and the other was a probation violation referral. Therefore, he would be counted once in the delinquent referral total and once in the probation violation referral total. In FY16, 177 clients received more than one referral of the same referral type in more than one county. In FY16, 814 clients received 2 or more referrals of different referral types.

In order to protect the confidentiality of youth, some county demographic tables have had counts and percentages replaced with ***. If individual county numbers are needed, please send an email request to: JJSDataRequest@state.nm.us.

The reader is encouraged to refer back to key sections of the FY16 JJS Annual Report for clarification and assistance on definitions and methodologies used to collect the data included in the County Appendices.



District 1: Los Alamos County

	Los Alamos County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	2,011	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	0.9%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$101,934	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	64.2%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	4.0%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	4.0%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	17.1%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.0%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 1: Los Alamos County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Los Alamos County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	11.9%
Male	37	88.1%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**42**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Los Alamos County, FY16

Age (years)	Los Alamos County	District 1 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	1	5	71	1.4%	0.9%
10 - 11	0	14	254	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	15	76	1,311	1.1%	16.7%
14 - 15	10	196	2,596	0.4%	33.2%
16 - 17	16	297	3,584	0.4%	45.8%
>=18*	0	1	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**42**	**589**	**7,829**	**0.5%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Los Alamos County, FY16

	Referrals (N=51)*
Handled Formally	**34**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	7
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	1
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	25
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**17**
Assessed/Referred	13
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	4
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Los Alamos County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	29	69.0%
Hispanic	11	26.2%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	2	4.8%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**42**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Los Alamos County, FY16

Offense	Number
Trespass	27
Criminal Damage to Property (Over $1000)	13
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	9
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	6
Burglary (Automobile)	3
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	3
Battery	3
Criminal Sexual Contact/Minor 2nd Degree (Child Under 13) (Unclothed)	2
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	2
Assault (Attempted Battery)	2
Total Top Offenses	70
Total Offenses County	94
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	74.5%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Los Alamos County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	19	67.9%
Male	9	32.1%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**28**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Los Alamos County, FY16

Age (years)	Los Alamos County	District 1 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	15	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	10	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	1	29	212	0.5%	12.9%
14 - 15	15	87	580	2.6%	35.3%
16 - 17	12	99	648	1.9%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**28**	**240**	**1,643**	**1.7%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Los Alamos County, FY16

	Referrals (N=28)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**28**
Assessed/Referred	11
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	17
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Los Alamos County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	11	39.3%
Hispanic	15	53.6%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	1	3.6%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	3.6%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**28**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Los Alamos County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	26
Runaway	2
Total Top Offenses	28
Total Offenses County	28
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Los Alamos County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Los Alamos County, FY16

Age (years)	Los Alamos County	District 1 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	4	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	0	24	233	0.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	0	43	414	0.0%	53.4%
>=18*	0	7	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**0**	**78**	**776**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Los Alamos County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Los Alamos County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Los Alamos County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no probation violation referrals for Los Alamos County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Los Alamos County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	4	0	0
Referral to JPPO Decision	6	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	18	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	51	0	0

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Los Alamos County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	4	100.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Los Alamos County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Los Alamos County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	4	100.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Los Alamos County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Los Alamos County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	0	0.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	0	0.0%
Total		**0**	**0.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Los Alamos County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

District 1: Los Alamos County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Los Alamos County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	2,011	1,374	34	466	120		17		637
2. Juvenile Arrests	59	24	1	30	1			3	35
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	19	8		9				2	11
4. Cases Diverted	40	16	1	21	1			1	24
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2	2							0
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	9	7		2					2
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	7	6		1					1
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	7	6		1					1
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed seperately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Los Alamos County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**3.69**	**	*	*	*	**3.15**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 1: Rio Arriba County

	Rio Arriba County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	4,197	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	1.9%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$38,635	$44,968
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	16.6%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	24.1%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	19.3%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	71.5%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	0.6%	100.0%

Sources:
*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	48	33.3%
Male	96	66.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**144**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Age (years)	Rio Arriba County	District 1 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	1	5	71	1.4%	0.9%
10 - 11	2	14	254	0.8%	3.2%
12 - 13	18	76	1,311	1.4%	16.7%
14 - 15	55	196	2,596	2.1%	33.2%
16 - 17	67	297	3,584	1.9%	45.8%
>=18*	1	1	3	33.3%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**144**	**589**	**7,829**	**1.8%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Rio Arriba County, FY16

	Referrals (N=164)*
Handled Formally	**99**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	24
Dismissed	5
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	2
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	2
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	1
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	2
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	63
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**65**
Assessed/Referred	50
Informal Services	3
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	12
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	12	8.3%
Hispanic	126	87.5%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	4	2.8%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	2	1.4%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**144**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Offense	Number
Battery	30
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	19
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	18
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	17
Unlawful Carrying of a Deadly Weapon on School Premises	10
Criminal Damage to Property	10
Assault (Attempted Battery)	7
Battery (Household Member)	7
Aggravated Assault (Disguised) - Attempt	7
Trespass	6
Total Top Offenses	131
Total Offenses County	251
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	52.2%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	26	46.4%
Male	30	53.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**56**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Age (years)	Rio Arriba County	District 1 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	3	15	108	2.8%	6.6%
10 - 11	3	10	90	3.3%	5.5%
12 - 13	5	29	212	2.4%	12.9%
14 - 15	25	87	580	4.3%	35.3%
16 - 17	20	99	648	3.1%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**56**	**240**	**1,643**	**3.4%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Rio Arriba County, FY16

	Referrals (N=62)*
Handled Formally	**3**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	3
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**59**
Assessed/Referred	41
Informal Services	4
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	14
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	3	5.4%
Hispanic	52	92.9%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	1.8%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**56**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	39
Runaway	17
Incorrigible	5
Offenses by Minors	1
Total Top Offenses	62
Total Offenses County	62
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	11	52.4%
Male	10	47.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**21**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Age (years)	Rio Arriba County	District 1 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	4	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	6	24	233	2.6%	30.0%
16 - 17	13	43	414	3.1%	53.4%
>=18*	2	7	107	1.9%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	21	78	776	2.7%	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Rio Arriba County, FY16

	Referrals (N=33)*
Handled Formally	**33**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	14
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	1
Judgment - Detention	5
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	7
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	2
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	4
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	4.8%
Hispanic	20	95.2%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**21**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	16
Probation Violation - Special Condition	12
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	11
Probation Violation - Residence	6
Probation Violation - Counseling	5
Probation Violation - School/Education	4
Probation Violation - Curfew	3
Probation Violation - Reporting	3
Probation Violation - Parents	2
Total Top Offenses	62
Total Offenses County	62
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Rio Arriba County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	114	0	5
Referral to JPPO Decision	7	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	27	0	1
Petition Filed to Disposition	53	0	65

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	62.5%
Male	3	37.5%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	50.0%
Male	5	50.0%
Total	**10**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	25.0%
Hispanic	5	62.5%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	12.5%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	10.0%
Hispanic	9	90.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**10**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	1	2.9%
	Informal Conditions	2	5.7%
	Time Waiver	5	14.3%
Supervision	Conditional Release	7	20.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	3	8.6%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	17	48.6%
Total		**35**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Rio Arriba County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	1	100.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

District 1: Rio Arriba County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Rio Arriba County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	4,197	288	24	3,105	13		767		3,909
2. Juvenile Arrests	245	14		224			5	2	231
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	124	10		110			3	1	114
4. Cases Diverted	121	4		114			2	1	117
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	64	3		60			1		61
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	64	6		56			2		58
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	52	4		47			1		48
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	43	3		39			1		40
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	2			2					2
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Rio Arriba County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	**1.48**	*	*	**	*	**1.22**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 1: Santa Fe County

	Santa Fe County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	13,344	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	6.0%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$52,315	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	40.1%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	14.2%	21.3%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	19.9%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	51.2%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	6.4%	100.0%

Sources:
*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 1: Santa Fe County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Santa Fe County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	139	34.5%
Male	263	65.3%
Unknown	1	0.2%
Total	**403**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Santa Fe County, FY16

Age (years)	Santa Fe County	District 1 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	3	5	71	4.2%	0.9%
10 - 11	12	14	254	4.7%	3.2%
12 - 13	43	76	1,311	3.3%	16.7%
14 - 15	131	196	2,596	5.0%	33.2%
16 - 17	214	297	3,584	6.0%	45.8%
>=18*	0	1	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**403**	**589**	**7,829**	**5.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Santa Fe County, FY16

	Referrals (N=537)*
Handled Formally	**278**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	84
Dismissed	23
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	5
Judgment - Detention	2
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	10
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	2
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	20
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	132
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**259**
Assessed/Referred	243
Informal Services	7
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	9
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Santa Fe County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	68	16.9%
Hispanic	321	79.7%
African American	4	1.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	6	1.5%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	4	1.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**403**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Santa Fe County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	102
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	75
Battery	67
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	49
Battery (Household Member)	47
Criminal Damage to Property	43
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	42
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	31
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(2nd Off)	19
Concealing Identity	16
Total Top Offenses	491
Total Offenses County	846
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	58.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Santa Fe County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	68	43.6%
Male	88	56.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**156**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Santa Fe County, FY16

Age (years)	Santa Fe County	District 1 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	12	15	108	11.1%	6.6%
10 - 11	7	10	90	7.8%	5.5%
12 - 13	23	29	212	10.8%	12.9%
14 - 15	47	87	580	8.1%	35.3%
16 - 17	67	99	648	10.3%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**156**	**240**	**1,643**	**9.5%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Santa Fe County, FY16

	Referrals (N=163)*
Handled Formally	**24**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	24
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**139**
Assessed/Referred	136
Informal Services	1
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	2
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Santa Fe County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	20	12.8%
Hispanic	134	85.9%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	0.6%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	0.6%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**156**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Santa Fe County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	98
Incorrigible	63
Runaway	2
Total Top Offenses	163
Total Offenses County	163
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Santa Fe County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	15	26.3%
Male	42	73.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**57**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Santa Fe County, FY16

Age (years)	Santa Fe County	District 1 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	4	4	22	18.2%	2.8%
14 - 15	18	24	233	7.7%	30.0%
16 - 17	30	43	414	7.2%	53.4%
>=18*	5	7	107	4.7%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**57**	**78**	**776**	**7.3%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Santa Fe County, FY16

	Referrals (N=96)*
Handled Formally	**96**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	41
Dismissed	5
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	9
Judgment - Detention	5
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	28
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	2
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	6
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Santa Fe County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	4	7.0%
Hispanic	50	87.7%
African American	1	1.8%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	1.8%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	1.8%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**57**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Santa Fe County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	43
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	36
Probation Violation - Special Condition	30
Probation Violation - School/Education	25
Probation Violation - Residence	17
Probation Violation - Reporting	17
Probation Violation - Parents	16
Probation Violation - Counseling	14
Probation Violation - Curfew	6
Probation Violation - Associates	4
Total Top Offenses	208
Total Offenses County	209
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	99.5%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Santa Fe County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	3	0	12
Referral to JPPO Decision	2	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	6	0	1
Petition Filed to Disposition	66	0	36

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Santa Fe County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	13	28.3%
Male	33	71.7%
Total	**46**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Santa Fe County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	11	32.4%
Male	23	67.6%
Total	**34**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Santa Fe County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	7	15.2%
Hispanic	39	84.8%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**46**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Santa Fe County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	3	8.8%
Hispanic	31	91.2%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**34**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Santa Fe County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	1	1.4%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	4	5.7%
Supervision	Conditional Release	20	28.6%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	1	1.4%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	44	62.9%
Total		**70**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Santa Fe County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	2	18.2%
Probation Violation	5	45.5%
Both	4	36.4%
Total	**11**	**100.0%**

District 1: Santa Fe County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Santa Fe County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	13,344	3,291	126	9,305	180		442		10,053
2. Juvenile Arrests	767	118	5	628			9	7	649
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	394	52	2	330			6	4	342
4. Cases Diverted	373	66	3	298			3	3	307
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	253	23	1	225			4		230
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	231	30	1	194			5	1	201
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	173	18	1	148			5	1	155
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	153	15	1	131			5	1	138
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	17	2		15					15
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Santa Fe County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	**1.88**	**	*	0.57	*	**1.80**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**1.19**	**	*	**	*	**1.20**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**0.71**	**	*	**	*	**0.71**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**1.54**	**	*	**	*	**1.52**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	1.02	**	*	**	*	1.02
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	**	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	**	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	**	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	**	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**No**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 2: Bernalillo County

	Bernalillo County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	68,659	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	30.9%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$54,315	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	40.1%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	14.2%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	19.9%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	51.2%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	25.4%	100.0%

Sources:
*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Bernalillo County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	596	35.7%
Male	1,074	64.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**1,670**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Bernalillo County, FY16

Age (years)	Bernalilo County	District 2 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	9	9	71	12.7%	0.9%
10 - 11	58	58	254	22.8%	3.2%
12 - 13	306	306	1,311	23.3%	16.7%
14 - 15	579	579	2,596	22.3%	33.2%
16 - 17	717	717	3,584	20.0%	45.8%
>=18*	1	1	3	33.3%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**1,670**	**1,670**	**7,829**	**21.3%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Bernalillo County, FY16

	Referrals (N=2,093)*
Handled Formally	**1,149**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	249
Dismissed	236
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	23
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	1
Judgment - Probation	56
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	51
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	165
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	2
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	365
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**944**
Assessed/Referred	39
Informal Services	786
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	33
No Further Action	86
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Bernalillo County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	309	18.5%
Hispanic	1,162	69.6%
African American	69	4.1%
Asian	***	***%
Native American	74	4.4%
Native Hawaiian	***	***%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	1	0.1%
Total	**1,670**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Bernalillo County, FY16

Offense	Number
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	336
Battery	288
Battery (Household Member)	239
Criminal Damage to Property	202
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)	131
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	114
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	108
Criminal Damage to Property (Over $1000)	78
Public Affray	65
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	55
Total Top Offenses	1,616
Total Offenses County	3,371
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	47.9%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Bernalillo County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	39	44.3%
Male	49	55.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**88**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Bernalillo County, FY16

Age (years)	Bernalillo County	District 2 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	2	2	108	1.9%	6.6%
10 - 11	6	6	90	6.7%	5.5%
12 - 13	14	14	212	6.6%	12.9%
14 - 15	28	28	580	4.8%	35.3%
16 - 17	37	37	648	5.7%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	1	1	3	33.3%	0.2%
Total	**88**	**88**	**1,643**	**5.4%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Bernalillo County, FY16

	Referrals (N=90)*
Handled Formally	**3**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	2
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**87**
Assessed/Referred	14
Informal Services	42
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	1
No Further Action	30
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Bernalillo County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	7	8.0%
Hispanic	77	87.5%
African American	3	3.4%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	1.1%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**88**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Bernalillo County, FY16

Offense	Number
Incorrigible	48
Truancy	33
Offenses by Minors	8
Runaway	1
Total Top Offenses	90
Total Offenses County	90
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Bernalillo County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	59	29.4%
Male	142	70.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**201**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Bernalillo County, FY16

Age (years)	Bernalillo County	District 2 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	4	4	22	18.2%	2.8%
14 - 15	58	58	233	24.9%	30.0%
16 - 17	105	105	414	25.4%	53.4%
>=18*	34	34	107	31.8%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**201**	**201**	**776**	**25.9%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Bernalillo County, FY16

	Referrals (N=297)*
Handled Formally	**297**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	44
Dismissed	62
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	15
Judgment - Detention	6
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	94
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	9
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	19
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	48
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Bernalillo County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	26	12.9%
Hispanic	145	72.1%
African American	12	6.0%
Asian	***	***%
Native American	9	4.5%
Native Hawaiian	***	***%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	1	0.5%
Total	**201**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Bernalillo County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Residence	194
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	157
Probation Violation - Curfew	95
Probation Violation - Reporting	83
Probation Violation - Special Condition	62
Probation Violation - Counseling	62
Probation Violation - Parents	43
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	40
Probation Violation - School/Education	36
Probation Violation - Driving	4
Total Top Offenses	776
Total Offenses County	780
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	99.5%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Bernalillo County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	29	223	10
Referral to JPPO Decision	11	5	1
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	28	18	8
Petition Filed to Disposition	121	358	88

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Bernalillo County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	32	45.7%
Male	38	54.3%
Total	**70**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Bernalillo County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	23	26.1%
Male	65	73.9%
Total	**88**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Bernalillo County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	14	20.0%
Hispanic	48	68.6%
African American	2	2.9%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	***	***%
Native Hawaiian	***	***%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**70**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Bernalillo County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	8	9.1%
Hispanic	73	83.0%
African American	5	5.7%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	1.1%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	1	1.1%
Total	**88**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Bernalillo County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	2	0.3%
	Informal Conditions	70	11.0%
	Time Waiver	122	19.1%
Supervision	Conditional Release	65	10.2%
	ICJ Parole	1	0.2%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	11	1.7%
	Supervised Release	24	3.8%
	Probation	344	53.8%
Total		**639**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Bernalillo County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	26	59.1%
Probation Violation	17	38.6%
Both	1	2.3%
Total	**44**	**100.0%**

District 2: Bernalillo County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Bernalillo County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	68,659	18,319	2,167	43,123	1,757		3,293		50,340
2. Juvenile Arrests	2,369	392	102	1,688	4	5	111	67	1,977
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,397	202	73	1,006	3	2	71	40	1,195
4. Cases Diverted	942	189	26	657	1	3	39	27	753
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	569	76	33	418	1		28	13	493
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	1,163	158	63	846	2	2	60	32	1,005
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	409	67	16	298	1	1	17	9	342
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	366	60	15	271		1	13	6	306
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	36	6	1	21	1		4	3	30
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Bernalillo County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**2.20**	**1.83**	**	*	**1.58**	*	**1.84**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**1.39**	**1.16**	**	*	1.24	*	**1.17**
4. Cases Diverted	**0.38**	**0.70**	**	*	**0.59**	*	**0.67**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.20	**1.10**	**	*	1.05	*	**1.10**
6. Cases Petitioned	1.10	**1.08**	**	*	1.08	*	**1.08**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**0.60**	**0.83**	**	*	0.67	*	**0.80**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	1.02	**	*	**	*	1.00
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	0.79	**	*	**	*	0.98
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 3: Doña Ana County

	Doña Ana County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	23,677	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	10.7%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$38,853	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	27.7%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	25.7%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	18.5%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	67.1%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	9.8%	100.0%

Sources:

*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.

^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.

£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Doña Ana County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	345	35.4%
Male	629	64.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**974**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Doña Ana County, FY16

Age (years)	Doña Ana County	District 3 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	7	7	71	9.9%	0.9%
10 - 11	32	32	254	12.6%	3.2%
12 - 13	216	216	1,311	16.5%	16.7%
14 - 15	288	288	2,596	11.1%	33.2%
16 - 17	429	429	3,584	12.0%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	2	2	10	20.0%	0.1%
Total	**974**	**974**	**7,829**	**12.4%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Doña Ana County, FY16

	Referrals (N=1,223)*
Handled Formally	**418**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	77
Dismissed	17
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	12
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	38
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	45
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	22
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	207
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**4**
Handled Informally	**801**
Assessed/Referred	113
Informal Services	609
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	48
No Further Action	31
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Doña Ana County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	133	13.7%
Hispanic	808	83.0%
African American	17	1.7%
Asian	***	***%
Native American	9	0.9%
Native Hawaiian	***	***%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**974**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Doña Ana County, FY16

Offense	Number
Battery	214
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	190
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	181
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	178
Battery (Household Member)	85
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	67
Public Affray	63
Criminal Damage to Property	63
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	51
Unlawful Carrying of a Deadly Weapon on School Premises	32
Total Top Offenses	1,124
Total Offenses County	1,737
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	64.7%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Doña Ana County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	106	53.5%
Male	92	46.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**198**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Doña Ana County, FY16

Age (years)	Doña Ana County	District 3 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	17	17	108	15.7%	6.6%
10 - 11	10	10	90	11.1%	5.5%
12 - 13	26	26	212	12.3%	12.9%
14 - 15	85	85	580	14.7%	35.3%
16 - 17	58	58	648	9.0%	39.4%
>=18*	1	1	2	50.0%	0.1%
Unknown	1	1	3	33.3%	0.2%
Total	**198**	**198**	**1,643**	**12.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Doña Ana County, FY16

	Referrals (N=241)*
Handled Formally	**18**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	18
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**223**
Assessed/Referred	78
Informal Services	132
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	2
No Further Action	11
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Doña Ana County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	19	9.1%
Hispanic	174	88.3%
African American	1	0.5%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	0.5%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	3	1.5%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**198**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Doña Ana County, FY16

Offense	Number
Runaway	144
Truancy	75
Incorrigible	29
Total Top Offenses	248
Total Offenses County	248
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Doña Ana County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	20	25.0%
Male	60	75.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**80**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Doña Ana County, FY16

Age (years)	Doña Ana County	District 3 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	2	2	22	9.1%	2.8%
14 - 15	23	23	233	9.9%	30.0%
16 - 17	35	35	414	8.5%	53.4%
>=18*	20	20	107	18.7%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**80**	**80**	**776**	**10.3%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Doña Ana County, FY16

	Referrals (N=100)*
Handled Formally	**100**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	2
Dismissed	3
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	16
Judgment - Detention	10
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	52
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	1
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	16
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Doña Ana County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	6	7.5%
Hispanic	73	91.3%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	1.3%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**80**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Doña Ana County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	62
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	49
Probation Violation - School/Education	46
Probation Violation - Residence	39
Probation Violation - Curfew	35
Probation Violation - Counseling	31
Probation Violation - Special Condition	28
Probation Violation - Reporting	28
Probation Violation - Parents	11
Probation Violation - Travel	7
Total Top Offenses	336
Total Offenses County	346
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	97.1%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Doña Ana County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	32	2	6
Referral to JPPO Decision	8	0	2
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	22	10	2
Petition Filed to Disposition	163	799	183

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Doña Ana County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	30	40.5%
Male	44	59.5%
Total	**74**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Doña Ana County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	15.6%
Male	27	84.4%
Total	**32**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Doña Ana County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	12	16.2%
Hispanic	59	79.7%
African American	1	1.4%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	1.4%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	1.4%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**74**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Doña Ana County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	3.1%
Hispanic	30	93.8%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	3.1%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**32**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Doña Ana County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	27	5.0%
	Informal Conditions	325	60.5%
	Time Waiver	13	2.4%
Supervision	Conditional Release	20	3.7%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	9	1.7%
	Supervised Release	1	0.2%
	Probation	142	26.4%
Total		**537**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Doña Ana County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	5	29.4%
Probation Violation	11	64.7%
Both	1	5.9%
Total	**17**	**100.0%**

District 3: Doña Ana County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Doña Ana County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	23,677	4,031	342	18,898	212		194		19,646
2. Juvenile Arrests	1,485	174	20	1,270		3	7	11	1,311
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	529	50	5	466			3	5	479
4. Cases Diverted	941	124	15	789		3	4	6	817
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	232	20	1	206			1	4	212
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	320	23	3	287			3	4	297
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	176	8	1	163			2	2	168
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	139	7		128			2	2	132
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	29	1	1	27					28
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Doña Ana County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.35	**1.56**	*	*	*	*	**1.55**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**1.28**	*	*	*	*	**1.27**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**0.68**	*	*	*	*	**0.69**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	1.11	*	*	*	*	1.11
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**1.34**	*	*	*	*	**1.35**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 4: Guadalupe County

	Guadalupe County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	411	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	0.2%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$30,722	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	13.5%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	23.9%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	15.6%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	79.2%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	0.0%	100.0%

Sources:

*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.

^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.

£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 4: Guadalupe County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Guadalupe County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	4	22.2%
Male	14	77.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**18**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Guadalupe County, FY16

Age (years)	Guadalupe County	District 4 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	0	4	254	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	0	14	1,311	0.0%	16.7%
14 - 15	5	48	2,596	0.2%	33.2%
16 - 17	13	48	3,584	0.4%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**18**	**114**	**7,829**	**0.2%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Guadalupe County, FY16

	Referrals (N=19)*
Handled Formally	**4**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	2
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	2
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**15**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	14
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	1
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Guadalupe County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	18	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**18**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Guadalupe County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	3
Harassment	3
Battery	3
Unlawful Hunting or Fishing (1st Offense)	2
Battery (Household Member)	2
Aggravated Battery (Deadly Weapon)	2
Bribery or Intimidation of a Witness (Threats-Testimony)	1
Use of Telephone to Harass	1
Leaving the scene of an accident involving damage to vehicle	1
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	1
Total Top Offenses	19
Total Offenses County	25
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	76.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Guadalupe County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	3	100.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**3**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Guadalupe County, FY16

Age (years)	Guadalupe County	District 4 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	1	2	90	1.1%	5.5%
12 - 13	0	1	212	0.0%	12.9%
14 - 15	1	5	580	0.2%	35.3%
16 - 17	1	5	648	0.2%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**3**	**13**	**1,643**	**0.2%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Guadalupe County, FY16

	Referrals (N=3)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**3**
Assessed/Referred	3
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Guadalupe County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	3	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**3**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Guadalupe County, FY16

Offense	Number
Incorrigible	3
Total Top Offenses	3
Total Offenses County	3
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Guadalupe County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Guadalupe County, FY16

Age (years)	Guadalupe County	District 4 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	0	8	233	0.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	0	7	414	0.0%	53.4%
>=18*	0	1	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**0**	**16**	**776**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Guadalupe County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Guadalupe County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Guadalupe County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no probation violation referrals for Guadalupe County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Guadalupe County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	2	0	18
Referral to JPPO Decision	31	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	56	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	100	0	3

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Guadalupe County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	1	100.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Guadalupe County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Guadalupe County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Guadalupe County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Guadalupe County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	4	50.0%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	1	12.5%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	3	37.5%
Total		**8**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Guadalupe County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

District 4: Guadalupe County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Guadalupe County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	411	33		371	4		3		378
2. Juvenile Arrests	20	1		19					19
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3			3					3
4. Cases Diverted	17	1		16					16
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2			2					2
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	2			2					2
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	2			2					2
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	2			2					2
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Guadalupe County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 4: Mora County

	Mora County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	438	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	0.2%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$23,822	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	13.9%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	23.9%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	16.2%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	80.2%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.0%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Mora County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	3	33.3%
Male	6	66.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**9**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Mora County, FY16

Age (years)	Mora County	District 4 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	4	4	254	1.6%	3.2%
12 - 13	1	14	1,311	0.1%	16.7%
14 - 15	2	48	2,596	0.1%	33.2%
16 - 17	2	48	3,584	0.1%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**9**	**114**	**7,829**	**0.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Mora County, FY16

	Referrals (N=10)*
Handled Formally	**4**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	1
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	3
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**6**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	5
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	1
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Mora County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	***	***%
Native Hawaiian	***	***%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**9**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Mora County, FY16

Offense	Number
Public Affray	4
Criminal Damage to Property	2
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	1
Receiving Stolen Property (Dispose) ($500 to $2,500)	1
Battery	1
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	1
Battery (Household Member)	1
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)	1
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	1
Total Top Offenses	13
Total Offenses County	13
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Mora County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Mora County, FY16

Age (years)	Mora County	District 4 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	2	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	0	1	212	0.0%	12.9%
14 - 15	0	5	580	0.0%	35.3%
16 - 17	0	5	648	0.0%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**0**	**13**	**1,643**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Mora County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Mora County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Mora County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no status (non-delinquent) referrals for Mora County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Mora County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Mora County, FY16

Age (years)	Mora County	District 4 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	0	8	233	0.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	0	7	414	0.0%	53.4%
>=18*	0	1	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**0**	**16**	**776**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Mora County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Mora County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Mora County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no probation violation referrals for Mora County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Mora County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	18	0	0
Referral to JPPO Decision	27	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	29	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	55	0	0

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Mora County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	1	100.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Mora County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Mora County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Mora County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Mora County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	0	0.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	0	0.0%
Total		**0**	**0.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Mora County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

District 4: Mora County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Mora County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	438	40	3	389	1		5		398
2. Juvenile Arrests	11			10			1		11
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	4			4					4
4. Cases Diverted	7			6			1		7
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1			1					1
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	1			1					1
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1			1					1
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1			1					1
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Mora County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 4: San Miguel County

	San Miguel County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	2,563	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	1.2%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$29,237	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	20.0%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	28.7%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	14.5%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	77.1%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	3.5%	100.0%

Sources:
*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, San Miguel County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	29	33.3%
Male	58	66.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**87**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, San Miguel County, FY16

Age (years)	San Miguel County	District 4 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	0	4	254	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	13	14	1,311	1.0%	16.7%
14 - 15	41	48	2,596	1.6%	33.2%
16 - 17	33	48	3,584	0.9%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**87**	**114**	**7,829**	**1.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, San Miguel County, FY16

	Referrals (N=109)*
Handled Formally	**59**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	15
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	4
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	5
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	4
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	1
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	28
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**50**
Assessed/Referred	8
Informal Services	42
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, San Miguel County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	6	6.9%
Hispanic	80	92.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	1.1%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**87**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, San Miguel County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	19
Criminal Damage to Property	15
Possession of Liquor by a Minor	11
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	10
Larceny ($250 or less)	10
Battery	9
Public Affray	7
Breaking and Entering	7
Criminal Damage to Property (Over $1000)	6
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	6
Total Top Offenses	100
Total Offenses County	183
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	54.6%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, San Miguel County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	50.0%
Male	5	50.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**10**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, San Miguel County, FY16

Age (years)	San Miguel County	District 4 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	1	2	90	1.1%	5.5%
12 - 13	1	1	212	0.5%	12.9%
14 - 15	4	5	580	0.7%	35.3%
16 - 17	4	5	648	0.6%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**10**	**13**	**1,643**	**0.6%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, San Miguel County, FY16

	Referrals (N=10)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**10**
Assessed/Referred	2
Informal Services	7
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	1
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, San Miguel County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	10.0%
Hispanic	9	90.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**10**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, San Miguel County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	7
Incorrigible	3
Total Top Offenses	10
Total Offenses County	10
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, San Miguel County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	12.5%
Male	14	87.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**16**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, San Miguel County, FY16

Age (years)	San Miguel County	District 4 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	8	8	233	3.4%	30.0%
16 - 17	7	7	414	1.7%	53.4%
>=18*	1	1	107	0.9%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**16**	**16**	**776**	**2.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, San Miguel County, FY16

	Referrals (N=27)*
Handled Formally	**27**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	5
Dismissed	2
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	5
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	13
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	2
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, San Miguel County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	16	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**16**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, San Miguel County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	10
Probation Violation - Curfew	7
Probation Violation - School/Education	7
Probation Violation - Counseling	6
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	6
Probation Violation - Special Condition	5
Probation Violation - Residence	5
Probation Violation - Parents	3
Probation Violation - Reporting	1
Probation Violation - Travel	1
Total Top Offenses	51
Total Offenses County	52
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	98.1%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, San Miguel County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	36	0	14
Referral to JPPO Decision	11	0	5
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	32	0	15
Petition Filed to Disposition	77	0	60

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, San Miguel County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	3	21.4%
Male	11	78.6%
Total	**14**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, San Miguel County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	5	100.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, San Miguel County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	14	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**14**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, San Miguel County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	5	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, San Miguel County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	5	16.7%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	3	10.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	2	6.7%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	20	66.7%
Total		**30**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, San Miguel County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	1	16.7%
Probation Violation	4	66.7%
Both	1	16.7%
Total	**6**	**100.0%**

District 4: San Miguel County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, San Miguel County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	2,563	343	36	2,110	28		46		2,220
2. Juvenile Arrests	137	13		123			1		124
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	76	8		68					68
4. Cases Diverted	61	5		55			1		56
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	16	2		14					14
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	43	3		40					40
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	35	2		33					33
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	28	2		26					26
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	7			7					7
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, San Miguel County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**1.54**	**	*	**	*	**1.47**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 5: Lea County

	Lea County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	9,003	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	4.1%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$57,533	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	12.6%	26.1%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	14.3%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	17.9%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	56.6%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	6.4%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Lea County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	116	29.7%
Male	274	70.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**390**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Lea County, FY16

Age (years)	Lea County	District 5 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	3	3	71	4.2%	0.9%
10 - 11	24	24	254	9.4%	3.2%
12 - 13	72	72	1,311	5.5%	16.7%
14 - 15	129	129	2,596	5.0%	33.2%
16 - 17	162	162	3,584	4.5%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**390**	**390**	**7,829**	**5.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Lea County, FY16

	Referrals (N=471)*
Handled Formally	**223**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	22
Dismissed	21
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	5
Judgment - Detention	9
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	36
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	1
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	129
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**248**
Assessed/Referred	3
Informal Services	208
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	34
No Further Action	3
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Lea County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	69	17.7%
Hispanic	287	73.6%
African American	26	6.7%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	0.3%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	4	1.0%
Missing	3	0.8%
Total	**390**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Lea County, FY16

Offense	Number
Public Affray	68
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	67
Battery	56
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	55
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	42
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	37
Battery (Household Member)	19
Burglary (Automobile)	14
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	13
Burglary (Commercial)	12
Total Top Offenses	383
Total Offenses County	578
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	66.3%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Lea County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	51	43.2%
Male	67	56.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**118**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Lea County, FY16

Age (years)	Lea County	District 5 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	6	6	90	6.7%	5.5%
12 - 13	8	8	212	3.8%	12.9%
14 - 15	36	36	580	6.2%	35.3%
16 - 17	68	68	648	10.5%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**118**	**118**	**1,643**	**7.2%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Lea County, FY16

	Referrals (N=123)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**123**
Assessed/Referred	71
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	52
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Lea County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	20	16.9%
Hispanic	92	78.0%
African American	4	3.4%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	0.8%
Missing	1	0.8%
Total	**118**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Lea County, FY16

Offense	Number
Runaway	70
Truancy	51
Incorrigible	2
Total Top Offenses	123
Total Offenses County	123
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Lea County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	19.2%
Male	21	80.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**26**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Lea County, FY16

Age (years)	Lea County	District 5 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	1	1	22	4.5%	2.8%
14 - 15	5	5	233	2.1%	30.0%
16 - 17	17	17	414	4.1%	53.4%
>=18*	3	3	107	2.8%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**26**	**26**	**776**	**3.4%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Lea County, FY16

	Referrals (N=33)*
Handled Formally	**33**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	2
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	7
Judgment - Detention	2
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	10
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	11
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Lea County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	7	26.9%
Hispanic	16	61.5%
African American	3	11.5%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**26**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Lea County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Residence	18
Probation Violation - Curfew	16
Probation Violation - Special Condition	13
Probation Violation - Reporting	6
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	6
Probation Violation - Parents	3
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	2
Probation Violation - School/Education	1
Probation Violation - Associates	1
Probation Violation - Restitution	1
Total Top Offenses	67
Total Offenses County	67
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Lea County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	30	0	16
Referral to JPPO Decision	6	0	1
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	26	0	30
Petition Filed to Disposition	61	0	21

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Lea County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	7	35.0%
Male	13	65.0%
Total	**20**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Lea County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	2	100.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Lea County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	3	15.0%
Hispanic	16	80.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	5.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**20**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Lea County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	50.0%
Hispanic	1	50.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Lea County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	6	5.8%
	Informal Conditions	42	40.4%
	Time Waiver	2	1.9%
Supervision	Conditional Release	1	1.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	2	1.9%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	51	49.0%
Total		**104**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Lea County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	2	18.2%
Probation Violation	9	81.8%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**11**	**100.0%**

District 5: Lea County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Lea County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	9,003	2,436	325	6,146	29		67		6,567
2. Juvenile Arrests	613	118	40	441			2	12	495
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	250	52	16	177			1	4	198
4. Cases Diverted	363	66	24	264			1	8	297
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	115	22	3	87				3	93
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	122	23	6	92				1	99
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	88	20	1	65				2	68
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	67	14	1	51				1	53
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	9	3		6					6
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Lea County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**2.54**	**1.48**	*	*	*	*	**1.56**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	0.91	0.91	*	*	*	*	0.91
4. Cases Diverted	**	**1.18**	*	*	*	*	**1.18**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	1.16	*	*	*	*	1.11
6. Cases Petitioned	**	1.18	*	*	*	*	1.13
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 6: Grant County

	Grant County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	2,707	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	1.2%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$38,311	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	26.2%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	20.5%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	13.6%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	49.9%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	0.6%	100.0%

Sources:
*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Grant County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	51	31.7%
Male	110	68.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**161**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Grant County, FY16

Age (years)	Grant County	District 6 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	4	7	71	5.6%	0.9%
10 - 11	3	6	254	1.2%	3.2%
12 - 13	26	35	1,311	2.0%	16.7%
14 - 15	46	97	2,596	1.8%	33.2%
16 - 17	81	155	3,584	2.3%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	1	1	10	10.0%	0.1%
Total	**161**	**301**	**7,829**	**2.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Grant County, FY16

	Referrals (N=201)*
Handled Formally	**64**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	32
Dismissed	4
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	1
Judgment - Detention	3
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	8
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	4
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	4
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	8
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**137**
Assessed/Referred	5
Informal Services	123
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	9
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Grant County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	***	***%
Hispanic	127	78.9%
African American	***	***%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	***	***%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	1	0.6%
Total	**161**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Grant County, FY16

Offense	Number
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	43
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	34
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	24
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	23
Public Affray	20
Battery (Household Member)	12
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	10
Criminal Damage to Property	9
Battery	8
Possession of Liquor by a Minor	7
Total Top Offenses	190
Total Offenses County	276
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	68.8%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Grant County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	48	49.5%
Male	49	50.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**97**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Grant County, FY16

Age (years)	Grant County	District 6 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	13	22	108	12.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	7	9	90	7.8%	5.5%
12 - 13	9	12	212	4.2%	12.9%
14 - 15	33	40	580	5.7%	35.3%
16 - 17	34	53	648	5.2%	39.4%
>=18*	1	1	2	50.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	97	137	1,643	5.9%	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Grant County, FY16

	Referrals (N=108)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**108**
Assessed/Referred	6
Informal Services	97
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	1
No Further Action	4
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Grant County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	11	11.3%
Hispanic	82	84.5%
African American	***	***%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	***	***%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**97**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Grant County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	78
Incorrigible	16
Runaway	14
Total Top Offenses	108
Total Offenses County	108
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Grant County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	28.6%
Male	5	71.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**7**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Grant County, FY16

Age (years)	Grant County	District 6 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	1	2	22	4.5%	2.8%
14 - 15	1	3	233	0.4%	30.0%
16 - 17	4	12	414	1.0%	53.4%
>=18*	1	5	107	0.9%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	7	22	776	0.9%	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Grant County, FY16

	Referrals (N=7)*
Handled Formally	**6**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	2
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	1
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	1
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**1**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	1
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Grant County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	7	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**7**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Grant County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Special Condition	3
Probation Violation - School/Education	3
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	3
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	3
Probation Violation - Curfew	2
Probation Violation - Community Service	1
Probation Violation - Residence	1
Probation Violation - Associates	1
Probation Violation - Counseling	1
Probation Violation - Parents	1
Total Top Offenses	19
Total Offenses County	20
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	95.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Grant County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	8	0	0
Referral to JPPO Decision	9	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	19	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	69	0	0

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Grant County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	8	25.8%
Male	23	74.2%
Total	**31**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Grant County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	33.3%
Male	2	66.7%
Total	**3**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Grant County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	6	19.4%
Hispanic	25	80.6%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	31	100.0%

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Grant County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	3	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	3	100.0%

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Grant County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	13	22.4%
	Informal Conditions	13	22.4%
	Time Waiver	2	3.4%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	30	51.7%
Total		**58**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Grant County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	1	100.0%
Total	1	100.0%

District 6: Grant County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Grant County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	2,707	879	20	1,769	18		21		1,828
2. Juvenile Arrests	324	52	2	267			3		272
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	70	11	1	57			1		59
4. Cases Diverted	254	41	1	210			2		213
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	34	2	1	31					32
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	64	11	1	51			1		53
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	51	10	1	39			1		41
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	44	10	1	32			1		34
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	2			2					2
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Grant County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	**2.55**	*	*	*	*	**2.52**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.01	*	*	*	*	1.03
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 6: Hidalgo County

	Hidalgo County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	438	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	0.2%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$38,444	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	14.2%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	25.2%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	16.4%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	57.1%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	0.6%	100.0%

Sources:
*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Hidalgo County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	4.5%
Male	21	95.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**22**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Hidalgo County, FY16

Age (years)	Hidalgo County	District 6 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	7	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	1	6	254	0.4%	3.2%
12 - 13	1	35	1,311	0.1%	16.7%
14 - 15	7	97	2,596	0.3%	33.2%
16 - 17	13	155	3,584	0.4%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	1	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	22	301	7,829	0.3%	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Hidalgo County, FY16

	Referrals (N=21)*
Handled Formally	**13**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	8
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	2
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	3
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**8**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	7
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	1
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Hidalgo County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	***	***%
Hispanic	15	68.2%
African American	***	***%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	***	***%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**22**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Hidalgo County, FY16

Offense	Number
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	6
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	3
Battery	3
Criminal Damage to Property	2
Criminal Trespass (Damage)	2
Battery (Household Member)	2
Burglary (Dwelling House) - Attempt	2
Larceny ($500 to $2,500)	2
Possession of Marijuana (over 100#) with Intent to Distribute	1
Criminal Damage to Property (Household Member) (Over $1,000)	1
Total Top Offenses	24
Total Offenses County	39
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	61.5%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Hidalgo County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	12.5%
Male	7	87.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Hidalgo County, FY16

Age (years)	Hidalgo County	District 6 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	22	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	1	9	90	1.1%	5.5%
12 - 13	2	12	212	0.9%	12.9%
14 - 15	0	40	580	0.0%	35.3%
16 - 17	5	53	648	0.8%	39.4%
>=18*	0	1	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	8	137	1,643	0.5%	100.0%

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Hidalgo County, FY16

	Referrals (N=8)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**8**
Assessed/Referred	1
Informal Services	6
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	1
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Hidalgo County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	25.0%
Hispanic	6	75.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Hidalgo County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	8
Total Top Offenses	8
Total Offenses County	8
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Hidalgo County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Hidalgo County, FY16

Age (years)	Hidalgo County	District 6 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	2	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	0	3	233	0.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	0	12	414	0.0%	53.4%
>=18*	0	5	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**0**	**22**	**776**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Hidalgo County, FY16

	Referrals (N=1)*
Handled Formally	**1**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	1
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Hidalgo County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Hidalgo County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no probation violation referrals for Hidalgo County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Hidalgo County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	15	0	12
Referral to JPPO Decision	13	0	2
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	22	0	69
Petition Filed to Disposition	4	0	9

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Hidalgo County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	1	100.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Hidalgo County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Hidalgo County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Hidalgo County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Hidalgo County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	4	57.1%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	0	0.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	3	42.9%
Total		**7**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Hidalgo County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	1	100.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

District 6: Hidalgo County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Hidalgo County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	438	155	8	268	3		4		283
2. Juvenile Arrests	29	10		19					19
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	11	3		8					8
4. Cases Diverted	18	7		11					11
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	5	1	2	2					4
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	8	2		6					6
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	7	1		6					6
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	7	1		6					6
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Hidalgo County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	1.10	*	*	*	*	1.04
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 6: Luna County

	Luna County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	2,795	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	1.3%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$27,476	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	12.1%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	30.9%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	20.2%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	65.5%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	0.6%	100.0%

Sources:
*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Luna County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	32	27.1%
Male	86	72.9%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**118**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Luna County, FY16

Age (years)	Luna County	District 6 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	3	7	71	4.2%	0.9%
10 - 11	2	6	254	0.8%	3.2%
12 - 13	8	35	1,311	0.6%	16.7%
14 - 15	44	97	2,596	1.7%	33.2%
16 - 17	61	155	3,584	1.7%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	1	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**118**	**301**	**7,829**	**1.5%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Luna County, FY16

	Referrals (N=136)*
Handled Formally	**57**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	18
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	2
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	19
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	6
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	3
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	9
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**79**
Assessed/Referred	1
Informal Services	71
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	6
No Further Action	1
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Luna County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	15	12.7%
Hispanic	100	84.7%
African American	2	1.7%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	0.8%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**118**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Luna County, FY16

Offense	Number
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	28
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	19
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	17
Battery	16
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	14
Criminal Damage to Property	8
Battery (Household Member)	7
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	4
Criminal Trespass (Unposted)	3
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	3
Total Top Offenses	119
Total Offenses County	180
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	66.1%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Luna County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	19	59.4%
Male	13	40.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**32**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Luna County, FY16

Age (years)	Luna County	District 6 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	9	22	108	8.3%	6.6%
10 - 11	1	9	90	1.1%	5.5%
12 - 13	1	12	212	0.5%	12.9%
14 - 15	7	40	580	1.2%	35.3%
16 - 17	14	53	648	2.2%	39.4%
>=18*	0	1	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**32**	**137**	**1,643**	**1.9%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Luna County, FY16

	Referrals (N=34)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**34**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	34
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Luna County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	5	15.6%
Hispanic	27	84.4%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**32**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Luna County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	27
Incorrigible	6
Runaway	1
Total Top Offenses	34
Total Offenses County	34
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Luna County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	13.3%
Male	13	86.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**15**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Luna County, FY16

Age (years)	Luna County	District 6 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	1	2	22	4.5%	2.8%
14 - 15	2	3	233	0.9%	30.0%
16 - 17	8	12	414	1.9%	53.4%
>=18*	4	5	107	3.7%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**15**	**22**	**776**	**1.9%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Luna County, FY16

	Referrals (N=18)*
Handled Formally	**18**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	2
Judgment - Detention	7
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	8
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	1
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Luna County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	3	20.0%
Hispanic	12	80.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**15**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Luna County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Special Condition	9
Probation Violation - Residence	8
Probation Violation - Curfew	7
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	7
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	4
Probation Violation - Reporting	3
Probation Violation - School/Education	2
Probation Violation - Restitution	2
Probation Violation - Travel	1
Total Top Offenses	43
Total Offenses County	43
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Luna County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	77	0	22
Referral to JPPO Decision	9	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	21	0	4
Petition Filed to Disposition	46	0	69

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Luna County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	13	41.9%
Male	18	58.1%
Total	**31**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Luna County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	25.0%
Male	3	75.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Luna County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	6.5%
Hispanic	29	93.5%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**31**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Luna County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	4	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Luna County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	18	24.3%
	Informal Conditions	24	32.4%
	Time Waiver	1	1.4%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	1	1.4%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	30	40.5%
Total		**74**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Luna County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	1	100.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

District 6: Luna County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Luna County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	2,795	507	32	2,235	10		11		2,288
2. Juvenile Arrests	211	28	4	178				1	183
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	79	11	3	64				1	68
4. Cases Diverted	132	17	1	114					115
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	41	10		31					31
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	68	10	1	56				1	58
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	61	8	1	52					53
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	53	8		45					45
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1			1					1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Luna County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**1.44**	*	*	*	*	**1.45**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 7: Catron County

	Catron County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	234	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	0.1%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$42,973	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	26.0%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	23.4%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	22.2%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	19.3%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.6%	100.0%

Sources:

[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.

[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.

[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 7: Catron County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Catron County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	2	100.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Catron County, FY16

Age (years)	Catron County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	0	4	254	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	1	23	1,311	0.1%	16.7%
14 - 15	1	50	2,596	0.0%	33.2%
16 - 17	0	77	3,584	0.0%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	1	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**2**	**155**	**7,829**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Catron County, FY16

	Referrals (N=2)*
Handled Formally	**2**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	1
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Catron County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	100.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Catron County, FY16

Offense	Number
Aggravated Burglary (Armed After Entering)	1
Breaking and Entering	1
Battery	1
Criminal Damage to Property	1
Total Top Offenses	4
Total Offenses County	4
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Catron County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Catron County, FY16

Age (years)	Catron County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	1	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	1	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	0	3	212	0.0%	12.9%
14 - 15	0	11	578	0.0%	35.2%
16 - 17	0	7	647	0.0%	39.5%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**0**	**23**	**1,640**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Catron County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Catron County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Catron County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no status (non-delinquent) referrals for Catron County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Catron County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Catron County, FY16

Age (years)	Catron County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	0	3	233	0.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	0	7	414	0.0%	53.4%
>=18*	0	2	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**0**	**12**	**776**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Catron County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Catron County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Catron County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no probation violation referrals for Catron County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Catron County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	15	3	0
Referral to JPPO Decision	15	1	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	11	22	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	95	401	0

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Catron County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Catron County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Catron County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Catron County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Catron County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	0	0.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	0	0.0%
Total		**0**	**0.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Catron County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	1	100.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

District 7: Catron County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Catron County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	234	154	5	61	3		11		80
2. Juvenile Arrests	2	2							0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2	2							0
4. Cases Diverted	0								0
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1	1							0
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	2	2							0
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	1	1							0
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	1	1							0
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Catron County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	--	**	**	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	**	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	--	**	**	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	--	**	**	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 7: Sierra County

	Sierra County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	778	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	0.4%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$29,356	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	17.6%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	28.7%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	17.9%	16.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	29.7%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.0%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Sierra County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	13.5%
Male	32	86.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**37**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Sierra County, FY16

Age (years)	Sierra County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	2	4	254	0.8%	3.2%
12 - 13	6	23	1,311	0.5%	16.7%
14 - 15	11	50	2,596	0.4%	33.2%
16 - 17	17	77	3,584	0.5%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	1	1	10	10.0%	0.1%
Total	**37**	**155**	**7,829**	**0.5%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Sierra County, FY16

	Referrals (N=39)*
Handled Formally	**18**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	4
Dismissed	3
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	2
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	1
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	8
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**21**
Assessed/Referred	2
Informal Services	16
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	1
No Further Action	2
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Sierra County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	16	43.2%
Hispanic	18	48.6%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	3	8.1%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**37**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Sierra County, FY16

Offense	Number
Criminal Sexual Penetration 1st Degree (Child Under 13)	10
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	7
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	5
Battery	5
Criminal Damage to Property	4
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	3
Tampering with Evidence (Highest Crime - 1st or 2nd Degree Felony)	3
Disorderly Conduct	3
Burglary (Commercial)	2
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Felony)	2
Total Top Offenses	44
Total Offenses County	64
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	68.8%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Sierra County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	6	75.0%
Male	2	25.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Sierra County, FY16

Age (years)	Sierra County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	1	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	1	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	2	3	212	0.9%	12.9%
14 - 15	4	11	580	0.7%	35.3%
16 - 17	2	7	648	0.3%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**8**	**23**	**1,643**	**0.5%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Sierra County, FY16

	Referrals (N=8)*
Handled Formally	**2**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	2
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**6**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	3
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	3
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Sierra County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	5	62.5%
Hispanic	3	37.5%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Sierra County, FY16

Offense	Number
Incorrigible	4
Truancy	4
Runaway	2
Total Top Offenses	10
Total Offenses County	10
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

District 7: Sierra County

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Sierra County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	50.0%
Male	1	50.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Sierra County, FY16

Age (years)	Sierra County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	2	3	233	0.9%	30.0%
16 - 17	0	7	414	0.0%	53.4%
>=18*	0	2	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**2**	**12**	**776**	**0.3%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Sierra County, FY16

	Referrals (N=2)*
Handled Formally	**2**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	2
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Sierra County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	100.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Sierra County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	2
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	1
Probation Violation - Parents	1
Probation Violation - Curfew	1
Total Top Offenses	5
Total Offenses County	5
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Sierra County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	11	0	0
Referral to JPPO Decision	11	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	6	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	120	0	0

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Sierra County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	1	100.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Sierra County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	50.0%
Male	1	50.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Sierra County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Sierra County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	100.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Sierra County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	0	0.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	0	0.0%
Total		**0**	**0.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Sierra County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

District 7: Sierra County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Sierra County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	778	355	16	392			15		423
2. Juvenile Arrests	48	19		24				5	29
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	19	9		8				2	10
4. Cases Diverted	28	10		16				2	18
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1	1							0
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	15	7		6				2	8
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	6	2		4					4
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	5	2		3					3
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Sierra County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	1.14	*	*	**	*	1.28
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 7: Socorro County

	Socorro County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	1,866	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	0.8%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$34,037	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	17.5%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	23.5%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	18.6%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	49.5%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	0.6%	100.0%

Sources:

*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.

^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.

£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Socorro County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	18	34.6%
Male	34	65.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**52**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Socorro County, FY16

Age (years)	Socorro County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	2	4	254	0.8%	3.2%
12 - 13	10	23	1,311	0.8%	16.7%
14 - 15	13	50	2,596	0.5%	33.2%
16 - 17	27	77	3,584	0.8%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	1	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**52**	**155**	**7,829**	**0.7%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Socorro County, FY16

	Referrals (N=59)*
Handled Formally	**28**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	5
Dismissed	3
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	5
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	2
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	4
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	9
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**31**
Assessed/Referred	1
Informal Services	26
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	1
No Further Action	3
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Socorro County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	6	11.5%
Hispanic	41	78.8%
African American	2	3.8%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	3	5.8%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**52**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Socorro County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	12
Battery	10
Burglary (Dwelling House)	7
Larceny ($500 to $2,500)	7
Public Affray	6
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	6
Battery (Household Member)	4
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	4
Breaking and Entering	4
Tampering with Evidence (Highest Crime - 3rd or 4th Degree Felony)	4
Total Top Offenses	64
Total Offenses County	107
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	59.8%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Socorro County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	45.5%
Male	6	54.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**11**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Socorro County, FY16

Age (years)	Socorro County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	1	1	108	0.9%	6.6%
10 - 11	1	1	90	1.1%	5.5%
12 - 13	1	3	212	0.5%	12.9%
14 - 15	5	11	580	0.9%	35.3%
16 - 17	3	7	648	0.5%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**11**	**23**	**1,643**	**0.7%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Socorro County, FY16

	Referrals (N=13)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**13**
Assessed/Referred	3
Informal Services	7
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	3
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Socorro County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	9.1%
Hispanic	9	81.8%
African American	1	9.1%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**11**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Socorro County, FY16

Offense	Number
Incorrigible	6
Runaway	4
Truancy	3
Total Top Offenses	13
Total Offenses County	13
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Socorro County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	4	44.4%
Male	5	55.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**9**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Socorro County, FY16

Age (years)	Socorro County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	0	3	233	0.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	7	7	414	1.7%	53.4%
>=18*	2	2	107	1.9%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**9**	**12**	**776**	**1.2%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Socorro County, FY16

	Referrals (N=9)*
Handled Formally	**9**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	3
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	1
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	4
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	1
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Socorro County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	9	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**9**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Socorro County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	25
Probation Violation - Curfew	11
Probation Violation - Residence	7
Probation Violation - Special Condition	6
Probation Violation - School/Education	3
Probation Violation - Community Service	2
Probation Violation - Reporting	2
Probation Violation - Parents	1
Probation Violation - Driving	1
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	1
Total Top Offenses	59
Total Offenses County	59
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Socorro County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	34	0	0
Referral to JPPO Decision	5	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	22	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	64	0	0

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Socorro County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	50.0%
Male	1	50.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Socorro County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	28.6%
Male	5	71.4%
Total	**7**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Socorro County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	50.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	50.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Socorro County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	7	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**7**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Socorro County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	4	11.8%
	Informal Conditions	13	38.2%
	Time Waiver	0	0.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	4	11.8%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	13	38.2%
Total		**34**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Socorro County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	1	100.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

District 7: Socorro County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Socorro County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	1,866	433	23	1,076	15		319		1,433
2. Juvenile Arrests	81	9	4	64			3	1	72
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	31	5		24			1	1	26
4. Cases Diverted	50	4	4	40			2		46
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	16	3		13					13
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	22	3		19					19
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	11	1		10					10
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	10	1		9					9
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1			1					1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Socorro County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**2.86**	*	*	**	*	**2.42**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 7: Torrance County

	Torrance County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	1,701	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	0.8%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$34,037	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	17.5%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	23.5%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	18.6%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	49.5%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.6%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Torrance County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	22	34.4%
Male	42	65.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**64**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Torrance County, FY16

Age (years)	Torrance County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	0	4	254	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	6	23	1,311	0.5%	16.7%
14 - 15	25	50	2,596	1.0%	33.2%
16 - 17	33	77	3,584	0.9%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	1	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**64**	**155**	**7,829**	**0.8%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Torrance County, FY16

	Referrals (N=72)*
Handled Formally	**26**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	13
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	2
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	3
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	3
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	5
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**46**
Assessed/Referred	22
Informal Services	18
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	6
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Torrance County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	28	43.8%
Hispanic	36	56.3%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**64**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Torrance County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	14
Criminal Damage to Property	10
Battery	9
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	8
Breaking and Entering	7
Burglary (Commercial)	6
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	5
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	5
Battery (Household Member)	4
Trespass	4
Total Top Offenses	72
Total Offenses County	113
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	63.7%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Torrance County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	50.0%
Male	2	50.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Torrance County, FY16

Age (years)	Torrance County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	1	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	1	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	0	3	212	0.0%	12.9%
14 - 15	2	11	580	0.3%	35.3%
16 - 17	2	7	648	0.3%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**4**	**23**	**1,643**	**0.2%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Torrance County, FY16

	Referrals (N=4)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**4**
Assessed/Referred	1
Informal Services	2
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	1
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Torrance County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	25.0%
Hispanic	2	50.0%
African American	1	25.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Torrance County, FY16

Offense	Number
Incorrigible	3
Truancy	1
Runaway	1
Total Top Offenses	5
Total Offenses County	5
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Torrance County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	1	100.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Torrance County, FY16

Age (years)	Torrance County	District 7 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	1	3	233	0.4%	30.0%
16 - 17	0	7	414	0.0%	53.4%
>=18*	0	2	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**1**	**12**	**776**	**0.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Torrance County, FY16

	Referrals (N=1)*
Handled Formally	**1**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Torrance County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Torrance County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - School/Education	6
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	2
Probation Violation - Residence	1
Total Top Offenses	9
Total Offenses County	9
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Torrance County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	36	0	0
Referral to JPPO Decision	13	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	37	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	56	0	0

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Torrance County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	100.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Torrance County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	1	100.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Torrance County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	40.0%
Hispanic	3	60.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Torrance County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Torrance County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	1	7.7%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	1	7.7%
Supervision	Conditional Release	2	15.4%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	1	7.7%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	8	61.5%
Total		**13**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Torrance County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	1	100.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

District 7: Torrance County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Torrance County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	1,701	658	42	946	15		40		1,043
2. Juvenile Arrests	77	28		49					49
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	35	13		22					22
4. Cases Diverted	42	15		27					27
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2	2							0
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	30	13		17					17
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	14	8		6					6
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	14	8		6					6
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Torrance County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	1.22	*	*	**	*	1.10
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 8: Colfax County

	Colfax County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	1,051	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	0.5%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$32,380	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	21.5%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	19.7%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	14.9%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	49.5%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	1.7%	100.0%

Sources:

*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.

^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.

£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 8: Colfax County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Colfax County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	23	34.8%
Male	43	65.2%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**66**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Colfax County, FY16

Age (years)	Colfax County	District 8 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	2	4	71	2.8%	0.9%
10 - 11	5	9	254	2.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	6	26	1,311	0.5%	16.7%
14 - 15	23	77	2,596	0.9%	33.2%
16 - 17	30	108	3,584	0.8%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**66**	**224**	**7,829**	**0.8%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Colfax County, FY16

	Referrals (N=82)*
Handled Formally	**32**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	12
Dismissed	3
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	2
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	4
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	2
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	8
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**50**
Assessed/Referred	1
Informal Services	48
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	1
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Colfax County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	***	***%
Hispanic	49	74.2%
African American	***	***%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	***	***%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**66**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Colfax County, FY16

Offense	Number
Battery	21
Criminal Damage to Property	8
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	7
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	7
Aggravated Battery (Misdemeanor)	5
Tampering with Evidence - Misdemeanor	5
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	4
Criminal Damage to Property (Household Member) (Under $1,000)	4
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	3
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	3
Total Top Offenses	67
Total Offenses County	130
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	51.5%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Colfax County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	38.5%
Male	8	61.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**13**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Colfax County, FY16

Age (years)	Colfax County	District 8 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	2	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	2	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	1	10	212	0.5%	12.9%
14 - 15	7	34	580	1.2%	35.3%
16 - 17	5	58	648	0.8%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**13**	**106**	**1,643**	**0.8%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Colfax County, FY16

	Referrals (N=17)*
Handled Formally	**5**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	5
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**12**
Assessed/Referred	2
Informal Services	9
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	1
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Colfax County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	4	30.8%
Hispanic	9	69.2%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**13**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Colfax County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	17
Total Top Offenses	17
Total Offenses County	17
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

District 8: Colfax County

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Colfax County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	33.3%
Male	4	66.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**6**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Colfax County, FY16

Age (years)	Colfax County	District 8 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	2	6	233	0.9%	30.0%
16 - 17	4	18	414	1.0%	53.4%
>=18*	0	2	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**6**	**26**	**776**	**0.8%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Colfax County, FY16

	Referrals (N=7)*
Handled Formally	**7**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	1
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	2
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	2
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	1
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Colfax County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	16.7%
Hispanic	5	83.3%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**6**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Colfax County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Special Condition	4
Probation Violation - Residence	3
Probation Violation - Reporting	2
Probation Violation - Counseling	2
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	2
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	1
Probation Violation - Parents	1
Total Top Offenses	15
Total Offenses County	15
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Colfax County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	19	0	28
Referral to JPPO Decision	7	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	13	0	2
Petition Filed to Disposition	141	0	22

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Colfax County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	25.0%
Male	3	75.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Colfax County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	1	100.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Colfax County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	25.0%
Hispanic	3	75.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Colfax County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Colfax County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	4	16.7%
	Informal Conditions	2	8.3%
	Time Waiver	1	4.2%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	9	37.5%
	Probation	8	33.3%
Total		**24**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Colfax County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	1	33.3%
Probation Violation	1	33.3%
Both	1	33.3%
Total	**3**	**100.0%**

District 8: Colfax County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Colfax County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	1,051	379	13	638	6		15		672
2. Juvenile Arrests	113	35	1	76			1		78
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	44	18		26					26
4. Cases Diverted	69	17	1	50			1		52
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	12	3	1	8					9
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	34	11		23					23
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	22	6		16					16
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	18	5		13					13
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	3	1		2					2
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Colfax County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**1.29**	*	*	**	*	**1.26**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**0.67**	*	*	**	*	**0.65**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 8: Taos County

	Taos County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	2,843	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	1.3%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$36,582	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	28.6%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	19.9%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	19.1%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	56.1%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	3.5%	100.0%

Sources:

[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.

[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.

[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 8: Taos County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Taos County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	57	37.7%
Male	94	62.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**151**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Taos County, FY16

Age (years)	Taos County	District 8 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	2	4	71	2.8%	0.9%
10 - 11	4	9	254	1.6%	3.2%
12 - 13	19	26	1,311	1.4%	16.7%
14 - 15	52	77	2,596	2.0%	33.2%
16 - 17	74	108	3,584	2.1%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**151**	**224**	**7,829**	**1.9%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Taos County, FY16

	Referrals (N=186)*
Handled Formally	**80**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	17
Dismissed	16
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	13
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	2
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	32
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**106**
Assessed/Referred	9
Informal Services	83
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	5
No Further Action	9
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Taos County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	29	19.2%
Hispanic	109	72.2%
African American	1	0.7%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	8	5.3%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	4	2.6%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**151**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Taos County, FY16

Offense	Number
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	39
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	33
Battery	29
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	24
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	23
Criminal Damage to Property	13
Battery (Household Member)	7
Breaking and Entering	7
Assault (Attempted Battery)	7
Public Affray	6
Total Top Offenses	188
Total Offenses County	273
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	68.9%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Taos County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	30	32.6%
Male	62	67.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**92**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Taos County, FY16

Age (years)	Taos County	District 8 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	2	2	108	1.9%	6.6%
10 - 11	2	2	90	2.2%	5.5%
12 - 13	9	10	212	4.2%	12.9%
14 - 15	27	34	580	4.7%	35.3%
16 - 17	52	58	648	8.0%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**92**	**106**	**1,643**	**5.6%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Taos County, FY16

	Referrals (N=97)*
Handled Formally	**21**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	21
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**76**
Assessed/Referred	6
Informal Services	50
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	3
No Further Action	16
CCA Rejected	1

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Taos County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	15	16.3%
Hispanic	70	76.1%
African American	1	1.1%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	6	6.5%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**92**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Taos County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	96
Runaway	1
Total Top Offenses	97
Total Offenses County	97
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Taos County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	12	63.2%
Male	7	36.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**19**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Taos County, FY16

Age (years)	Taos County	District 8 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	3	6	233	1.3%	30.0%
16 - 17	14	18	414	3.4%	53.4%
>=18*	2	2	107	1.9%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**19**	**26**	**776**	**2.4%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Taos County, FY16

	Referrals (N=24)*
Handled Formally	**24**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	8
Dismissed	2
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	4
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	2
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	7
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Taos County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	3	15.8%
Hispanic	16	84.2%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**19**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Taos County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	40
Probation Violation - Special Condition	19
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	17
Probation Violation - School/Education	15
Probation Violation - Curfew	11
Probation Violation - Residence	8
Probation Violation - Parents	8
Probation Violation - Reporting	5
Probation Violation - Counseling	3
Probation Violation - Travel	2
Total Top Offenses	128
Total Offenses County	130
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	98.5%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Taos County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	10	0	6
Referral to JPPO Decision	9	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	15	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	73	0	1

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Taos County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	18	72.0%
Male	7	28.0%
Total	**25**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Taos County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	6	54.5%
Male	5	45.5%
Total	**11**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Taos County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	6	24.0%
Hispanic	19	76.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**25**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Taos County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	9.1%
Hispanic	10	90.9%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**11**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Taos County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	2	5.6%
	Informal Conditions	12	33.3%
	Time Waiver	1	2.8%
Supervision	Conditional Release	5	13.9%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	2	5.6%
	Probation	14	38.9%
Total		**36**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Taos County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	2	33.3%
Probation Violation	4	66.7%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**6**	**100.0%**

District 8: Taos County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Taos County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)		625	26	1,998	11		183		2,218
2. Juvenile Arrests	295	54	2	223			12	4	241
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	119	20		94			3	2	99
4. Cases Diverted	176	34	2	129			9	2	142
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	59	10		40			8	1	49
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	63	13		48			1	1	50
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	22	6		16					16
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	20	6		14					14
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	2			2					2
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Taos County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	**1.29**	*	*	0.76	*	**1.26**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.14	*	*	**	*	1.11
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 8: Union County

	Union County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	373	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	0.2%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$36,070	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	17.1%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	17.1%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	19.6%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	41.8%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.0%	100.0%

Sources:

[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.

[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.

[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Union County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	28.6%
Male	5	71.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**7**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Union County, FY16

Age (years)	Union County	District 8 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	4	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	0	9	254	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	1	26	1,311	0.1%	16.7%
14 - 15	2	77	2,596	0.1%	33.2%
16 - 17	4	108	3,584	0.1%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**7**	**224**	**7,829**	**0.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Union County, FY16

	Referrals (N=15)*
Handled Formally	**8**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	4
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	4
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**7**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	5
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	2
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Union County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	***	***%
Hispanic	4	57.1%
African American	***	***%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**7**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Union County, FY16

Offense	Number
Criminal Damage to Property	4
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	3
Battery (Household Member)	2
Littering	2
Criminal Sexual Penetration 1st Degree (Child Under 13)	1
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)(Household Member)	1
Battery Upon a Peace Officer	1
False Imprisonment	1
Unlawful Taking of a Motor Vehicle (1st Offense)	1
Dist. of Marij. / Synth. Cannab. (1st Offense)	1
Total Top Offenses	17
Total Offenses County	26
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	65.4%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Union County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	1	100.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Union County, FY16

Age (years)	Union County	District 8 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	2	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	2	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	0	10	212	0.0%	12.9%
14 - 15	0	34	580	0.0%	35.3%
16 - 17	1	58	648	0.2%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**1**	**106**	**1,643**	**0.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Union County, FY16

	Referrals (N=1)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**1**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	1
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Union County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Union County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	1
Total Top Offenses	1
Total Offenses County	1
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Union County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	100.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Union County, FY16

Age (years)	Union County	District 8 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	1	6	233	0.4%	30.0%
16 - 17	0	18	414	0.0%	53.4%
>=18*	0	2	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**1**	**26**	**776**	**0.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Union County, FY16

	Referrals (N=1)*
Handled Formally	**1**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	1
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Union County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Union County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	2
Probation Violation - Parents	1
Probation Violation - School/Education	1
Probation Violation - Associates	1
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	1
Total Top Offenses	6
Total Offenses County	6
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Union County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	1	0	10
Referral to JPPO Decision	0	0	2
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	1	0	1
Petition Filed to Disposition	41	0	51

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Union County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	100.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Union County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	100.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Union County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Union County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Union County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	0	0.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	0	0.0%
Total		**0**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Union County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

District 8: Union County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Union County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	373	191	5	169	1		7		182
2. Juvenile Arrests	22	8	1	13					14
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	10	4		6					6
4. Cases Diverted	12	4	1	7					8
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2	1		1					1
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	6	3		3					3
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	5	3		2					2
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	5	3		2					2
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Union County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**1.84**	*	*	**	*	**1.84**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 9: Curry County

	Curry County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	5,420	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	2.4%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$41,084	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	20.8%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	21.7%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	16.5%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	40.9%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	3.5%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 9: Curry County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Curry County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	100	33.7%
Male	197	66.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**297**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Curry County, FY16

Age (years)	Curry County	District 9 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	5	6	71	7.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	8	13	254	3.1%	3.2%
12 - 13	52	62	1,311	4.0%	16.7%
14 - 15	98	129	2,596	3.8%	33.2%
16 - 17	134	168	3,584	3.7%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**297**	**378**	**7,829**	**3.8%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Curry County, FY16

	Referrals (N=349)*
Handled Formally	**167**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	20
Dismissed	22
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	1
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	21
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	17
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	86
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**182**
Assessed/Referred	5
Informal Services	151
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	17
No Further Action	9
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Curry County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	56	18.9%
Hispanic	209	70.4%
African American	23	7.7%
Asian	2	0.7%
Native American	2	0.7%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	3	1.0%
Missing	2	0.7%
Total	**297**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Curry County, FY16

Offense	Number
Public Affray	54
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	47
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	40
Battery	39
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	36
Criminal Damage to Property	26
Battery (Household Member)	23
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	18
Criminal Sexual Penetration 1st Degree (Child Under 13)	12
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	12
Total Top Offenses	307
Total Offenses County	515
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	59.6%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Curry County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	25	49.0%
Male	26	51.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**51**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Curry County, FY16

Age (years)	Curry County	District 9 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	1	5	108	0.9%	6.6%
10 - 11	3	3	90	3.3%	5.5%
12 - 13	13	18	212	6.1%	12.9%
14 - 15	20	31	580	3.4%	35.3%
16 - 17	14	32	648	2.2%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**51**	**89**	**1,643**	**3.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Curry County, FY16

	Referrals (N=54)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**54**
Assessed/Referred	14
Informal Services	15
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	25
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Curry County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	7	13.7%
Hispanic	36	70.6%
African American	7	13.7%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	2.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**51**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Curry County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	24
Incorrigible	21
Runaway	9
Total Top Offenses	54
Total Offenses County	54
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Curry County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	9	19.6%
Male	37	80.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**46**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Curry County, FY16

Age (years)	Curry County	District 9 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	3	3	22	13.6%	2.8%
14 - 15	12	13	233	5.2%	30.0%
16 - 17	29	32	414	7.0%	53.4%
>=18*	2	4	107	1.9%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**46**	**52**	**776**	**5.9%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Curry County, FY16

	Referrals (N=67)*
Handled Formally	**60**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	1
Dismissed	8
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	3
Judgment - Detention	5
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	30
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	1
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	12
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**7**
Assessed/Referred	4
Informal Services	2
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	1
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Curry County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	8	17.4%
Hispanic	28	60.9%
African American	8	17.4%
Asian	1	2.2%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	2.2%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**46**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Curry County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	66
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	45
Probation Violation - Curfew	31
Probation Violation - School/Education	30
Probation Violation - Reporting	29
Probation Violation - Residence	26
Probation Violation - Parents	25
Probation Violation - Counseling	23
Probation Violation - Special Condition	15
Probation Violation - Associates	5
Total Top Offenses	295
Total Offenses County	303
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	97.4%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Curry County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	16	6	51
Referral to JPPO Decision	8	0	2
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	12	17	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	65	163	27

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Curry County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	6	30.0%
Male	14	70.0%
Total	**20**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Curry County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	4	15.4%
Male	22	84.6%
Total	**26**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Curry County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	6	30.0%
Hispanic	14	70.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**20**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Curry County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	6	23.1%
Hispanic	14	53.8%
African American	4	15.4%
Asian	1	3.8%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	3.8%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**26**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Curry County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	2	1.9%
	Informal Conditions	37	35.9%
	Time Waiver	9	8.7%
Supervision	Conditional Release	5	4.9%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	1	1.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	49	47.6%
Total		**103**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Curry County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	4	66.7%
Probation Violation	2	33.3%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**6**	**100.0%**

District 9: Curry County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Curry County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	5,420	1,960	303	3,048	63		46		3,460
2. Juvenile Arrests	478	77	44	345	2		1	9	401
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	231	35	22	169	1		1	3	196
4. Cases Diverted	247	42	22	176	1			6	205
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	105	17	13	69			1	5	88
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	139	20	13	102	1		1	2	119
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	68	9	8	49				2	59
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	60	8	8	42				2	52
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	6	1		5					5
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Curry County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**3.70**	**2.88**	**	*	*	*	**2.95**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.10	1.08	**	*	*	*	1.08
4. Cases Diverted	**	0.87	**	*	*	*	0.87
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	0.84	**	*	*	*	0.92
6. Cases Petitioned	**	1.06	**	*	*	*	1.06
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 9: Roosevelt County

	Roosevelt County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	1,870	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	0.8%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$35,546	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	23.1%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	20.4%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	19.7%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	40.5%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.0%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 9: Roosevelt County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Roosevelt County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	13	16.0%
Male	68	84.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**81**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Roosevelt County, FY16

Age (years)	Roosevelt County	District 9 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	1	6	71	1.4%	0.9%
10 - 11	5	13	254	2.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	10	62	1,311	0.8%	16.7%
14 - 15	31	129	2,596	1.2%	33.2%
16 - 17	34	168	3,584	0.9%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**81**	**378**	**7,829**	**1.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Roosevelt County, FY16

	Referrals (N=100)*
Handled Formally	**48**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	3
Dismissed	6
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	10
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	10
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	18
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**52**
Assessed/Referred	3
Informal Services	44
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	2
No Further Action	3
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Roosevelt County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	32	39.5%
Hispanic	47	58.0%
African American	2	2.5%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**81**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Roosevelt County, FY16

Offense	Number
Battery	11
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	10
Burglary (Commercial)	10
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	8
Public Affray	8
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	7
Battery (Household Member)	6
Criminal Damage to Property	6
Criminal Damage to Property (Over $1000)	4
Larceny ($500 to $2,500)	4
Total Top Offenses	74
Total Offenses County	125
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	59.2%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Roosevelt County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	16	42.1%
Male	22	57.9%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**38**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Roosevelt County, FY16

Age (years)	Los Alamos County	District 1 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	4	5	108	3.7%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	3	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	5	18	212	2.4%	12.9%
14 - 15	11	31	580	1.9%	35.3%
16 - 17	18	32	648	2.8%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**38**	**89**	**1,643**	**2.3%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Roosevelt County, FY16

	Referrals (N=39)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**39**
Assessed/Referred	19
Informal Services	12
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	8
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Roosevelt County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	13	34.2%
Hispanic	25	65.8%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**38**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Roosevelt County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	37
Runaway	2
Total Top Offenses	39
Total Offenses County	39
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

District 9: Roosevelt County

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Roosevelt County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	16.7%
Male	5	83.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**6**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Roosevelt County, FY16

Age (years)	Roosevelt County	District 9 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	3	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	1	13	233	0.4%	30.0%
16 - 17	3	32	414	0.7%	53.4%
>=18*	2	4	107	1.9%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**6**	**52**	**776**	**0.8%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Roosevelt County, FY16

	Referrals (N=9)*
Handled Formally	**9**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	2
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	1
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	5
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Roosevelt County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	16.7%
Hispanic	5	83.3%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**6**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Roosevelt County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	6
Probation Violation - Reporting	3
Probation Violation - Special Condition	3
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	2
Probation Violation - Counseling	1
Total Top Offenses	15
Total Offenses County	15
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Roosevelt County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	23	0	6
Referral to JPPO Decision	8	0	2
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	27	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	246	0	138

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Roosevelt County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	25.0%
Male	6	75.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Roosevelt County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	33.3%
Male	2	66.7%
Total	**3**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Roosevelt County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	4	50.0%
Hispanic	4	50.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Roosevelt County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	3	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**3**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Roosevelt County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	15	44.1%
	Time Waiver	5	14.7%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	1	2.9%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	13	38.2%
Total		**34**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Roosevelt County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

District 9: Roosevelt County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Roosevelt County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	1,870	801	49	986	16		18		1,069
2. Juvenile Arrests	138	51		87					87
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	52	18		34					34
4. Cases Diverted	86	33		53					53
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	21	4		17					17
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	32	13		19					19
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	18	8		10					10
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	14	7		7					7
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	2			2					2
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Roosevelt County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**1.39**	*	*	*	*	**1.28**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.11	*	*	*	*	1.11
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 10: De Baca County

	De Baca County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	197	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	0.1%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$29,113	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	15.5%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	23.0%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	16.3%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	45.1%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.0%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, De Baca County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	5	100.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, De Baca County, FY16

Age (years)	De Baca County	District 10 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	0	1	254	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	1	11	1,311	0.1%	16.7%
14 - 15	1	19	2,596	0.0%	33.2%
16 - 17	3	25	3,584	0.1%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**5**	**56**	**7,829**	**0.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, De Baca County, FY16

	Referrals (N=5)*
Handled Formally	**2**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	2
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**3**
Assessed/Referred	1
Informal Services	2
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, De Baca County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	5	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, De Baca County, FY16

Offense	Number
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	2
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (School Zone)(1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	2
Violation of parks / recreation areas statutes / regulations	1
Total Top Offenses	5
Total Offenses County	5
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, De Baca County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, De Baca County, FY16

Age (years)	De Baca County	District 10 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	0	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	0	0	212	0.0%	12.9%
14 - 15	0	0	580	0.0%	35.3%
16 - 17	0	0	648	0.0%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**0**	**0**	**1,643**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, De Baca County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, De Baca County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, De Baca County, FY16

Offense	Number

There were no status (non-delinquent) referrals for De Baca County in FY16

Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, De Baca County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	1	100.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, De Baca County, FY16

Age (years)	De Baca County	District 10 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	0	3	233	0.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	1	6	414	0.2%	53.4%
>=18*	0	0	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**1**	**9**	**776**	**0.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, De Baca County, FY16

	Referrals (N=1)*
Handled Formally	**1**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, De Baca County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, De Baca County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	1
Probation Violation - School/Education	1
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	1
Total Top Offenses	3
Total Offenses County	3
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, De Baca County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	0	0	0
Referral to JPPO Decision	18	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	20	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	41	0	0

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, De Baca County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, De Baca County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	1	100.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, De Baca County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, De Baca County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**1**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, De Baca County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	0	0.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	0	0.0%
Total		**0**	**0.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, De Baca County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

District 10: De Baca County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, De Baca County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	197	82	3	111			1		115
2. Juvenile Arrests	6	2		4					4
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3			3					3
4. Cases Diverted	3	2		1					1
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	0								0
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3			3					3
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0								0
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	0								0
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, De Baca County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 10: Harding County

	Harding County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	50	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	0.1%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$33,393	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	25.5%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	14.3%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	17.8%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	44.1%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.0%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 10: Harding County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Harding County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Harding County, FY16

Age (years)	Harding County	District 10 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	0	1	254	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	0	11	1,311	0.0%	16.7%
14 - 15	0	19	2,596	0.0%	33.2%
16 - 17	0	25	3,584	0.0%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**0**	**56**	**7,829**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Harding County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Harding County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Harding County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no delinquent referrals for Harding County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Harding County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Harding County, FY16

Age (years)	Harding County	District 10 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	0	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	0	0	212	0.0%	12.9%
14 - 15	0	0	580	0.0%	35.3%
16 - 17	0	0	648	0.0%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**0**	**0**	**1,643**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Harding County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Harding County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Harding County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no status (non-delinquent) referrals for Harding County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

District 10: Harding County

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Harding County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Harding County, FY16

Age (years)	Harding County	District 10 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	0	3	233	0.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	0	6	414	0.0%	53.4%
>=18*	0	0	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**0**	**9**	**776**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Harding County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Harding County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Harding County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no probation violation referrals for Harding County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Harding County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	0	0	0
Referral to JPPO Decision	0	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	0	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	0	0	0

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Harding County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Harding County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Harding County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Harding County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Harding County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	0	0.0%
	Time Waiver	0	0.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	0	0.0%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	0	0.0%
Total		**0**	**0.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Harding County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

District 10: Harding County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Harding County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	50	26	1	23					24
2. Juvenile Arrests									0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court									0
4. Cases Diverted									0
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention									0
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)									0
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings									0
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement									0
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities									0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court									0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Harding County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 10: Quay County

	Quay County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	829	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	0.4%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$29,133	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	15.5%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	23.0%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	16.3%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	45.1%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	1.2%	100.0%

Sources:
*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 10: Quay County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Quay County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	11	21.6%
Male	40	78.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**51**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Quay County, FY16

Age (years)	Quay County	District 10 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	1	1	254	0.4%	3.2%
12 - 13	10	11	1,311	0.8%	16.7%
14 - 15	18	19	2,596	0.7%	33.2%
16 - 17	22	25	3,584	0.6%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**51**	**56**	**7,829**	**0.7%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Quay County, FY16

	Referrals (N=60)*
Handled Formally	**34**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	9
Dismissed	6
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	2
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	2
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	1
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	14
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**26**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	24
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	1
No Further Action	1
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Quay County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	14	27.5%
Hispanic	36	70.6%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	2.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**51**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Quay County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	12
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	11
Battery (Household Member)	6
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	5
Larceny ($250 to $500)	4
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	4
Disorderly Conduct	4
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)	4
Burglary (Dwelling House)	3
Receiving Stolen Property (Receive)(Over $2,500)	3
Total Top Offenses	56
Total Offenses County	129
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	43.4%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Quay County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Quay County, FY16

Age (years)	Quay County	District 10 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	0	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	0	0	212	0.0%	12.9%
14 - 15	0	0	580	0.0%	35.3%
16 - 17	0	0	648	0.0%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**0**	**0**	**1,643**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Quay County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Quay County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Quay County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no status (non-delinquent) referrals for Quay County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Quay County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	8	100.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Quay County, FY16

Age (years)	Quay County	District 10 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	3	3	233	1.3%	30.0%
16 - 17	5	6	414	1.2%	53.4%
>=18*	0	0	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**8**	**9**	**776**	**1.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Quay County, FY16

	Referrals (N=10)*
Handled Formally	**9**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	2
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	2
Judgment - Detention	3
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	2
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**1**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	1
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Quay County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	***	***%
African American	***	***%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Quay County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	15
Probation Violation - School/Education	11
Probation Violation - Reporting	5
Probation Violation - Curfew	5
Probation Violation - Special Condition	4
Probation Violation - Associates	3
Probation Violation - Residence	3
Probation Violation - Parents	2
Probation Violation - Counseling	2
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	2
Total Top Offenses	52
Total Offenses County	54
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	96.3%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Quay County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	18	0	9
Referral to JPPO Decision	7	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	7	0	7
Petition Filed to Disposition	64	0	84

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Quay County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	50.0%
Male	2	50.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Quay County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	4	100.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Quay County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	25.0%
Hispanic	3	75.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Quay County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	4	100.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Quay County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	5	22.7%
	Time Waiver	1	4.5%
Supervision	Conditional Release	1	4.5%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	15	68.2%
Total		**22**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Quay County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	1	50.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	1	50.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

District 10: Quay County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Quay County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	829	335	20	450	16		8		494
2. Juvenile Arrests	66	17		47				2	49
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	42	13		29					29
4. Cases Diverted	24	4		18				2	20
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	17	1		16					16
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	32	9		23					23
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	16	3		13					13
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	12	2		10					10
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	2	1		1					1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Quay County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**2.06**	**	*	*	*	**1.95**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 11: McKinley County

	McKinley County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	10,291	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	4.6%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$28,722	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	11.1%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	34.1%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	26.2%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	13.8%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.6%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, McKinley County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	108	40.6%
Male	158	59.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**266**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, McKinley County, FY16

Age (years)	McKinley County	District 11 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	12	19	71	16.9%	0.9%
10 - 11	15	31	254	5.9%	3.2%
12 - 13	51	137	1,311	3.9%	16.7%
14 - 15	81	274	2,596	3.1%	33.2%
16 - 17	106	376	3,584	3.0%	45.8%
>=18*	1	1	3	33.3%	0.0%
Unknown	0	2	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**266**	**840**	**7,829**	**3.4%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, McKinley County, FY16

	Referrals (N=303)*
Handled Formally	**71**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	4
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	6
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	5
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	3
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	51
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**232**
Assessed/Referred	99
Informal Services	78
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	3
No Further Action	52
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, McKinley County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	11	4.1%
Hispanic	56	21.1%
African American	1	0.4%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	187	70.3%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	11	4.1%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**266**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, McKinley County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	70
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	67
Battery	47
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	31
Battery (Household Member)	23
Harassment	16
Criminal Damage to Property	12
Disorderly Conduct	7
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)	6
Shoplifting ($250 to $500)	6
Total Top Offenses	285
Total Offenses County	394
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	72.3%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, McKinley County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	50	57.5%
Male	37	42.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**87**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, McKinley County, FY16

Age (years)	McKinley County	District 11 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	1	6	108	0.9%	6.6%
10 - 11	6	18	90	6.7%	5.5%
12 - 13	16	51	212	7.5%	12.9%
14 - 15	31	97	580	5.3%	35.3%
16 - 17	33	83	648	5.1%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**87**	**255**	**1,643**	**5.3%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, McKinley County, FY16

	Referrals (N=100)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**100**
Assessed/Referred	74
Informal Services	9
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	17
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, McKinley County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	4	4.6%
Hispanic	11	12.6%
African American	1	1.1%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	69	79.3%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	2	2.3%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**87**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, McKinley County, FY16

Offense	Number
Incorrigible	100
Total Top Offenses	100
Total Offenses County	100
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, McKinley County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	5	100.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, McKinley County, FY16

Age (years)	McKinley County	District 11 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	2	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	0	28	233	0.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	5	31	414	1.2%	53.4%
>=18*	0	9	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**5**	**70**	**776**	**0.6%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, McKinley County, FY16

	Referrals (N=5)*
Handled Formally	**5**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	1
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	2
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, McKinley County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	20.0%
Hispanic	2	40.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	2	40.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, McKinley County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	7
Probation Violation - Reporting	3
Probation Violation - School/Education	3
Probation Violation - Residence	2
Probation Violation - Special Condition	2
Probation Violation - Curfew	2
Probation Violation - Community Service	1
Probation Violation - Counseling	1
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	1
Total Top Offenses	22
Total Offenses County	22
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, McKinley County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	7	0	0
Referral to JPPO Decision	9	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	20	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	97	0	0

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, McKinley County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	66.7%
Male	1	33.3%
Total	**3**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, McKinley County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	2	100.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, McKinley County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	33.3%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	2	66.7%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**3**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, McKinley County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	50.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	50.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, McKinley County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	9	39.1%
	Time Waiver	1	4.3%
Supervision	Conditional Release	4	17.4%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	9	39.1%
Total		**23**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, McKinley County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	1	100.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	1	100.0%

District 11: McKinley County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, McKinley County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	10,291	780	174	1,582	57		7,698		9,511
2. Juvenile Arrests	385	17	1	81			275	11	368
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	79	6		26			44	3	73
4. Cases Diverted	306	11	1	55			231	8	295
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	22	2		9			11		20
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	30	4		13			13		26
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	10	3		3			4		7
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	8	3		2			3		5
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1			1					1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, McKinley County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**2.35**	*	*	**1.64**	*	**1.78**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 11: San Juan County

	San Juan County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	13,643	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	6.1%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$48,671	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	15.2%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	18.8%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	19.4%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	20.0%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	6.4%	100.0%

Sources:

*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.

^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.

£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 11: San Juan County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, San Juan County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	195	34.0%
Male	377	65.7%
Unknown	2	0.3%
Total	**574**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, San Juan County, FY16

Age (years)	San Juan County	District 11 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	7	19	71	9.9%	0.9%
10 - 11	16	31	254	6.3%	3.2%
12 - 13	86	137	1,311	6.6%	16.7%
14 - 15	193	274	2,596	7.4%	33.2%
16 - 17	270	376	3,584	7.5%	45.8%
>=18*	0	1	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	2	2	10	20.0%	0.1%
Total	**574**	**840**	**7,829**	**7.3%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, San Juan County, FY16

	Referrals (N=717)*
Handled Formally	**285**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	106
Dismissed	38
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	3
Judgment - Detention	2
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	46
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	6
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	16
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	68
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**6**
Handled Informally	**426**
Assessed/Referred	28
Informal Services	293
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	5
No Further Action	100
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, San Juan County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	140	24.4%
Hispanic	171	29.8%
African American	9	1.6%
Asian	***	***%
Native American	232	40.4%
Native Hawaiian	***	***%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	9	1.6%
Total	**574**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, San Juan County, FY16

Offense	Number
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	150
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	136
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	87
Battery	55
Burglary (Automobile)	51
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	50
Battery (Household Member)	42
Criminal Damage to Property	36
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	32
Public Affray	24
Total Top Offenses	663
Total Offenses County	1,106
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	59.9%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, San Juan County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	75	44.6%
Male	92	54.8%
Unknown	1	0.6%
Total	**168**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, San Juan County, FY16

Age (years)	San Juan County	District 11 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	5	6	108	4.6%	6.6%
10 - 11	12	18	90	13.3%	5.5%
12 - 13	35	51	212	16.5%	12.9%
14 - 15	66	97	580	11.4%	35.3%
16 - 17	50	83	648	7.7%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**168**	**255**	**1,643**	**10.2%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, San Juan County, FY16

	Referrals (N=194)*
Handled Formally	**1**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	1
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**193**
Assessed/Referred	57
Informal Services	40
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	96
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, San Juan County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	42	25.0%
Hispanic	46	27.4%
African American	1	0.6%
Asian	1	0.6%
Native American	75	44.6%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	3	1.8%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**168**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, San Juan County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	84
Incorrigible	83
Runaway	27
Total Top Offenses	194
Total Offenses County	194
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, San Juan County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	12	18.5%
Male	53	81.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**65**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, San Juan County, FY16

Age (years)	San Juan County	District 11 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	2	2	22	9.1%	2.8%
14 - 15	28	28	233	12.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	26	31	414	6.3%	53.4%
>=18*	9	9	107	8.4%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**65**	**70**	**776**	**8.4%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, San Juan County, FY16

	Referrals (N=79)*
Handled Formally	**79**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	1
Dismissed	9
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	8
Judgment - Detention	12
Judgment - Fines	1
Judgment - Probation	43
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	5
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, San Juan County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	11	16.9%
Hispanic	30	46.2%
African American	4	6.2%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	20	30.8%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**65**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, San Juan County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	90
Probation Violation - Special Condition	45
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	45
Probation Violation - Curfew	44
Probation Violation - Counseling	44
Probation Violation - Reporting	42
Probation Violation - School/Education	40
Probation Violation - Residence	18
Probation Violation - Parents	16
Probation Violation - Associates	14
Total Top Offenses	398
Total Offenses County	404
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	98.5%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, San Juan County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	28	0	59
Referral to JPPO Decision	7	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	18	0	1
Petition Filed to Disposition	87	0	32

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, San Juan County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	12	26.1%
Male	34	73.9%
Total	**46**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, San Juan County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	13.2%
Male	33	86.8%
Total	**38**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, San Juan County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	5	10.9%
Hispanic	13	28.3%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	27	58.7%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	2.2%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**46**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, San Juan County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	5	13.2%
Hispanic	17	44.7%
African American	2	5.3%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	14	36.8%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**38**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, San Juan County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	14	7.3%
	Informal Conditions	14	7.3%
	Time Waiver	7	3.6%
Supervision	Conditional Release	35	18.2%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	2	1.0%
	Supervised Release	4	2.1%
	Probation	116	60.4%
Total		**192**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, San Juan County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	2	18.2%
Probation Violation	6	54.5%
Both	3	27.3%
Total	**11**	**100.0%**

District 11: San Juan County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, San Juan County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	13,643	4,464	195	3,508	63		5,413		9,179
2. Juvenile Arrests	948	212	22	295	3	1	386	29	736
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	370	74	15	130	1		144	6	296
4. Cases Diverted	556	135	7	162	2	1	232	17	421
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	286	62	16	101	1		103	3	224
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	321	60	12	117			126	6	261
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	212	39	8	78			87		173
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	184	36	2	67			79		148
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	17	2	5	6			4		15
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, San Juan County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**2.38**	**1.77**	*	*	**1.50**	*	**1.69**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**1.26**	*	*	1.07	*	**1.15**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**0.68**	*	*	0.88	*	**0.78**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	0.93	*	*	0.85	*	0.90
6. Cases Petitioned	**	1.11	*	*	1.08	*	1.09
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	1.03	*	*	1.06	*	1.02
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	0.93	*	*	0.98	*	0.93
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 12: Lincoln County

	Lincoln County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	1,635	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	0.7%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$40,708	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	28.4%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	17.8%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	20.2%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	31.7%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	1.2%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Lincoln County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	23	27.1%
Male	62	72.9%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**85**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Lincoln County, FY16

Age (years)	Lincoln County	District 12 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	3	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	2	20	254	0.8%	3.2%
12 - 13	12	59	1,311	0.9%	16.7%
14 - 15	21	132	2,596	0.8%	33.2%
16 - 17	50	208	3,584	1.4%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**85**	**422**	**7,829**	**1.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Lincoln County, FY16

	Referrals (N=110)*
Handled Formally	**59**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	13
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	12
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	5
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	28
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**51**
Assessed/Referred	4
Informal Services	47
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Lincoln County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	30	35.3%
Hispanic	44	51.8%
African American	***	***%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	***	***%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**85**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, LIncoln County, FY16

Offense	Number
Criminal Damage to Property	17
Battery	12
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	12
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	11
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	9
Battery (Household Member)	8
Breaking and Entering	8
Criminal Trespass (Damage)	8
Assault (Attempted Battery)	6
Criminal Sexual Contact/Minor 2nd Degree (Child Under 13) (Unclothed)	4
Total Top Offenses	95
Total Offenses County	194
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	49.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Lincoln County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	48	55.2%
Male	39	44.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**87**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Lincoln County, FY16

Age (years)	Lincoln County	District 12 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	1	3	90	1.1%	5.5%
12 - 13	6	10	212	2.8%	12.9%
14 - 15	36	48	580	6.2%	35.3%
16 - 17	44	60	648	6.8%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**87**	**121**	**1,643**	**5.3%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Lincoln County, FY16

	Referrals (N=97)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**97**
Assessed/Referred	95
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	2
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Lincoln County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	21	24.1%
Hispanic	37	42.5%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	28	32.2%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	1.1%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**87**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Lincoln County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	96
Incorrigible	1
Total Top Offenses	97
Total Offenses County	97
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Lincoln County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	4	28.6%
Male	10	71.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**14**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Lincoln County, FY16

Age (years)	Lincoln County	District 12 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	1	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	5	13	233	2.1%	30.0%
16 - 17	8	29	414	1.9%	53.4%
>=18*	1	1	107	0.9%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**14**	**44**	**776**	**1.8%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Lincoln County, FY16

	Referrals (N=22)*
Handled Formally	**22**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	2
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	2
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	17
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	1
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Lincoln County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	5	35.7%
Hispanic	8	57.1%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	7.1%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**14**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Lincoln County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Special Condition	12
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	12
Probation Violation - Residence	6
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	6
Probation Violation - Curfew	6
Probation Violation - Associates	1
Total Top Offenses	43
Total Offenses County	43
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Lincoln County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	18	0	26
Referral to JPPO Decision	8	0	39
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	24	0	9
Petition Filed to Disposition	64	0	34

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Lincoln County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	3	60.0%
Male	2	40.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Lincoln County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	3	100.0%
Total	**3**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Lincoln County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	20.0%
Hispanic	3	60.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	20.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Lincoln County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	66.7%
Hispanic	1	33.3%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**3**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Lincoln County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	5	10.6%
	Informal Conditions	15	31.9%
	Time Waiver	2	4.3%
Supervision	Conditional Release	6	12.8%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	19	40.4%
Total		**47**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Lincoln County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	2	100.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

District 12: Lincoln County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Lincoln County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	1,635	729	20	784	10		92		906
2. Juvenile Arrests	221	69	1	102			43	6	152
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	77	32	1	40			3	1	45
4. Cases Diverted	144	37		62			40	5	107
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	15	7		8					8
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	48	21	1	24			1	1	27
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	32	13	1	18					19
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	30	12	1	17					18
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	2	1		1					1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Lincoln County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**1.37**	*	*	**4.94**	*	**1.77**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.85	*	*	**	*	**0.64**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**1.34**	*	*	**	*	**2.06**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	0.91	*	*	**	*	0.81
6. Cases Petitioned	**	0.91	*	*	**	*	0.91
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 12: Otero County

	Otero County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	6,288	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	2.8%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$39,775	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	16.4%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	23.0%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	18.1%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	37.4%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	5.2%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Otero County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	111	32.9%
Male	226	67.1%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**337**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Otero County, FY16

Age (years)	Otero County	District 12 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	3	3	71	4.2%	0.9%
10 - 11	18	20	254	7.1%	3.2%
12 - 13	47	59	1,311	3.6%	16.7%
14 - 15	111	132	2,596	4.3%	33.2%
16 - 17	158	208	3,584	4.4%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	0	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**337**	**422**	**7,829**	**4.3%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Otero County, FY16

	Referrals (N=495)*
Handled Formally	**221**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	35
Dismissed	13
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	6
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	66
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	12
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	88
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**1**
Handled Informally	**273**
Assessed/Referred	7
Informal Services	264
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	2
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Otero County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	125	37.1%
Hispanic	160	47.5%
African American	37	11.0%
Asian	***	***%
Native American	9	2.7%
Native Hawaiian	***	***%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	1	0.3%
Total	**337**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Otero County, FY16

Offense	Number
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	76
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	58
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	58
Public Affray	54
Battery	54
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	50
Battery (Household Member)	27
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	26
Larceny ($250 or less)	19
Criminal Damage to Property (Household Member) (Under $1,000)	15
Total Top Offenses	437
Total Offenses County	704
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	62.1%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Otero County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	21	61.8%
Male	13	38.2%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**34**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Otero County, FY16

Age (years)	Otero County	District 12 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	2	3	90	2.2%	5.5%
12 - 13	4	10	212	1.9%	12.9%
14 - 15	12	48	580	2.1%	35.3%
16 - 17	16	60	648	2.5%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**34**	**121**	**1,643**	**2.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Otero County, FY16

	Referrals (N=35)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**35**
Assessed/Referred	35
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Otero County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	10	29.4%
Hispanic	16	47.1%
African American	6	17.6%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	2	5.9%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**34**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Otero County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	28
Incorrigible	7
Total Top Offenses	35
Total Offenses County	35
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Otero County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	9	30.0%
Male	21	70.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**30**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Otero County, FY16

Age (years)	Otero County	District 12 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	1	1	22	4.5%	2.8%
14 - 15	8	13	233	3.4%	30.0%
16 - 17	21	29	414	5.1%	53.4%
>=18*	0	1	107	0.0%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**30**	**44**	**776**	**3.9%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Otero County, FY16

	Referrals (N=34)*
Handled Formally	**34**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	6
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	22
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	4
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Otero County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	9	30.0%
Hispanic	17	56.7%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	2	6.7%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	2	6.7%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**30**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Otero County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Special Condition	43
Probation Violation - Residence	30
Probation Violation - School/Education	21
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	11
Probation Violation - Reporting	7
Probation Violation - Curfew	4
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	4
Probation Violation - Driving	2
Probation Violation - Counseling	2
Probation Violation - Travel	1
Total Top Offenses	125
Total Offenses County	125
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Otero County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	15	0	16
Referral to JPPO Decision	10	0	8
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	17	0	11
Petition Filed to Disposition	102	0	53

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Otero County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	24	40.0%
Male	36	60.0%
Total	**60**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Otero County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	20.0%
Male	8	80.0%
Total	**10**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Otero County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	17	28.3%
Hispanic	32	53.3%
African American	5	8.3%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	5	8.3%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	1.7%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**60**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Otero County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	5	50.0%
Hispanic	3	30.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	10.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	10.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**10**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Otero County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	4	3.7%
	Informal Conditions	25	22.9%
	Time Waiver	7	6.4%
Supervision	Conditional Release	13	11.9%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	60	55.0%
Total		**109**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Otero County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	2	22.2%
Probation Violation	7	77.8%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**9**	**100.0%**

District 12: Otero County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Otero County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	6,288	2,202	229	3,213	71		573		4,086
2. Juvenile Arrests	497	159	49	263	1	2	13	10	338
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	250	78	18	144		1	4	5	172
4. Cases Diverted	246	81	31	118	1	1	9	5	165
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	94	44	4	43			1	2	50
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	168	47	16	98		1	3	3	121
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	115	32	4	75			2	2	83
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	102	30	4	65			1	2	72
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	9	1		7			1		8
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Otero County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**2.96**	**1.13**	**	*	**0.31**	*	**1.15**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	0.75	1.12	**	*	**	*	1.04
4. Cases Diverted	**	**0.79**	**	*	**	*	0.92
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**0.53**	**	*	**	*	**0.52**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	1.13	**	*	**	*	1.17
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	1.12	**	*	**	*	1.01
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	0.92	**	*	**	*	0.93
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 13: Cibola County

	Cibola County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015*	2,847	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015*	1.3%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015^	$34,565	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015^	12.0%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015^	29.2%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent^	18.9%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015^	37.7%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016£	0.0%	100.0%

Sources:
*Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
^http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
£FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Cibola County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	34	36.2%
Male	60	63.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**94**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Cibola County, FY16

Age (years)	Cibola County	District 13 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	7	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	0	13	254	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	9	109	1,311	0.7%	16.7%
14 - 15	36	332	2,596	1.4%	33.2%
16 - 17	49	476	3,584	1.4%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	3	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**94**	**940**	**7,829**	**1.2%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Cibola County, FY16

	Referrals (N=116)*
Handled Formally	**70**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	13
Dismissed	10
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	3
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	17
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	10
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	17
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**46**
Assessed/Referred	2
Informal Services	40
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	4
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Cibola County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	12	12.8%
Hispanic	53	56.4%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	***	***%
Native American	24	25.5%
Native Hawaiian	***	***%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**94**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Cibola County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	29
Battery	15
Aggravated Battery (Misdemeanor)	14
Trespass	11
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	10
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	9
Harassment	9
Criminal Damage to Property	8
Disorderly Conduct	8
Tampering with Evidence (Highest Crime - 3rd or 4th Degree Felony)	8
Total Top Offenses	121
Total Offenses County	227
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	53.3%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Cibola County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	20.0%
Male	8	80.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**10**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Cibola County, FY16

Age (years)	Cibola County	District 13 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	38	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	20	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	3	27	212	1.4%	12.9%
14 - 15	5	66	580	0.9%	35.3%
16 - 17	2	76	648	0.3%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	1	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**10**	**228**	**1,643**	**0.6%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Cibola County, FY16

	Referrals (N=10)*
Handled Formally	**5**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	5
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**5**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	3
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	2
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Cibola County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	6	60.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	3	30.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	10.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**10**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Cibola County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	9
Runaway	1
Total Top Offenses	10
Total Offenses County	10
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

District 13: Cibola County

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Cibola County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	6	31.6%
Male	13	68.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**19**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Cibola County, FY16

Age (years)	Cibola County	District 13 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	6	22	233	2.6%	30.0%
16 - 17	9	36	414	2.2%	53.4%
>=18*	4	14	107	3.7%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**19**	**72**	**776**	**2.4%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Cibola County, FY16

	Referrals (N=22)*
Handled Formally	**22**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	1
Dismissed	5
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	2
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	9
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	3
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	2
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Cibola County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	3	15.8%
Hispanic	7	36.8%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	9	47.4%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**19**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Cibola County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Residence	13
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	12
Probation Violation - Counseling	7
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	7
Probation Violation - Special Condition	6
Probation Violation - School/Education	4
Probation Violation - Community Service	4
Probation Violation - Reporting	4
Probation Violation - Parents	4
Probation Violation - Curfew	3
Total Top Offenses	64
Total Offenses County	65
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	98.5%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Cibola County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	10	0	24
Referral to JPPO Decision	8	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	14	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	82	0	29

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Cibola County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	25.0%
Male	3	75.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Cibola County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	40.0%
Male	3	60.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Cibola County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	25.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	3	75.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**4**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Cibola County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	1	20.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	4	80.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**5**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Cibola County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	18	31.0%
	Time Waiver	3	5.2%
Supervision	Conditional Release	7	12.1%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	0	0.0%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	30	51.7%
Total		**58**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Cibola County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

District 13: Cibola County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Cibola County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	2,847	396	39	1,034	21		1,357		2,451
2. Juvenile Arrests	125	15		69		1	34	6	110
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	84	13		48		1	19	3	71
4. Cases Diverted	41	2		21			15	3	39
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	43	3		28			12		40
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	70	9		40		1	17	3	61
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	31	3		17		1	9	1	28
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	26	3		13		1	8	1	23
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Cibola County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**1.76**	*	*	**0.66**	*	1.18
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 13: Sandoval County

	Sandoval County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	16,339	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	7.4%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$58,982	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	28.5%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	11.2%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	13.0%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	37.8%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	1.2%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 13: Sandoval County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Sandoval County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	185	30.3%
Male	426	69.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**611**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Sandoval County, FY16

Age (years)	Sandoval County	District 13 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	7	7	71	9.9%	0.9%
10 - 11	9	13	254	3.5%	3.2%
12 - 13	65	109	1,311	5.0%	16.7%
14 - 15	212	332	2,596	8.2%	33.2%
16 - 17	316	476	3,584	8.8%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	2	3	10	20.0%	0.1%
Total	**611**	**940**	**7,829**	**7.8%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Sandoval County, FY16

	Referrals (N=748)*
Handled Formally	**347**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	36
Dismissed	6
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	1
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	11
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	18
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	46
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	228
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**1**
Handled Informally	**400**
Assessed/Referred	33
Informal Services	335
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	10
No Further Action	22
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Sandoval County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	197	32.2%
Hispanic	345	56.5%
African American	18	2.9%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	36	5.9%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	13	2.1%
Missing	2	0.3%
Total	**611**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Sandoval County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	129
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	107
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	91
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	82
Battery	51
Public Affray	38
Battery (Household Member)	36
Disorderly Conduct	32
Criminal Damage to Property	27
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	23
Total Top Offenses	616
Total Offenses County	1,102
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	55.9%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Sandoval County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	2	100.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Sandoval County, FY16

Age (years)	Sandoval County	District 13 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	1	38	108	0.9%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	20	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	0	27	212	0.0%	12.9%
14 - 15	1	66	580	0.2%	35.3%
16 - 17	0	76	648	0.0%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	1	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**2**	**228**	**1,643**	**0.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Sandoval County, FY16

	Referrals (N=2)*
Handled Formally	**1**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	1
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**1**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	1
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Sandoval County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	100.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Sandoval County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	1
Runaway	1
Total Top Offenses	2
Total Offenses County	2
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Sandoval County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	11	35.5%
Male	20	64.5%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**31**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Sandoval County, FY16

Age (years)	Sandoval County	District 13 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	9	22	233	3.9%	30.0%
16 - 17	18	36	414	4.3%	53.4%
>=18*	4	14	107	3.7%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**31**	**72**	**776**	**4.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Sandoval County, FY16

	Referrals (N=40)*
Handled Formally	**40**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	10
Dismissed	2
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	1
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	5
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	8
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	13
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Sandoval County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	6	19.4%
Hispanic	23	74.2%
African American	1	3.2%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	3.2%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**31**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Sandoval County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Special Condition	20
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	15
Probation Violation - Residence	6
Probation Violation - Counseling	5
Probation Violation - School/Education	4
Probation Violation - Reporting	4
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	4
Probation Violation - Curfew	2
Probation Violation - Parents	1
Total Top Offenses	61
Total Offenses County	61
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Sandoval County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	16	798	4
Referral to JPPO Decision	13	3	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	20	14	2
Petition Filed to Disposition	79	1,069	89

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Sandoval County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	49	46.2%
Male	57	53.8%
Total	**106**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Sandoval County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	1	9.1%
Male	10	90.9%
Total	**11**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Sandoval County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	30	28.3%
Hispanic	61	57.5%
African American	4	3.8%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	10	9.4%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	0.9%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**106**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Sandoval County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	18.2%
Hispanic	9	81.8%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**11**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Sandoval County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	2	1.5%
	Informal Conditions	57	42.9%
	Time Waiver	24	18.0%
Supervision	Conditional Release	2	1.5%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	2	1.5%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	46	34.6%
Total		**133**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Sandoval County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	0	0.0%
Probation Violation	2	100.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	**2**	**100.0%**

District 13: Sandoval County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Sandoval County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	16,339	5,589	437	7,593	279		2,441		10,750
2. Juvenile Arrests	737	248	20	415			35	19	489
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	368	109	13	219			18	9	259
4. Cases Diverted	363	137	7	192			17	10	226
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	101	22	3	71			5		79
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	139	36	7	85			9	2	103
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	52	16	5	28			3		36
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	48	14	5	26			3		34
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1	1							0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Sandoval County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.03	**1.23**	**	*	**0.32**	*	1.03
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**1.20**	**	*	1.17	*	**1.21**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**0.70**	**	*	**	*	**0.69**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**1.61**	**	*	**	*	**1.51**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	1.18	**	*	**	*	1.20
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	0.74	**	*	**	*	0.79
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 13: Valencia County

	Valencia County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	8,629	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	3.9%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$41,703	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	16.5%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	19.8%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	17.2%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	59.9%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	0.6%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 13: Valencia County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Valencia County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	78	33.2%
Male	157	66.8%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**235**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Valencia County, FY16

Age (years)	Valencia County	District 13 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	7	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	4	13	254	1.6%	3.2%
12 - 13	35	109	1,311	2.7%	16.7%
14 - 15	84	332	2,596	3.2%	33.2%
16 - 17	111	476	3,584	3.1%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	1	3	10	10.0%	0.1%
Total	**235**	**940**	**7,829**	**3.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Valencia County, FY16

	Referrals (N=276)*
Handled Formally	**115**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	30
Dismissed	10
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	7
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	2
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	14
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	52
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**161**
Assessed/Referred	2
Informal Services	127
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	29
No Further Action	3
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Valencia County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	63	26.8%
Hispanic	162	68.9%
African American	1	0.4%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	2	0.9%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	7	3.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**235**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Valencia County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	53
Possession of Marijuana (One Ounce or Less) (Drug Free Zone)	48
Battery	33
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	22
Battery (Household Member)	18
Public Affray	15
Criminal Damage to Property	13
Larceny ($250 or less)	12
Reckless Driving	10
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)	9
Total Top Offenses	233
Total Offenses County	416
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	56.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Valencia County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	96	44.4%
Male	120	55.6%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**216**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Valencia County, FY16

Age (years)	Valencia County	District 13 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	37	38	108	34.3%	6.6%
10 - 11	20	20	90	22.2%	5.5%
12 - 13	24	27	212	11.3%	12.9%
14 - 15	60	66	580	10.3%	35.3%
16 - 17	74	76	648	11.4%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	1	1	3	33.3%	0.2%
Total	**216**	**228**	**1,643**	**13.1%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Valencia County, FY16

	Referrals (N=218)*
Handled Formally	**105**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	105
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**113**
Assessed/Referred	35
Informal Services	51
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	14
No Further Action	13
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Valencia County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	49	22.7%
Hispanic	163	75.5%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	4	1.9%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**216**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Valencia County, FY16

Offense	Number
Truancy	207
Runaway	9
Incorrigible	2
Total Top Offenses	218
Total Offenses County	218
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Valencia County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	3	13.6%
Male	19	86.4%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**22**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Valencia County, FY16

Age (years)	Valencia County	District 13 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	0	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	7	22	233	3.0%	30.0%
16 - 17	9	36	414	2.2%	53.4%
>=18*	6	14	107	5.6%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**22**	**72**	**776**	**2.8%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Valencia County, FY16

	Referrals (N=31)*
Handled Formally	**31**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	8
Dismissed	1
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	5
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	13
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	4
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Valencia County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	3	13.6%
Hispanic	17	77.3%
African American	1	4.5%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	4.5%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**22**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Valencia County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	21
Probation Violation - Residence	13
Probation Violation - Special Condition	8
Probation Violation - Curfew	6
Probation Violation - Counseling	4
Probation Violation - Parents	2
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	2
Probation Violation - School/Education	1
Total Top Offenses	57
Total Offenses County	57
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Valencia County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	21	35	35
Referral to JPPO Decision	8	1	3
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	65	16	67
Petition Filed to Disposition	152	650	70

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Valencia County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	4	30.8%
Male	9	69.2%
Total	**13**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Valencia County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	10	100.0%
Total	10	100.0%

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Valencia County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	15.4%
Hispanic	10	76.9%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	7.7%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**13**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Valencia County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	3	30.0%
Hispanic	6	60.0%
African American	1	10.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	10	100.0%

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Valencia County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	36	37.1%
	Time Waiver	13	13.4%
Supervision	Conditional Release	9	9.3%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	1	1.0%
	Supervised Release	1	1.0%
	Probation	37	38.1%
Total		**97**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Valencia County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	1	100.0%
Probation Violation	0	0.0%
Both	0	0.0%
Total	1	100.0%

District 13: Valencia County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Valencia County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	8,629	2,074	105	6,026	75		349		6,555
2. Juvenile Arrests	524	111	2	395			5	11	413
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	253	54	1	192			2	4	199
4. Cases Diverted	271	57	1	203			3	7	214
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	67	15		49			1	2	52
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	103	22	1	78			1	1	81
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	60	13	1	45				1	47
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	56	12	1	42				1	44
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0								0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Valencia County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**1.22**	*	*	**	*	**1.18**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.00	*	*	**	*	0.99
4. Cases Diverted	**	1.00	*	*	**	*	1.02
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	0.92	*	*	**	*	0.94
6. Cases Petitioned	**	1.00	*	*	**	*	1.00
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 14: Chaves County

	Chaves County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	8,022	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	3.6%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$40,630	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	18.1%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	21.1%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	19.0%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	55.4%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	11.0%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

District 14: Chaves County

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Chaves County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	154	35.9%
Male	275	64.1%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**429**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Chaves County, FY16

Age (years)	Chaves County	District 14 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	1	71	0.0%	0.9%
10 - 11	17	25	254	6.7%	3.2%
12 - 13	83	165	1,311	6.3%	16.7%
14 - 15	148	246	2,596	5.7%	33.2%
16 - 17	180	338	3,584	5.0%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	1	1	10	10.0%	0.1%
Total	**429**	**776**	**7,829**	**5.5%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Chaves County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	71	16.6%
Hispanic	346	80.7%
African American	6	1.4%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	2	0.5%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	4	0.9%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**429**	**100.0%**

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Chaves County, FY16

	Referrals (N=571)*
Handled Formally	**255**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	73
Dismissed	27
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	11
Judgment - Detention	1
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	36
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	3
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	8
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	96
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**316**
Assessed/Referred	8
Informal Services	276
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	29
No Further Action	3
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Chaves County, FY16

Offense	Number
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	107
Public Affray	74
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	69
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	63
Battery	57
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	51
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	39
Battery (Household Member)	26
Disorderly Conduct	26
Criminal Damage to Property	18
Total Top Offenses	530
Total Offenses County	749
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	70.8%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Chaves County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	0	0.0%
Male	0	0.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Chaves County, FY16

Age (years)	Chaves County	District 14 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	0	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	0	3	212	0.0%	12.9%
14 - 15	0	12	580	0.0%	35.3%
16 - 17	0	12	648	0.0%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**0**	**27**	**1,643**	**0.0%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Chaves County, FY16

	Referrals (N=0)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Chaves County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	0	0.0%
Hispanic	0	0.0%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**0**	**0.0%**

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Chaves County, FY16

Offense	Number
There were no status (non-delinquent) referrals for Chaves County in FY16	
Total Top Offenses	0
Total Offenses County	0
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	0.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Chaves County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	15	30.0%
Male	35	70.0%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**50**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Chaves County, FY16

Age (years)	Chaves County	District 14 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	3	3	22	13.6%	2.8%
14 - 15	19	24	233	8.2%	30.0%
16 - 17	26	36	414	6.3%	53.4%
>=18*	2	5	107	1.9%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**50**	**68**	**776**	**6.4%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Chaves County, FY16

	Referrals (N=64)*
Handled Formally	**64**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	2
Dismissed	3
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	13
Judgment - Detention	6
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	39
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	1
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Chaves County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	8	16.0%
Hispanic	40	80.0%
African American	1	2.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	1	2.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**50**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Chaves County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - School/Education	49
Probation Violation - Residence	45
Probation Violation - Reporting	39
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	39
Probation Violation - Curfew	35
Probation Violation - Special Condition	35
Probation Violation - Parents	33
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	31
Probation Violation - Counseling	24
Probation Violation - Associates	9
Total Top Offenses	339
Total Offenses County	343
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	98.8%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Chaves County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	21	0	23
Referral to JPPO Decision	2	0	0
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	19	0	0
Petition Filed to Disposition	87	0	22

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Chaves County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	10	25.0%
Male	30	75.0%
Total	**40**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Chaves County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	4	21.1%
Male	15	78.9%
Total	**19**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Chaves County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	4	10.0%
Hispanic	35	87.5%
African American	1	2.5%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**40**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Chaves County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	2	10.5%
Hispanic	16	84.2%
African American	1	5.3%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**19**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Chaves County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	1	0.8%
	Informal Conditions	61	45.9%
	Time Waiver	3	2.3%
Supervision	Conditional Release	1	0.8%
	ICJ Parole	1	0.8%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	3	2.3%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	63	47.4%
Total		**133**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Chaves County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	7	36.8%
Probation Violation	9	47.4%
Both	3	15.8%
Total	**19**	**100.0%**

District 14: Chaves County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Chaves County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	8,022	2,324	135	5,452	55		56		5,698
2. Juvenile Arrests	633	94	11	522			1	5	539
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	301	48	2	246			1	4	253
4. Cases Diverted	332	46	9	276				1	286
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	154	30	1	119			2	2	124
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	207	35		169				3	172
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	154	25		127				2	129
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	135	24		109				2	111
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	15	1		14					14
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Chaves County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**2.01**	**2.37**	*	*	*	*	**2.34**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.92	*	*	*	*	0.92
4. Cases Diverted	**	**1.17**	*	*	*	*	**1.18**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**0.77**	*	*	*	*	**0.78**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	0.94	*	*	*	*	0.93
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	1.05	*	*	*	*	1.05
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**No**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---



District 14: Eddy County

	Eddy County	New Mexico
Estimated population 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	6,795	221,944
Percent of state's total children 10-17 years old, 2015[*]	3.1%	100.0%
Median household income, 2011-2015[^]	$56,618	$44,963
Bachelor's degree or higher, percent of persons age 25 years+, 2011-2015[^]	17.8%	26.3%
Persons in poverty, percent, 2011-2015[^]	12.3%	20.4%
Persons without health care insurance, under age 65 years, percent[^]	13.4%	12.8%
Hispanic or Latino, percent, 2015[^]	47.3%	48.0%
Percent of Juvenile Justice Services term facility admissions, FY 2016[£]	8.7%	100.0%

Sources:
[*]Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2016). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2015." Online. Available: http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/.
[^]http://www.census.gov/quickfacts/.
[£]FACTS Data System, NM CYFD.

Delinquent Referrals, FY16

Clients with delinquent referrals by gender, Eddy County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	103	29.7%
Male	244	70.3%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**347**	**100.0%**

Clients with delinquent referrals by age, Eddy County, FY16

Age (years)	Eddy County	District 14 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	1	1	71	1.4%	0.9%
10 - 11	8	25	254	3.1%	3.2%
12 - 13	82	165	1,311	6.3%	16.7%
14 - 15	98	246	2,596	3.8%	33.2%
16 - 17	158	338	3,584	4.4%	45.8%
>=18*	0	0	3	0.0%	0.0%
Unknown	0	1	10	0.0%	0.1%
Total	**347**	**776**	**7,829**	**4.4%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for delinquent referrals*, Eddy County, FY16

	Referrals (N=411)*
Handled Formally	**138**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	26
Dismissed	10
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	5
Judgment - Detention	15
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	40
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	1
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	41
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**273**
Assessed/Referred	42
Informal Services	205
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	2
No Further Action	24
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with delinquent referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with delinquent referrals by race/ethnicity, Eddy County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	123	35.4%
Hispanic	215	62.0%
African American	4	1.2%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	***	***%
Native Hawaiian	***	***%
2 or more	***	***%
Missing	1	0.3%
Total	**347**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for delinquent referrals, Eddy County, FY16

Offense	Number
Public Affray	84
Battery	45
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	44
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	37
Shoplifting ($250 or less)	37
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	35
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	29
Disorderly Conduct	24
Criminal Damage to Property	17
Battery (Household Member)	11
Total Top Offenses	363
Total Offenses County	569
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	63.8%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Status (non-delinquent) Referrals, FY16

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by gender, Eddy County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	16	59.3%
Male	11	40.7%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**27**	**100.0%**

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by age, Eddy County, FY16

Age (years)	Eddy County	District 14 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	108	0.0%	6.6%
10 - 11	0	0	90	0.0%	5.5%
12 - 13	3	3	212	1.4%	12.9%
14 - 15	12	12	580	2.1%	35.3%
16 - 17	12	12	648	1.9%	39.4%
>=18*	0	0	2	0.0%	0.1%
Unknown	0	0	3	0.0%	0.2%
Total	**27**	**27**	**1,643**	**1.6%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Clients with status (non-delinquent) referrals by race/ethnicity, Eddy County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	11	40.7%
Hispanic	16	59.3%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**27**	**100.0%**

Action taken/dispositions for status (non-delinquent) referrals*, Eddy County, FY16

	Referrals (N=41)*
Handled Formally	**0**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
Judgment - Detention	0
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	0
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	0
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**41**
Assessed/Referred	26
Informal Services	11
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	4
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with status referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Top offenses* for status (non-delinquent) referrals, Eddy County, FY16

Offense	Number
Runaway	41
Total Top Offenses	41
Total Offenses County	41
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	100.0%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Probation Violation Referrals, FY16

Clients with probation violation referrals by gender, Eddy County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	5	27.8%
Male	13	72.2%
Unknown	0	0.0%
Total	**18**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation referrals by age, Eddy County, FY16

Age (years)	Eddy County	District 14 total	State total	County % of statewide total for age range	State total of age range as % of state total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
12 - 13	0	3	22	0.0%	2.8%
14 - 15	5	24	233	2.1%	30.0%
16 - 17	10	36	414	2.4%	53.4%
>=18*	3	5	107	2.8%	13.8%
Unknown	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	**18**	**68**	**776**	**2.3%**	**100.0%**

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Action taken/dispositions for probation violation referrals*, Eddy County, FY16

	Referrals (N=18)*
Handled Formally	**18**
Adult Sanctions - Jail	0
Consent Decree	0
Dismissed	0
Judgment - CYFD Commitment	7
Judgment - Detention	2
Judgment - Fines	0
Judgment - Probation	6
Nolle Prosequi or Time Expired	0
Refiled	0
Time Waiver	0
YO Judgment - CYFD Commitment	0
YO Judgment - Detention	0
YO Judgment - Probation	0
Non-adjudicated	3
Pending Preliminary Inquiry	**0**
Handled Informally	**0**
Assessed/Referred	0
Informal Services	0
Referred to CCA After Informal Disposition	0
No Further Action	0
CCA Rejected	0

*This number includes unprocessed clients with probation violation referrals from FY15 that were carried to FY16.

Clients with probation violation referrals by race/ethnicity, Eddy County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	5	27.8%
Hispanic	13	72.2%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**18**	**100.0%**

Top offenses* for probation violation referrals, Eddy County, FY16

Offense	Number
Probation Violation - Residence	29
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	21
Probation Violation - School/Education	13
Probation Violation - Special Condition	12
Probation Violation - Parents	11
Probation Violation - Curfew	10
Probation Violation - Reporting	4
Probation Violation - Community Service	4
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	4
Probation Violation - Counseling	2
Total Top Offenses	110
Total Offenses County	112
Top Offenses as Percentage of Total Charges for County	98.2%

*A client may have multiple offenses per referral.

Formal case processing time (average number of days) by petition type, Eddy County, FY16

	Delinquent	Grand Jury	Probation Violation
Incident to Referral	40	10	22
Referral to JPPO Decision	11	27	1
JPPO Decision to Petition Filed	45	47	7
Petition Filed to Disposition	170	196	58

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by gender, Eddy County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	11	22.4%
Male	38	77.6%
Total	**49**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs by gender, Eddy County, FY16

Gender	Youth (N)	%
Female	2	25.0%
Male	6	75.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

Clients with MIP/DWI offense(s) by race/ethnicity, Eddy County, FY16

Race/ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	17	34.7%
Hispanic	30	61.2%
African American	1	2.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	1	2.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**49**	**100.0%**

Clients with probation violation(s) for alcohol/drugs race/ethnicity, Eddy County, FY16

Race/Ethnicity	Youth (N)	%
Non-Hispanic White	1	12.5%
Hispanic	7	87.5%
African American	0	0.0%
Asian	0	0.0%
Native American	0	0.0%
Native Hawaiian	0	0.0%
2 or more	0	0.0%
Missing	0	0.0%
Total	**8**	**100.0%**

JPO caseload on June 27, 2016, Eddy County, FY16

Monitoring/ supervision	Type	Cases (N)	%
Monitoring	Informal Supervision	0	0.0%
	Informal Conditions	16	21.3%
	Time Waiver	1	1.3%
Supervision	Conditional Release	1	1.3%
	ICJ Parole	0	0.0%
	ICJ Probation/Tribal	4	5.3%
	Supervised Release	0	0.0%
	Probation	53	70.7%
Total		**75**	**100.0%**

Term admissions by referral type, Eddy County, FY16

Referral Type	Admissions (N)	%
Delinquent	7	46.7%
Probation Violation	6	40.0%
Both	2	13.3%
Total	**15**	**100.0%**

District 14: Eddy County

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative rate index (RRI) counts for all referrals, Eddy County, FFY15

Decision point	Total Youth	White	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at risk (age 10 through 17)	6,795	2,717	123	3,846	29		80		4,078
2. Juvenile Arrests	461	174	5	277			2	3	287
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	151	56	3	91				1	95
4. Cases Diverted	310	118	2	186			2	2	192
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	60	17	2	41					43
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	123	44	3	75				1	79
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	84	30	2	51				1	54
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	61	21	2	37				1	40
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	10	4		6					6
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0								0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately?		**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Disproportionate minority contact (DMC) relative risk index (RRI) rates for all referrals, Eddy County, FFY15

Decision point	Black or African-American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**1.12**	*	*	**	*	1.10
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.02	*	*	**	*	1.03
4. Cases Diverted	**	0.97	*	*	**	*	0.96
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**1.48**	*	*	**	*	**1.49**
6. Cases Petitioned	**	1.05	*	*	**	*	1.06
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	1.00	*	*	**	*	1.00
8. Cases resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
Group meets 1% threshold?	**Yes**	**Yes**	**No**	**No**	**Yes**	**No**	

Reporting for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2015,
October 1, 2015 –September 30, 2016

Key:

Statistically significant results:	**Bold font**
Results that are not statistically significant	Regular font
Group is less than 1% of the youth population	*
Insufficient number of cases for analysis	**
Missing data for some element of calculation	---

Table O-1: Total referrals by county, New Mexico, FY16								
Judicial District	County	Total referrals	Delinquent referrals		Probation violations		Status referrals	
			Number of referrals	Number of youth	Number of referrals	Number of youth	Number of referrals	Number of youth
1	Los Alamos	79	51	42	0	0	28	28
1	Rio Arriba	259	164	144	33	21	62	56
1	Santa Fe	796	537	403	96	57	163	156
2	Bernalillo	2,481	2,094	1,670	297	201	90	88
3	Dona Ana	1,564	1,224	974	99	80	241	198
4	Guadalupe	22	19	18	0	0	3	3
4	Mora	10	10	9	0	0	0	0
4	San Miguel	146	110	87	26	16	10	10
5	Lea	627	472	390	32	26	123	118
6	Grant	316	201	161	7	7	108	97
6	Hildago	30	22	22	0	0	8	8
6	Luna	188	137	118	17	15	34	32
7	Catron	2	2	2	0	0	0	0
7	Sierra	49	39	37	2	2	8	8
7	Socorro	81	59	52	9	9	13	11
7	Torrance	77	72	64	1	1	4	4
8	Colfax	106	82	66	7	6	17	13
8	Taos	307	186	151	24	19	97	92
8	Union	17	15	7	1	1	1	1
9	Curry	470	349	297	67	46	54	51
9	Roosevelt	148	100	81	9	6	39	38
10	De Baca	6	5	5	1	1	0	0
10	Harding	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Quay	70	60	51	10	8	0	0
11	Mckinley	408	303	266	5	5	100	87
11	San Juan	990	717	574	79	65	194	168
12	Lincoln	229	110	85	22	14	97	87
12	Otero	564	495	337	34	30	35	34
13	Cibola	148	116	94	22	19	10	10
13	Sandoval	790	748	611	40	31	2	2
13	Valencia	525	276	235	31	22	218	216
14	Chaves	635	571	429	64	50	0	0
14	Eddy	470	411	347	18	18	41	27
	Total	**12,610**	**9,757**	**7,829**	**1,053**	**776**	**1,800**	**1,643**

Table O-2: Juvenile probation office (JPO) caseload by county, New Mexico, as of June 27, 2016										
Judicial District	County	Cases Under Monitoring			Cases Under Supervision					Total
		Informal supervision	Informal conditions	Time waiver	Conditional release	ICJ parole	ICJ probation	Supervised release	Probation	
1	Los Alamos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	Rio Arriba	1	2	5	7	0	3	0	17	35
1	Santa Fe	1	0	4	20	0	1	0	44	70
2	Bernalillo	2	70	122	65	1	11	24	344	639
3	Dona Ana	27	325	13	20	0	9	1	142	537
4	Guadalupe	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	8
4	Mora	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	San Miguel	5	0	3	2	0	0	0	20	30
5	Lea	6	42	2	1	0	2	0	51	104
6	Grant	13	13	2	0	0	0	0	30	58
6	Hildago	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7
6	Luna	18	24	1	0	0	1	0	30	74
7	Catron	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Socorro	4	13	0	4	0	0	0	13	34
7	Torrance	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	8	13
8	Colfax	4	2	1	0	0	0	9	8	24
8	Taos	2	12	1	5	0	0	2	14	36
8	Union	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Curry	2	37	9	5	0	1	0	49	103
9	Roosevelt	0	15	5	0	0	1	0	13	34
10	De Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Harding	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Quay	0	5	1	1	0	0	0	15	22
11	Mckinley	0	9	1	4	0	0	0	9	23
11	San Juan	14	14	7	35	0	2	4	116	192
12	Lincoln	5	15	2	6	0	0	0	19	47
12	Otero	4	25	7	13	0	0	0	60	109
13	Cibola	0	18	3	7	0	0	0	30	58
13	Sandoval	2	57	24	2	0	2	0	46	133
13	Valencia	0	36	13	9	0	1	1	37	97
14	Chaves	1	61	3	1	1	3	0	63	133
14	Eddy	0	16	1	1	0	4	0	53	75
	Unknown/Blank	0	68	28	13	0	1	12	150	272
	Total	**120**	**879**	**260**	**223**	**2**	**43**	**53**	**1,387**	**2,967**

Table O-3: Detention center releases by referral county, New Mexico, FY14-FY16					
Judicial District	**County**	**FY14**	**FY15**	**FY16**	**Total**
1	Los Alamos	4	3	4	11
1	Rio Arriba	99	103	79	281
1	Santa Fe	126	127	276	529
2	Bernalillo	923	837	627	2,387
3	Dona Ana	455	329	253	1,037
4	Guadalupe	2	3	1	6
4	Mora	3	2	0	5
4	San Miguel	41	47	28	116
5	Lea	168	185	123	476
6	Grant	43	53	32	128
6	Hidalgo	8	8	8	24
6	Luna	117	88	40	245
7	Catron	1	0	0	1
7	Sierra	13	13	2	28
7	Socorro	26	12	21	59
7	Torrance	21	21	5	47
8	Colfax	35	15	13	63
8	Taos	80	69	72	221
8	Union	5	0	3	8
9	Curry	215	169	123	507
9	Roosevelt	32	33	18	83
10	De Baca	8	5	1	14
10	Harding	0	0	0	0
10	Quay	35	32	19	86
11	McKinley	51	34	28	113
11	San Juan	271	310	293	874
12	Lincoln	48	41	19	108
12	Otero	129	103	103	335
13	Cibola	45	52	48	145
13	Sandoval	155	128	103	386
13	Valencia	136	84	78	298
14	Chaves	131	105	191	427
14	Eddy	94	79	64	237
	Total	**3,520**	**3,090**	**2,675**	**9,285**

Table O-4: Detention center Average Length of Stay (ALOS) in days by referral county, New Mexico, FY14-FY16

Judicial District	County	FY14	FY15	FY16	Three-year average
1	Los Alamos	5.3	10.0	17.5	10.9
1	Rio Arriba	14.1	12.4	25.8	17.4
1	Santa Fe	18.4	8.7	11.4	12.8
2	Bernalillo	22.1	19.2	19.5	20.3
3	Dona Ana	17.8	14.4	16.6	16.2
4	Guadalupe	14.0	11.7	7.0	10.9
4	Mora	21.0	11.5	0.0	10.8
4	San Miguel	11.2	13.7	20.3	15.0
5	Lea	28.8	19.5	18.0	22.1
6	Grant	7.4	11.1	10.3	9.6
6	Hidalgo	27.6	18.9	15.3	20.6
6	Luna	11.5	13.6	28.2	17.8
7	Catron	23.0	0.0	0.0	7.7
7	Sierra	34.6	53.4	123.0	70.3
7	Socorro	28.2	26.9	18.3	24.5
7	Torrance	31.4	19.0	21.2	23.9
8	Colfax	16.1	20.2	19.3	18.5
8	Taos	18.8	13.8	17.0	16.5
8	Union	16.8	0.0	21.7	12.8
9	Curry	17.7	16.2	18.3	17.4
9	Roosevelt	16.9	27.3	21.1	21.8
10	De Baca	37.1	14.8	4.0	18.6
10	Harding	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
10	Quay	16.2	23.0	24.6	21.3
11	McKinley	19.9	17.0	11.5	16.1
11	San Juan	16.4	14.6	13.0	14.7
12	Lincoln	9.1	43.1	12.8	21.7
12	Otero	12.6	20.4	28.0	20.3
13	Cibola	20.2	26.7	16.4	21.1
13	Sandoval	13.7	12.6	16.5	14.3
13	Valencia	18.8	28.5	17.1	21.4
14	Chaves	25.4	22.1	19.0	22.2
14	Eddy	23.3	38.4	36.4	32.7
	Three-year average	**19.1**	**18.3**	**18.1**	**18.5**