




Artwork by clients at YDDC

New Mexico Juvenile Justice Services

Fiscal Year 2013

Annual Report



Addendums to Annual Report

-Original Document Printed January 8, 2014

-Addendum 1; January 14, 2014: Addition of figure for Juvenile Referrals and Population FY95-FY13 on page 20., Table 3-2 moved to page 21, and Figure 3-3 moved to page 22. Updated table of contents to reflect the figure/table changes.



State of New Mexico

CHILDREN, YOUTH and FAMILIES DEPARTMENT

SUSANA MARTINEZ

GOVERNOR



YOLANDA BERUMEN-DEINES

CABINET SECRETARY

JOHN SANCHEZ

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

JENNIFER PADGETT

DEPUTY CABINET SECRETARY

Juvenile Justice Services

FY2013 Annual Report

Sandra Stewart, JJS Director

John Sweeney, JJS Deputy Director of Administration

Nick Costales, JJS Deputy Director Field Services

Produced by

JJS Data Analysis/FACTS Bureau

Fran T. Bunker, Acting Data Development & Analysis Manager

Major Contributors:

Victoria F. Dirmyer, Epidemiologist

Amanda Gray, Field Data Analyst

Kara Mosley, Facility Data Analyst

Steve Brooks, DMC Coordinator

Special thanks for Contributions by:

Tamara Marcantel, Special Programs Manager

Patti Vowell, Statewide JDAI Coordinator

Jeremy Howard, FACTS Supervisor

Greg Nelson, Director Faith and Community Programs

Rey Kavanaugh, Field Data Analyst

Comments/Suggestions regarding this publication may be emailed to:
JJSDDataRequest@state.nm.us



Juvenile Justice Annual Report

Introduction

This is New Mexico's eighth Juvenile Justice Annual Report. The methodology for calculating information for this report is similar to previous annual reports. We hope that the revamped format will be more appealing and informative to our readers. The majority of the data for this report are extracted each fiscal year (July-June) from the Family-Automated

Client Tracking System (FACTS), our case management system which has been operational for JJS since 1999. For this year's annual report, data was extracted from July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013.

This annual report presents general population data for New Mexico youth; summary statistics on the youth referred/arrested for delinquent, status, and probation violations; the risk and needs assessments of the juvenile offender population; recidivism rates; Juvenile Probation Officer workload for fiscal year 2013; and time standards for case processing for juvenile offenders.

Juvenile Justice Services (JJS) is just one division under New Mexico's Children, Youth, & Families Department. The other three programmatic divisions within this department include Early Childhood Services (ECS), Protective Services (PS), and the Office of Community Outreach and Behavioral Health Programs. The purpose behind the department was to integrate and put appropriate emphasis on services provided by multiple state agencies ranging from early childhood development to institutional care. The CYFD's goal is to support the strengthening of families and communities through services directed at increasing positive outcomes.




Photo Courtesy of/Released by: Families and Youth Inc., Juvenile Community Corrections Program, Las Cruces, NM.

Unlike many states, all juvenile justice functions, from arrest or other referral to release from court ordered supervision or custody, are unified in a single governance structure. As a result, a vast array of data are available within our system.

The New Mexico Juvenile Justice Annual Report would not have been possible without the contributions of juvenile justice professionals statewide, including community partners, providers and other justice entities. This report can also be viewed by accessing the New Mexico CYFD website at www.cyfd.org under Juvenile Justice—Statistics and Reports. If you need additional information regarding this document, please contact the Juvenile Justice Data Analysis Unit at JJSDataRequest@state.nm.us.

JUVENILE JUSTICE SERVICES

- Secure Facilities
- Reintegration Facilities
- Releasing Authority
- Probation/Supervised Release
- Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee
- Community Corrections
- Youth in Transition



Table Of Contents

INTRODUCTION	4
VISION, MISSION, PRINCIPLES, AND GOALS.....	9
STATE MAP OF DISTRICTS AND FACILITIES.....	10
CAMBIAR MODEL.....	11
ALTERNATIVES TO DETENTION & SYSTEM REFORM.....	12
 SECTION 1. NEW MEXICO POPULATION SERVED	 13
FIGURE 1-1: JUVENILE POPULATION OF NEW MEXICO.....	13
FIGURE 1-2: PROJECTED JUVENILE POPULATION.....	13
FIGURE 1-3: JUVENILE POPULATION BY AGE AND GENDER.....	14
FIGURE 1-4: JUVENILE POPULATION BY GENDER.....	14
FIGURE 1-5: JUVENILE POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	14
 SECTION 2. CLIENT REFERRAL PATHWAY	 17
FIGURE 2-1: TREE STATS FOR FY13 REFERRALS.....	17
FIGURE 2-2: CLIENT REFERRAL PATHWAY.....	18
 SECTION 3. JUVENILE REFERRALS—DELINQUENT REFERRALS	 19
TABLE 3-1: DELINQUENT REFERRAL SOURCES.....	20
TABLE 3-2 TOP 15 OFFENSES FOR DELINQUENT REFERRALS.....	21
FIGURE 3-1: DELINQUENT REFERRALS BY OFFENSE LEVEL.....	21
FIGURE 3-2: DELINQUENT REFERRALS BY GENDER.....	21
FIGURE 3-3: DELINQUENT REFERRALS BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	22
TABLE 3-3: DELINQUENT REFERRALS BY AGE.....	22
FIGURE 3-4: DELINQUENT REFERRAL TRENDS FOR LAST 5 YEARS.....	22
TABLE 3-4: DELINQUENT REFERRALS BY ACTION TAKEN/DISPOSITION.....	23
TABLE 3-5: TOP 15 DISPOSED OFFENSES FOR DELINQUENT REFERRALS.....	23
 SECTION 4. JUVENILE REFERRALS—STATUS REFERRALS	 24
TABLE 4-1: STATUS REFERRAL SOURCES.....	24
TABLE 4-2: TOP OFFENSES FOR STATUS REFERRALS.....	24
FIGURE 4-1: STATUS REFERRALS BY GENDER.....	24
FIGURE 4-2: STATUS REFERRALS BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	25
TABLE 4-3: STATUS REFERRALS BY AGE.....	25
FIGURE 4-3: STATUS REFERRAL TRENDS FOR LAST 5 YEARS.....	25
TABLE 4-4: STATUS REFERRALS BY ACTION TAKEN/DISPOSITION.....	26
 SECTION 5. JUVENILE REFERRALS—PROBATION VIOLATIONS	 27
TABLE 5-1: PROBATION VIOLATION SOURCES.....	27
TABLE 5-2: TOP OFFENSES FOR PROBATION VIOLATIONS.....	27
FIGURE 5-1: PROBATION VIOLATIONS BY GENDER.....	27
FIGURE 5-2: PROBATION VIOLATIONS BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	28
TABLE 5-3: PROBATION VIOLATIONS BY AGE.....	28
FIGURE 5-3: PROBATION VIOLATION TRENDS FOR LAST 5 YEARS.....	28
TABLE 5-4: PROBATION VIOLATIONS BY ACTION TAKEN/DISPOSITION.....	29
TABLE 5-5: TOP DISPOSED OFFENSES FOR PROBATION VIOLATIONS.....	29



Table Of Contents

SECTION 6. JUVENILE SCREENING AND CLASSIFICATION (SDM)	30
FIGURE 6-1: RISK BY LEVEL.....	32
FIGURE 6-2: RISK BY GENDER.....	32
TABLE 6-1: RISK BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	32
TABLE 6-2: RISK BY AGE.....	33
FIGURE 6-3: NEEDS BY LEVEL.....	33
FIGURE 6-4: NEEDS BY GENDER.....	33
TABLE 6-3: NEEDS BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	34
TABLE 6-4: NEEDS BY AGE.....	34
TABLE 6-5: TOP 5 PRIORITY NEEDS FACTORS.....	35
TABLE 6-6: TOP 5 PRIORITY STRENGTH FACTORS.....	35
SECTION 7. JUVENILES IN SECURE FACILITIES	36
FIGURE 7-1: TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER.....	37
TABLE 7-1: TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE.....	37
FIGURE 7-2: TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	37
FIGURE 7-3: AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION AND BED CAPACITY.....	38
FIGURE 7-4: AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION BY GENDER & FACILITY.....	38
TABLE 7-2: AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION BY AGE & FACILITY.....	38
FIGURE 7-5: AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY & FACILITY.....	39
FIGURE 7-6: AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY GENDER & COMMITMENT TYPE.....	39
TABLE 7-3: AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY AGE & COMMITMENT TYPE.....	40
FIGURE 7-7: AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	40
FIGURE 7-8: INCIDENT REPORTS.....	41
TABLE 7-4: TOP 15 ADMITTED OFFENSES.....	41
FIGURE 7-9: JUVENILE TERM COMMITMENT TRENDS: FY2000-FY2013.....	41
SECTION 8. JUVENILES IN REINTEGRATION CENTERS	42
FIGURE 8-1: TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY GENDER.....	43
TABLE 8-1: TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY AGE.....	43
FIGURE 8-2: TOTAL ADMISSIONS BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	43
FIGURE 8-3: AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION BY BED CAPACITY.....	44
FIGURE 8-4: AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION BY GENDER & FACILITY.....	44
TABLE 8-2: AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION BY AGE & FACILITY.....	44
FIGURE 8-5: AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION BY RACE/ETHNICITY & FACILITY.....	45
FIGURE 8-6: AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY GENDER.....	45
TABLE 8-3: AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY AGE.....	45
FIGURE 8-7: AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	46
FIGURE 8-8: INCIDENT REPORTS.....	46
TABLE 8-4: TOP 15 ADMITTED OFFENSES.....	46
SECTION 9: JUVENILES REFERRED TO/IN DETENTION	47
FIGURE 9-1: REPORT CATEGORY BY RAI OUTCOME.....	49
FIGURE 9-2: REFERRED JUVENILES BY GENDER.....	49
TABLE 9-1: JUVENILES REFERRED BY AGE AT 1ST FY13 REFERRAL.....	49
TABLE 9-2: OUTCOME OF REFERRAL BY REFERRAL REASON.....	50
FIGURE 9-3: REFERRED JUVENILES BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	51
TABLE 9-3: TOP 15 SCREENED OFFENSES.....	51
FIGURE 9-4: DETAINED JUVENILES BY REPORT CATEGORY & GENDER.....	52
TABLE 9-4: JUVENILES DETAINED BY AGE AT 1ST DETAINED INTAKE.....	52
FIGURE 9-6: ADP BY GENDER & JUVENILE DETENTION CENTER.....	53
FIGURE 9-7: ALOS IN DETENTION BY REFERRAL COUNTY.....	53



Table Of Contents

SECTION 10. YOUTH SERVICES/EDUCATION SERVICES	54
TABLE 10-1: SERVICES PROVIDED TO JUVENILE OFFENDERS.....	54
SECTION 11. BEHAVIORAL HEALTH NEEDS IN NEW MEXICO (ADE)	59
FIGURE 11-1: SUBSTANCE ABUSE OFFENSES BY GENDER & RACE/ETHNICITY.....	60
TABLE 11-1: SUBSTANCE ABUSE OFFENSES BY AGE.....	60
FIGURE 11-2: SUBSTANCE & ALCOHOL DIAGNOSIS OF FACILITY CLIENTS.....	60
TABLE 11-2: MIP & DWI OFFENSES BY AGE.....	61
FIGURE 11-3: MIP & DWI OFFENSES AMONG JUVENILE CLIENTS BY RACE/ETHNICITY.....	61
FIGURE 11-4: TRENDS IN MIP & DAWI OFFENSES (FY10-FY13).....	61
FIGURE 11-5: BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICE RECOMMENDATIONS.....	62
TABLE 11-3: TOP 15 DSM-4 CODES FOR CLIENTS IN SECURE FACILITIES.....	62
SECTION 12. CASE PROCESSING/WORKLOAD/DMC	63
FIGURE 12-1: FORMAL CASE PROCESSING TIME BY PETITION CHARGE.....	66
FIGURE 12-2: FORMAL CASE PROCESSING TIME BY DEGREE OF CHARGE.....	66
FIGURE 12-3: JPO CASELOAD ON 6/30/2013 BY GENERAL ACTION TYPE.....	67
FIGURE 12-4: JPO CASELOAD ON 6/30/2013 BY SPECIFIC ACTION TYPE.....	67
TABLE 12-1A-D: STATEWIDE DMC TABLE BY REFERRAL TYPE.....	68
TABLE 12-2A-D: STATEWIDE DMC RRI TABLE BY REFERRAL TYPE.....	68
APPENDICES	77
For each of the following sections, Figures 1-7 and Tables 1-3 will be provided for each county.	
FIGURE A-1: CENSUS FACTS	
FIGURE A-2: DELINQUENT REFERRALS BY AGE, GENDER, RACE/ETHNICITY, ACTION TAKEN/DISPOSITION, & TOP 10 OFFENSES FOR DELINQUENT REFERRALS	
FIGURE A-3: PROBATION VIOLATIONS BY AGE, GENDER, RACE/ETHNICITY, ACTION TAKEN/DISPOSITION, & TOP 10 OFFENSES FOR DELINQUENT REFERRALS	
FIGURE A-4: STATUS REFERRALS BY AGE, GENDER, RACE/ETHNICITY, ACTION TAKEN DISPOSITION, & TOP 10 OFFENSES FOR STATUS REFERRALS	
TABLE A-1: DMC RRI RATES FOR ALL REFERRALS	
TABLE A-2: DMC RRI COUNTS FOR ALL REFERRALS	
TABLE A-3: MIP AND DUI/DWI STATISTICS	
FIGURE A-5: NUMBER OF TERM ADMISSIONS BY REFERRAL TYPE	
FIGURE A-6: CASE PROCESSING BY PETITION CHARGE	
FIGURE A-7: JPO CASELOAD ON 6/30/2013 BY SPECIFIC ACTION TYPE	
A. DISTRICT 1	
LOS ALAMOS.....	78
RIO ARRIBA.....	82
SANTA FE.....	87
B. DISTRICT 2	
BERNALILLO.....	92
C. DISTRICT 3	
DONA ANA.....	97



Table Of Contents

D. DISTRICT 4	
GUADALUPE.....	102
MORA.....	106
SAN MIGUEL.....	110
E. DISTRICT 5	
LEA.....	115
F. DISTRICT 6	
GRANT.....	120
HIDALGO.....	125
LUNA.....	130
G. DISTRICT 7	
CATRON.....	135
SIERRA.....	139
SOCORRO.....	144
TORRANCE.....	149
H. DISTRICT 8	
COLFAX.....	154
TAOS.....	158
UNION.....	163
I. DISTRICT 9	
CURRY.....	166
ROOSEVELT.....	171
J. DISTRICT 10	
QUAY.....	176
DE BACA.....	181
HARDING.....	185
K. DISTRICT 11	
MCKINLEY.....	187
SAN JUAN.....	192
L. DISTRICT 12	
LINCOLN.....	197
OTERO.....	202
M. DISTRICT 13	
CIBOLA.....	207
SANDOVAL.....	212
VALENCIA.....	217
N. DISTRICT 14	
CHAVES.....	222
EDDY.....	227
O. FULL COUNTY TABLES	
TABLE O-1: TOTAL FY13 REFERRALS BY COUNTY.....	232
TABLE O-2: TOTAL FY13 CASELOAD BY COUNTY.....	233
TABLE O-3: DETENTION CENTER RELEASES BY REFERRAL COUNTY.....	234
TABLE O-4: DETENTION CENTER ALOS BY REFERRAL COUNTY.....	235



Who We Are, What We Do, & Where We Are Going



State of New Mexico
**Children, Youth and
Families Department**



Who We Are

Mission Statement: The Children, Youth, and Families Department (CYFD) believes in the strengths and resiliency of families who are our partners and for whom we advocate to enhance their safety and well-being. We respectfully serve and support children and families and supervise youth in a responsive community based system of care that is client-centered, family focused, and culturally competent.

What We Do

Guiding Principles: CYFD believes that children and families should receive:


- ◆ Services that promote and build individual and family strengths.
- ◆ Early identification and intervention services to address problems as they emerge.
- ◆ Access to a comprehensive array of services that are individualized, community based and, whenever possible, in-home, to meet the unique needs and potential of each child and family.
- ◆ Full participation and choice in all aspects in the planning and delivery of services.
- ◆ Services that are provided in the least restrictive setting and most normative environment and are integrated and linked, both within CYFD and with other child-serving agencies and which use peers, family, and natural supports.
- ◆ Culturally competent services delivered without regard to race, ethnicity, religion, national origin, gender, or disability.
- ◆ The most effective services that are based on evidence or promising or emerging practices, to achieve positive outcomes.
- ◆ Services that insure smooth transitions to adult service systems.

Where We Are Going

Vision Statement: CYFD partners with communities to strengthen families in New Mexico to be productive and self-sufficient.



Juvenile Facilities & County Detention Centers



Secure Facilities

Youth Diagnostic & Development Center (YDDC)

Camino Nuevo Youth Center (CNYC)

Albuquerque Boys Center (ABC)

**Converted to Reintegration Center 11/2013 (FY14)*

John Paul Taylor Center (JPTC)

Lincoln Pines Youth Center (LPYC)

**Opened November, 2013 (FY14)*

San Juan Juvenile Detention Center (SJDC)

Reintegration Centers

Eagle Nest Reintegration Center (ENRC)

Carlsbad Community Residential Facility (CCRF)

Albuquerque Reintegration Center (ARC)

**Closed as a male facility in 9/2012 and opened
as a female facility in 12/2012*

**Facilities and centers are based upon FY13 mission;
changes are indicated in italics*



The Cambiar Model



In 2008, Juvenile Justice Services of New Mexico's CYFD adopted the Cambiar model for its facilities. The Cambiar model is a rehabilitative model for youth in custody based on the Missouri Youth Services experience. This model emphasizes rehabilitation and regionalization over a corrections approach, emphasizing the need for youth to be treated in humane and nurturing environments that will result in the youth making positive and long-lasting changes.

Major initiatives of this approach include:

- ◆ Developing smaller secure regional facilities across the state of New Mexico.
- ◆ Creating smaller, safer, and more nurturing living units/groups (therapeutic communities).
- ◆ Implementing youth centered unit management and milieu therapy.
- ◆ Developing individualized service plans addressing carefully assessed needs, strengths, and risks.
- ◆ Staffing facilities with Youth Care Specialists who receive training that provides them with clinical and therapeutic skill sets.
- ◆ Providing rich programming including education, vocational, behavioral health, medical, and other services.

Future Plans

Currently the Cambiar New Mexico model is used within juvenile justice facilities, but in the future we hope to have this model guiding our Field services. By combining both the Field and Facility services under one governing model, we will be able to provide a continuation of services throughout a client's involvement with juvenile justice.



Alternatives to Detention



THE ANNIE E. CASEY FOUNDATION


Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative

During the 1980's the United States began to realize a dramatic pendulum swing away from individualized treatment and services for youth towards "law and order" efforts. The perception of a growing juvenile crime epidemic in the early 1990s fueled public scrutiny of the system's ability to effectively control violent juvenile offenders. State legislatures responded to this outcry by passing laws to crack down on juvenile crime.

Arrests per 100,000 juveniles ages 10-17, 1980-2010



TOTAL ADULT AND JUVENILE FELON NEW ADMISSION RATES, 1960-2009



Contrary to predictions, violent juvenile crime arrests declined by the mid 1990's. During the same time frame, the number of incarcerated youth also dropped significantly. Mass incarceration proved not to be fiscally sustainable, and innovative ideas began to flourish about how to best deal with these youth. Various organizations, like Annie E. Casey Foundation (AECF), began to tackle juvenile justice reform efforts such as the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI). JDAI was instituted and designed based on some of the core principles of the AECF and their vision that all youth involved in the juvenile justice system have opportunities to develop into healthy,

productive adults. In the 20 years of this initiative's existence, JDAI has been replicated in 200 jurisdictions in 39 states and the District of Columbia.

Around 2003, the New Mexico Children, Youth and Families Department joined in this initiative which sparked both procedural and program reforms statewide and has now become ingrained in the vision and policies of the department. Many jurisdictions in New Mexico, as well as the Juvenile Justice Continuum sites, support JDAI principles and practice. JDAI is part of a different future which recognizes that mass detention/incarceration is not only fiscally unsustainable, but has a significant negative impact on delinquency cases and is associated with negative long-term life outcomes. The goal of JDAI is to provide the right service to the right juvenile at the right time and to detain only those juveniles that must be held in locked detention to protect the community.



Alternatives to Detention

The overarching goals of JDAI are to promote changes to policies, practices, and programs to:

- 1) reduce reliance on secure confinement,
- 2) improve public safety,
- 3) reduce racial disparities and bias,
- 4) save taxpayers' dollars, and
- 5) stimulate overall juvenile justice reforms (www.aecf.org).

To achieve these goals JDAI sites employ eight core strategies:

Collaboration between the major juvenile justice agencies, other governmental entities, and community organizations.

Use of accurate data, both to diagnose the system's problems and proclivities and to assess the impact of various reforms, is critical.

Objective admissions criteria and instruments must be developed to replace subjective decision making at all point where choices to place children in secure custody are made.

New or enhanced non-secure alternatives to detention must be implemented in order to increase the options available for arrested youth.

Case processing reforms must be introduced to expedite the flow of cases through the system.

Special detention cases — youth in custody as a result of probation violations, writs and warrants, as well as those awaiting placement—must be re-examined and new practices implemented to minimize their presence in the secure facility.

Reducing racial disparities requires specific strategies (in addition to those listed above) aimed at eliminating bias and ensuring a level playing field for youth of color.

Improving conditions of confinement is most likely to occur when facilities are routinely inspected by knowledgeable individuals applying rigorous protocols and ambitious standards.

Why does JDAI matter?

An estimated 400,000 young people every year are admitted to detention nationwide, with roughly 26,000 being held on any given night. Detention has significant impacts on a child's future; children who are detained are more likely to abuse drugs and alcohol and less likely to maintain their education level. Children in detention also cost taxpayers; the average cost per bed is \$48,000 per year. The decision to place children in programs outside of detention facilities is beneficial to all parties. Finding alternatives to help children grow in to mature adults instead of locking them away from the only existence they have known, is a step in the right direction.



Juvenile Justice System Reform

SARA *Screening, Admissions
& Releases*



- Manage Youth Screening
- Manage Detention Admissions
- Manage Detention Releases
- MIS & other utility Reports

Screening, Admissions, & Release Application (SARA):

In 2008, the New Mexico JDAI team developed and implemented SARA. This web-based system connects detention centers, juvenile probation officers/offices (JPPOs), and district court judges to one real-time system. The SARA system enables the implementation of a risk assessment instrument (RAI) that aids JPOs and other law officers in determining the steps of care needed for each individual juvenile offender. The system provides access to prior offense information and aids JPOs in monitoring the status of juveniles in detention.

Partnerships for System Reform Efforts:

Detention Inspection Certification

In 2001, the legislature passed the New Mexico Juvenile Detention Standards to promulgate requirements for the maintenance and operation of all juvenile detention facilities, including standards for the site, design, construction, equipment, care, program, personnel and clinical services. The Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD) is responsible for the annual inspection and certification of the state's twelve (12) juvenile detention centers.

Juvenile Community Corrections

The Juvenile Community Corrections (JCC) program is funded at approximately \$2.6 million dollars and is one of CYFD's alternatives to incarceration for youth on probation or supervised release. The JCC program is a unique approach to working with adjudicated delinquent youth to help them successfully discharge out of the juvenile system. The program utilizes a team approach which includes the client, family, contracted agency, local public schools staff, Juvenile Probation Officers and other significant individuals in the client's life. The program provides participants with program services based on the client's individualized needs but focuses primarily on teaching life skills so that the youth can learn how to appropriately and responsibly manage their affairs. JCC providers served a total of 1,176 youth in all thirty-three (33) New Mexico counties during FY13.

Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee

The Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee (JJAC) is appointed by the Governor and is an advisory group to CYFD, the Governor, and the Legislature. The JJAC advocates for the prevention of delinquency, alternatives to secure detention, improvement of the juvenile justice system, and the development of a continuum of graduated sanctions for juveniles in local communities. The JJAC allocated approximately \$4.2 million dollars in federal and state grant funds to communities in New Mexico for these purposes. Currently there are twenty(20) continuum sites that serve twenty-one (21) New Mexico counties.



Section 1: New Mexico Juvenile Population Served

Section 1 describes the 2013 population of New Mexico's Juveniles (10-17 years old). This description will provide a context for considering subsequent sections of the annual report. This section will provide information on how the juvenile population in New Mexico is changing both from the present to the past and the present to the future. [Source: U.S. Census Bureau, National Center for Health Statistics, and University of New Mexico's Bureau of Business and Economic Research]

Figure 1-1

In Calendar Year 2012, New Mexico's youth population, age 10-17 years, was 228,132. This represents a 1 percent decrease from the previous year, and a 4 percent decrease from 2002 when the juvenile population peaked at 237,910.





Figure 1-2

Population Projections, compiled by the U.S. Census Bureau in 2005, suggest that New Mexico's population of 10-17 year olds will decrease until approximately 2015. The population will then rebound slightly from 2015-2025, with another decrease in 2030. A projection by sex shows that there will be more males, but females are not far behind in number.



Data Sources

Figure 1-1: Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, A. and Kang, W. (2013). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations: 1990-2012." Online. Available: <http://www.ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/>

Figure 1-2: File 3. Interim State Projections of Population by Single Year of Age: July 1, 2004 to 2030; U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Interim State Population Projections, 2005



Section 1: New Mexico Juvenile Population Served

2012 Population: 10-17 Year Olds by Age and Gender




Figure 1-3

In Calendar Year 2012, males outnumbered females by an average of 3.4 percent across all age groups in New Mexico's population of 10-17 year olds. This average percent is slightly lower than the previous calendar year; the average percent was 3.9 percent in 2011.

2012 Population: 10-17 Year Olds by Gender




Figure 1-4

In Calendar Year 2012, 50.9 percent of New Mexico's juvenile population was male and 49.1 percent was female, which was similar to the previous year.

2012 Population: 10-17 Year Olds by Race/Ethnicity




Figure 1-5

In Calendar Year 2012, New Mexico's population of White youth decreased by 0.5 percent from the previous year. The percentage of Asian and Black youth remained the same from the previous year. The percentage of American Indian youth decreased by 0.1 percent. The Hispanic youth population increased from 2011 to 2012 by 0.5 percent.



Section 2: Client Referral Pathway


Section 2 describes how juvenile clients navigate through the juvenile justice system in New Mexico. The flow diagram defines this process in a general manner with the knowledge that many other moving parts exist in the background.

Juveniles who are detained and/or arrested are referred to district Juvenile Probation Offices (JPOs). After assignment to a JPO officer, the client and family members will meet with the officer to discuss the case (preliminary inquiry or PI). After hearing the client's version of the events, the JPO officer will make a decision to either refer the case to the children's court attorney (CCA) or to handle the case with informal means. If the JPO officer refers the case to the CCA (formal handling), then the client will go for court proceedings to determine the next steps. Outcomes for cases sent to the CCA include commitment, detention, fines, probation, and dismissal.

The diagram below outlines how juvenile cases were handled from arrest/detainment to final disposition for clients in FY13.

Outcomes for FY13 Referrals

Preliminary Data--November, 2013




SOURCE: CYFD FACTS--Data Pull November 5, 2013

*Assumption: The large number of pending petitions is due to case processing time of 5-6 months

**Reconsiderations of commitment were counted as commitments

***Consent Decree in which no Judgment (adjudicated delinquent) is entered (32A-2-22)

Section 2: Client Referral Pathway



*Other entities include County, State, Municipality, University/College, Public School Police Department, Fire Department, Correctional/Detention Facility, Border Patrol, Federal Agency, Parent/Guardian, and Citizens. Referrals for Probation Violations are handled similarly.

**The CCA is in the Office of the District Attorney specializing in juvenile cases. Cases referred to the CCA will be evaluated, and if enough evidence is present, will be charged in juvenile court (petition will be filed).

Youthful Offender petition may be filed after a juvenile petition was filed.

Serious Youthful Offender is not within the juvenile system and excluded from this pathway.



Sections 3-5: Juvenile Referrals

The New Mexico Juvenile Justice system follows the law set forth in the New Mexico Children's Code NMSA 1978 §§ 32a-2-1 to 32a-2-33.

New Mexico identifies three referral types:

Delinquent Referrals: An act committed by a child that would be designated as a crime under the law if committed by an adult.

Status Referrals: Also referred to as Families In Need of Services (FINS) referrals, an act that is a violation only if committed by a juvenile. Status offenses include Runaway, Incurable, and Truancy.


Probation Violations: Any violation of the terms of probation (which are specific to each client), which may include, but are not limited to the following categories (in FACTs):

- | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| - Alcohol/Drugs | - Associates | - Community Service | - Counseling |
| - Curfew | - Driving | - General Behavior | - Parents |
| - Residence | - Restitution | - School/Education | - Special Condition |
| - Travel | - Weapons | | |


Sections 3-5 presents information on juvenile referrals divided into delinquent offenses, status offenses, and probation violations at the state level for Fiscal Year 2013.

In FY13,

- There were 12,639 unique clients referred to Juvenile Justice Services.
- These clients had an accumulation of 17,392 total referrals; some clients had multiple referrals/arrests.
- Each referral may have multiple charges; the total accrued charges in FY13 was 26,778. The most serious charge determines if a referral will proceed as delinquent, status, or probation violation.
- Depending on the number of unique referrals/arrests, a client may be represented in one or more of the referral types.



Section 3: Juvenile Referrals-Delinquent Referrals



In FY13, the decreasing trend in the number of referrals and clients continued. From FY12-FY13, there was a 16.3 percent decrease in the number of referrals and 15.9 percent decrease in the number of clients involved with juvenile justice.

Table 3-1: Delinquent Referral Sources

	N
Municipal Police Department	8911
County Sheriff	1930
Department of Public Safety	1176
Public School Police Dept.	1175
NM DPS/New Mexico State Police	352
Other	168
University/College Police Dept	57
County Marshal	37
New Mexico Park & Recreation Division	22
Bernalillo County Fire Marshal's Office	20
Correctional/Detention Facility	19
NM Department of Game & Fish	15
State Agency	14
Fire Department	8
JPPD	5
Border Patrol	4
City Park Department	4
Federal Agency	2
Parent/Guardian	1
Total Referral Count	13,921

Table 3-1

In FY13, a total of 10,829 clients were referred to the New Mexico Juvenile Justice Services with a 'Delinquent' referral type. The total number of delinquent referrals was 13,921. The majority (64.0 percent) of delinquent referrals came from municipal police departments across the State of New Mexico. County Sheriff's departments were the second top source, with 13.9 percent; followed by the New Mexico State Police and Public School Police Departments (both 8.4 percent).



Section 3: Juvenile Referrals-Delinquent Referrals

Table 3-2

Out of the 26,778 total charges in FY13, 20,628 (77.0 percent) were delinquent. Shoplifting (9.6 percent of total delinquent charges) is at the top of the list for delinquent offenses, followed by Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia (9.0 percent), Battery (7.0 percent), Possession of Marijuana or Synthetic Cannabis (6.3 percent), and Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor (5.6 percent).

	N
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	1988
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	1857
Battery	1444
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	1301
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	1165
Public Affray	963
Criminal Damage to Property	757
Battery (Household Member)	689
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	572
Disorderly Conduct	330
Larceny (\$250 or less)	299
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	257
Interference with Public Officials or General Public	247
Unlawful Carrying of a Deadly Weapon on School Premises	233
Burglary (Dwelling House)	224
Percent of Total Charges for FY13 (All Delinquent Referrals)	77.0%
Total Number of Delinquent Charges for FY13	20,628
Total Number of Charges for FY13	26,778

Figure 3-1

In FY13, 78.2 percent of delinquent referral offenses were classified as Misdemeanors, while 20.7 percent were classified as Felonies. Offenses classified as Status, City Ordinance and Probation Violations accounted for a total of 1.1 percent of delinquent offenses altogether.





Figure 3-2

The majority of referrals are for juvenile males, with 66.6 percent (total of 7,207 male clients). The number of females with delinquent referrals was half that of males, with 33.3 percent, or a total of 3,606 female clients.



Section 3: Juvenile Referrals-Delinquent Referrals

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=10,829 Clients




Figure 3-3

In FY13, clients who identified themselves as Hispanic, were the largest ethnic group to have delinquent referrals in New Mexico, with 7,102 Hispanic clients (65.0 percent of total delinquent referral clients). Non-Hispanic Whites were the second highest group of clients with delinquent referrals, with 2,431 Non-Hispanic Whites (22.4 percent of total delinquent referral clients).

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Delinquent Referral Clients	All Referred Clients	Delinquent Client % of All Referred
<10*	113	338	33.4%
10 - 11	349	463	75.4%
12 - 13	1,825	2,156	84.6%
14 - 15	3,776	4,679	80.7%
16 - 17	4,749	5,975	79.5%
>=18*	6	145	4.1%
Unk.	11	12	91.7%
Total	10,829	13,768	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Data-

Table 3-3

The age group with the largest number of delinquent referral clients in FY13 was the 16-17 age group. The total numbers decline the younger the age group, with 3,776 delinquent referral clients for the 14-15 age group, 1,825 delinquent referral clients for the 12-13 age group, 349 delinquent referral clients for the 10-11 age group, and 113 delinquent referral clients for children under 10. Delinquent referral clients in all age groups account for 78.7 percent of all clients. (All clients include all Delinquent, Status and Probation Clients. This total reflects an inclusion of any duplicate clients that have multiple referrals in different referral categories and thus is greater than the actual unduplicated client count, 12,639.)

Trends in Delinquent Referrals

FY09-FY13




Figure 3-4

The total number of delinquent referrals has been declining in the last five years, from 19,997 delinquent referrals in FY09, to 13,921 in FY13. The number of clients with delinquent referrals also reflects a declining trend. There were 10,829 delinquent referral clients in FY13, a 16.6 percent decrease from the previous fiscal year (12,979 clients in FY12).



Section 3: Juvenile Referrals-Delinquent Referrals

Table 3-4

Of the total delinquent referrals, 4,059 were handled formally (a petition was filed). Of the delinquent referrals handled formally, one received an adult sanction, 901 were adjudicated (were found guilty) and received sanctions, 474 were pending a disposition, and 2,683 were non-adjudicated.

Of the total delinquent referrals handled informally (9,363), 1,590 were still under assessment/referral, 5,723 were referred for informal services, 529 were dismissed with no further action, and 1,521 were rejected by the CCA and dismissed.

**FY13 Action Taken/Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals
(N=13, 921 Referrals)**

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	4059
-Adult Sanction	1
-Adjudicated	901
-Probation	747
-Other Sanctions	47
-Commitment	107
-Pending Disposition	474
-Non-Adjudicated	2683
-Probation	1341
-Time Waiver	577
-Dismissed/Nolle	765
Pending CCA Response	451
Pending PI	48
Handled Informally	9363
-Assessed/Referred	1590
-Informal Services	5723
-No Further Action	529
-CCA Rejected	1521

Table 3-5

The total number of disposed delinquent referrals was 2,455. Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia is the top disposed offense, with 5 percent of total delinquent referrals disposed. Shoplifting (\$250 or less), Criminal Damage to Property, and Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor came in second, third, and fourth, respectively.

The Top 15 Disposed Offenses for Delinquent Referrals account for 51 percent (1,262) of the total number (2,455) of disposed charges for delinquent referrals.

Top 15 Disposed Offenses for Delinquent Referrals*	N
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	134
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	116
Criminal Damage to Property	113
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	105
Battery	104
Battery (Household Member)	91
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	87
Larceny (\$250 or less)	81
Probation Violation-General Behavior (Law)	78
Poss. Of Marij. Or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz. or Less)(1st Off)	72
Burglary (Automobile)	70
Burglary (Dwelling House)	64
Burglary (Commercial)	48
Unlawful Taking of a Motor Vehicle (1st Offense)	35
Criminal Damage to Property (Over \$1000)	32
Larceny (\$500 to \$2,500)	32
Total Number of Disposed Charges for Delinquent Referrals	2455
Percent of Total Disposed Charges for Delinquent Referrals (Top 15)	51%

*For Charge Dispositions of Admitted, No Contest, and Found to Have Committed



Section 4: Juvenile Referrals-Status Referrals

Table 4-1: Status Referral Sources

	N
School	1240
Municipal Police Department	534
County Sheriff	169
Parent/Guardian	130
Public School Police Dept.	37
Other	18
JPRO	12
NM DPS/New Mexico State Police	12
State Agency	4
Department of Public Safety	2
Mesilla Marshal's Office	2
Citizen	1
Total Referral Count	2,161

Table 4-1

A total of 1,950 clients were referred to the New Mexico Juvenile Justice Services with a 'Status' referral type in FY13. The total number of status referrals was 2,161, with a cumulative 2,185 charges.

The top status referral source in FY13 was public schools, and all of these referrals (1,240) were referred due to a Truancy offense. Municipal police departments came in second (534), and County Sheriff Department came in third (169) as a top status referral source.

Table 4-2: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	1338
Runaway	529
Incorrigible	223
Offenses by Minors	88
Curfew	7
Percent of Total Charges for FY13 (All Status Referrals)	8.2%
Total Number of Status Referral Charges	2,185
Total Number of Charges for FY13	26,778

Table 4-2

Truancy was the number one status referral in FY13, with a total of 1,338 (61.2 percent of total status referral charges) referred charges. Runaway was the second top status offense, with 529 charges (24.2 percent of total status referral charges).

Status referral charges (2,185) were 8.2 percent of total charges in FY13.




Figure 4-1

In FY13, there were 921 total females referred (47.2 percent of status referral clients). The total number of males referred for status offenses was 1,022 (52.4 percent).

Section 4: Juvenile Referrals-Status Referrals

Figure 4-2

In FY13, Clients who identified themselves as Hispanic were the largest ethnic group to have status referrals in New Mexico, with 1,365 Hispanic clients (63.2 percent of total status referral clients). Non-Hispanic Whites were the second highest group of clients with status referrals, with 415 Non-Hispanic Whites (19.2 percent of total status referral clients). There were also 98 Native Americans clients with status referrals in FY13 (4.5 percent of total status referral clients).




Table 4-3

The age group with the largest number of status referrals in FY13 was the 16-17 age group (699, 11.7 percent of all 16-17-yr-olds referred). The total numbers decline the younger the age group, with 613 status referral clients for the 14-15 age group, 298 status referral clients for the 12-13 age group, 110 status referral clients for the 10-11 age group, and 225 status referral clients for children under 10. Status referral clients (with all age groups) account for 14.2 percent of all clients.


Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Status Referral Clients	All Referred Clients	Status Client % of All Referred Clients
<10*	225	338	66.6%
10 - 11	110	463	23.8%
12 - 13	298	2,156	13.8%
14 - 15	613	4,679	13.1%
16 - 17	699	5,975	11.7%
>=18*	4	145	2.8%
Unk.	1	12	8.3%
Total	1,950	13,768	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Figure 4-3

After what appears to be an upward trend in Status Referral clients, FY13 saw a 15.4 percent decline from the previous year (from 2,306 to 1,950). The number of status referrals (2,162) for FY13 also declined 16.2 percent from the previous year (2,579).



Section 4: Juvenile Referrals-Status Referrals

**FY13 Action Taken/Dispositions for Status Referrals
(N=2,161 Referrals)**

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	55
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	4
-Probation	3
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	45
-Non-Adjudicated	6
-Probation	1
-Time Waiver	2
-Dismissed/Nolle	3
Pending CCA Response	29
Pending PI	13
Handled Informally	2064
-Assessed/Referred	857
-Informal Services	863
-No Further Action	301
-CCA Rejected	43

Table 4-4

Of the total status referrals, 55 were handled formally (a petition was filed). Of the status referrals handled formally, 4 were adjudicated (were found guilty) and received sanctions, 45 were pending a disposition, and 6 were non-adjudicated.

Of the total status referrals handled informally (2,064), 857 were still under assessment/referral, 863 were referred for informal services, 301 were dismissed with no further action, and 43 were rejected by the CCA and dismissed.

Previous and/or concurrent referrals and charges may have impacted the outcomes for these particular youth with a referred status offense. The referral type is based on the initial, presenting behavior. It may be determined at a later point in time that the client is actually on probation and the charge might have been a probation violation (i.e., probation violation-curfew rather than curfew).



Section 5: Juvenile Referrals-Probation Violations

Table 5-1

In FY13, a total of 989 clients were referred to the New Mexico Juvenile Justice System with a 'Probation Violation' referral type. The total number of probation violation referrals was 1,310, and in FY13 this accrued to a total of 3,965 charges.

The majority of probation violation referrals (1,234, 94.0 percent) logically came from the Juvenile Probation and Parole Officers, who provide informal supervision of clients.

Table 5-1: Probation Violation Sources	N
JPPO	1234
Municipal Police Department	27
Other	11
Department of Public Safety	11
School	10
County Sheriff	6
Parent/Guardian	3
Marshal's Office	3
NM DPS/New Mexico State Police	2
Tribal Police Department	1
University PD	1
Natural Resources Dept. Forestry Division	1
Total Referral Count	1,310

Table 5-2

Probation Violation charges (3,965) accounted for 14.8 percent of total charges in FY13.


The top probation violation offense in FY13 was Alcohol/Drugs, with 776 charges (19.6 percent of total probation violation charges). Residence (599, 15.0 percent), Special Condition (446, 11.2 percent) and School/Education (436, 11.0 percent) were second, third and fourth respectively.

Table 5-2: Top Offenses for Probation Violations	N
Probation Violation-Alcohol/Drugs	776
Probation Violation-Residence	599
Probation Violation-Special Condition	446
Probation Violation-School/Education	436
Probation Violation-Reporting	384
Probation Violation-Curfew	367
Probation Violation-General Behavior (Law)	342
Probation Violation-Counseling	255
Probation Violation-Parents	189
Probation Violation-Associates	64
Probation Violation-Community Service	32
Probation Violation-Restitution	21
Probation Violation-Travel	20
Probation Violation-Weapons	19
Probation Violation-Driving	15
Percent of Total Charges for FY13 (All Probation Violations)	14.8%
Total Number of Probation Violation Charges	3,965
Total Number of Charges for FY13	26,778

Figure 5-1

In FY13, there were 235 total females referred (23.8 percent of probation violation clients). The total number of males referred for probation violations was 754 (76.2 percent).

Probation Violations by Gender
N=989 Clients



Section 5: Juvenile Referrals-Probation Violations

Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=989 Clients




Figure 5-2

Of the 989 probation violation clients, those who identified themselves as Hispanic were the largest ethnic group to have probation violation referrals in New Mexico, with 710 Hispanic clients (71.8 percent of total status referral clients). Non-Hispanic Whites were the second highest group of clients with probation violation referrals, with 176 Non-Hispanic Whites (17.8 percent of total probation violation referral clients).

Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Probation Violation Clients	All Referred Clients	Probation Violation % of All Referred Clients
<10*	0	338	0.0%
10 - 11	4	463	0.9%
12 - 13	33	2,156	1.5%
14 - 15	290	4,679	6.2%
16 - 17	527	5,975	8.8%
>=18*	135	145	93.1%
Unk.	0	12	0.0%
Total	989	13,768	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Table 5-3

In FY13, the age group with the largest number of probation violations was the 16-17 age group (527, 8.8 percent of all 16-17-yr-olds referred).

There were 290 probation violations for the 14-15 age group, 33 for the 12-13 age group, and 4 for the 10-11 age group. Probation Violation clients (with all age groups) account for 7.2 percent of all clients.

Trends in Probation Violations

FY09-FY12




Figure 5-3

The number of probation violation clients and referrals have been marginally declining since FY11. The number of clients in FY13 declined by 3.42 percent from the previous year. The number of referrals in FY13 saw a 3.75 percent decline from the previous year.



Section 5: Juvenile Referrals-Probation Violations

Table 5-4

Of the total probation violation referrals, 1,204 were handled formally (a petition was filed). Of the probation violation referrals handled formally, 4 received adult sanctions, 771 were adjudicated (were found guilty), 81 were pending a disposition, and 348 were non-adjudicated.

Of the probation violation referrals handled informally (61), 21 were still under assessment/referral, 1 was referred for informal services, 1 was dismissed with no further action, and 38 were rejected by the CCA and dismissed.

**FY13 Action Taken/Dispositions for Probation Violations
(N=1,310 Referrals)**

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	1204
-Adult Sanction	4
-Adjudicated	771
-Probation	567
-Other Sanctions	84
-Commitment	120
-Pending Disposition	81
-Non-Adjudicated	348
-Probation	174
-Time Waiver	12
-Dismissed/Nolle	162
Pending CCA Response	44
Pending PI	1
Handled Informally	61
-Assessed/Referred	21
-Informal Services	1
-No Further Action	1
-CCA Rejected	38

Table 5-5

The total number of disposed charges for probation violations was 2,065 in FY13. The top 10 disposed probation violation charges (2,003) account for 97.0 percent of the total probation violation charges disposed.

The top disposed probation violation offense was Alcohol/Drugs (390, 18.0 percent of total disposed charges for Probation Violations).

Top Disposed Offenses for Probation Violations*	N
Probation Violation— Alcohol/Drugs	390
Probation Violation— Residence	314
Probation Violation— Special Condition	250
Probation Violation— School/Education	248
Probation Violation— Curfew	197
Probation Violation— General Behavior (Law)	194
Probation Violation— Reporting	181
Probation Violation— Counseling	130
Probation Violation— Parents	57
Probation Violation— Associates	42
Total Number of Disposed Charges for Probation Violations	2065
Percent of Total Disposed Charges for Probation Violations (Top 10)	97%

*For Charge Dispositions of Admitted, No Contest, and Found to Have Committed



Section 6: Juvenile Screening and Classification (SDM)



Source: A Teacher in YDDC

In 1998, with the assistance of the National Council on Crime and Delinquency (NCCD), the Children, Youth and Families Department (CYFD) implemented Structured Decision Making (SDM) as the risk and needs classification instrument for juvenile offenders in New Mexico. SDM in New Mexico is comprised of a Risk Assessment, Risk Reassessments, and a Needs Assessment. Every time there is a disposition ordered for an adjudicated juvenile offender, a risk assessment and a needs assessment is completed. A Risk Reassessment and a Needs Assessment are completed on a set schedule of time between assessments, depending on what type of supervision the youth is on, or whenever there is a significant change in the youth's situation or behavior. These reassessments continue until the youth is discharged from supervision by the department.

These tools are to provide consistent and standardized decisions affecting juveniles, as well as guide treatment planning. Specifically, CYFD uses the SDM instrument to guide disposition recommendations, define which set of minimum contact standards to utilize when supervising a youth in the community, and assist in the classification process of youth committed to CYFD facilities. Periodic reassessments are completed to track progress, and if indicated, modify treatment plans. Aggregate data provide important management information about client characteristic trends, workload, service utilization, and gaps in service, and enable managers to plan, monitor, and evaluate JJS' outcomes.

The risk assessment piece of the SDM instrument is used to classify individuals according to their likelihood of re-offending. Responses for the risk questions are either a number entry or a dichotomous response (yes/no). The risk assessment tool consists of the following six items:

- R1: Number of Referrals/Arrests
- R2: Age at First Juvenile Referral/Arrest
- R3: Petition Offense History
- R4: Gang Affiliation
- R5: Education/School Issues
- R6: History of Substance Abuse/Experimentation



Section 6: Juveniles Classification and Screening (SDM)

The needs assessment/reassessment tool is the companion piece to the risk assessment/reassessment. It is used to evaluate the presenting strengths/problems of each youth and to systematically identify critical problems in order to plan effective interventions. The needs assessment encompasses twelve variables, which are evaluated for the youth, the youth's treatment setting, and in one case (N1) the client's family in order to determine needs and strengths of the client. Responses for the needs questions are scored on a likert-type scale, with question specific responses ranging from no to chronic. The needs variables are as follows:

- N1: Family Relationships
- N2: Emotional Stability
- N3: Education
- N4: Substance Abuse
- N5: Physical Issues
- N6: Life Skills
- N7: Victimization
- N8: Social Relations
- N9: Employment/Vocational
- N10: Sexuality/Sexual History
- N11: Criminal History of Biological Parents
- N12: Community Resources

In 2008, CYFD incorporated the SDM system for field supervision into the Family Automated Client Tracking System (FACTS), the department's case management system, and in 2011, the facility supervision component of the SDM system was incorporated into FACTS. FACTS automatically calculates a risk and needs score for each client based on the risk and needs assessment values. The risk score will determine the risk level of the client ranging from low (3 or less) to medium (4-6) to high (7 or more). A similar score for needs is calculated: low (-1 or less), moderate (0-9), or high (10 or more). In addition to an overall needs score, FACTS will also determine the "Priority" needs and strengths of the client (the 3 needs that scored the highest and the lowest).



Further information on the SDM tool used by Juvenile Justice can be found at <http://cyfweb/jjf/tools.html?tab=2>. In addition, the staff in the Data Analysis Unit has written two papers on the SDM instrument; in 2010, a study on the validation of the risk assessment tool was completed using data from a fiscal year 2008 cohort (Courtney, Howard, and Bunker), and in 2011, a study on the inter-rater reliability of the risk assessment tool was analyzed using a cohort of JPOs (Courtney and Howard).

In FY13, there were 2,341 clients that had cases that went to disposition. The following tables and figures represent data for 2,185 clients (93.4 percent). One hundred and fifty-six clients had missing SDM data in FY13.



Section 6: Juveniles Classification and Screening (SDM)




Figure 6-1

In FY13, of the 2,185 clients that were issued a SDM, 54.1 percent had a risk level of 'medium', a third of the client pool had a 'high' risk level, and 13.8 percent had a 'low' risk level.




Figure 6-2

There were more male clients in all three risk level groups compared to female clients. Of the female client pool that were issued a SDM, the majority of the female clients (57.1 percent) had a 'medium' risk level. There was a higher percentage of female clients (17.2 percent) with a 'low' risk level compared to male clients (12.8 percent). The opposite was observed for 'high' risk level: female clients (25.7 percent) vs. male clients (33.9 percent).

Risk Level by Race/Ethnicity (N=2,185 Clients)

Ethnicity	High N (%)	Medium N(%)	Low N(%)	Incomplete/ Missing N(%)
Non-Hispanic White	130 (18.6)	241 (20.4)	72 (23.8)	0 (0.0)
Hispanic	510 (73.1)	813 (68.8)	191 (63.2)	4 (100.0)
African American	18 (2.6)	34 (2.9)	8 (2.6)	0 (0.0)
Asian	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Native American	34 (4.9)	65 (5.5)	18 (6.0)	0 (0.0)
Native Hawaiian	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)
2 or More Race/ Ethnicities	5 (0.7)	19 (1.6)	5 (1.7)	0 (0.0)
Unknown/Missing	1 (0.1)	9 (0.8)	7 (2.3)	0 (0.0)
Total	698 (31.9)	1,181 (54.1)	302 (13.8)	4 (0.2)

Date Pulled: December 13, 2013

Source: FACTS Database

Table 6-1

For all three risk level categories, Hispanic clients were the largest ethnic group (account for 69.5 of total client pool). Analysis by ethnic group indicated that Non-Hispanic White clients had the highest percentage of clients with a 'low' risk level (16.3 percent of all Non-Hispanic White clients). A similar analysis for 'high' risk level indicated that Hispanic clients had the highest percentage of all ethnic groups (33.6 percent). The majority of all clients issued an SDM in FY13 had a 'medium' risk level (54.1 percent).



Section 6: Juveniles Classification and Screening (SDM)

Table 6-2

The majority of clients issued a SDM in FY13 were 16-17 years old (51.8 percent of the client pool). Of all three risk levels, the age group with the highest percentage of clients was 16-17 year olds (52.0, 53.1, and 46.4 percent for high, medium, and low risk level, respectively). Clients 18 years and older had more 'high' risk level scores compared to the other age groups (81.4 percent of the 18 or older client pool had a 'high' risk level compared to only 18.7 percent of 12-13 year olds having a 'high' risk level score).

Risk Level by Age (N=2,185 Clients)

Age (Years)	High N (%)	Medium N(%)	Low N(%)	Incomplete/ Missing N(%)
<10*	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
10 - 11	3 (0.1)	7 (0.6)	4 (1.3)	0 (0.0)
12 - 13	38 (5.4)	114 (9.7)	50 (16.6)	1 (25.0)
14 - 15	246 (35.2)	423 (35.8)	107 (35.4)	2 (50.0)
16 - 17	363 (52.0)	627 (53.1)	140 (46.4)	1 (25.0)
>=18*	48 (6.9)	10 (0.8)	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)
Unknown	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Total	698 (31.9)	1,181 (54.1)	302 (13.8)	4 (0.2)

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Figure 6-3

In FY13, the 42.3 percent of the 2,185 clients had a 'moderate' needs level. The next highest needs level was 'low' at 32.2 percent.





Figure 6-4

There were more male clients in all three needs level groups compared to female clients. Of the female client pool that were issued a SDM, the majority of the female clients (39.2 percent) had a 'moderate' needs level. There was a higher percentage of female clients (31.1 percent) with a 'high' needs level compared to male clients (23.0 percent). The opposite was observed for 'low' needs level: female clients (29.5 percent) vs. male clients (33.0 percent).



Section 6: Juveniles Classification and Screening (SDM)

Needs Level by Race/Ethnicity (N=2,185 Clients)

Ethnicity	High N (%)	Moderate N(%)	Low N(%)	Incomplete/ Missing N(%)
Non-Hispanic White	131 (24.1)	179 (19.4)	133 (18.9)	0 (0.0)
Hispanic	355 (65.3)	648 (70.1)	502 (71.4)	13 (92.9)
African American	13 (2.4)	31 (3.4)	15 (2.1)	1 (7.1)
Asian	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Native American	35 (6.4)	47 (5.1)	35 (5.0)	0 (0.0)
Native Hawaiian	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)
2 or More Race/ Ethnicities	9 (1.7)	12 (1.3)	8 (1.1)	0 (0.0)
Unknown/Missing	1 (0.2)	7 (0.8)	9 (1.3)	0 (0.0)
Total	544 (24.9)	924 (42.3)	703 (32.2)	14 (0.6)

Date Pulled: December 13,2013

Source: FACTS Database

Table 6-3

For all three needs level categories, Hispanic clients were the largest ethnic group (account for 69.5 of total client pool). Analysis by ethnic group indicated that Native Americans had the highest percentage of clients with a 'high' needs level (29.9 percent of all Native American clients). A similar analysis for 'low' needs level indicated that Hispanic clients had the highest percentage of all ethnic groups (33.1 percent). The majority of all clients issued an SDM in FY13 had a 'moderate' needs level (42.3 percent).

Needs Level by Age (N=2,185 Clients)

Age (Years)	High N (%)	Moderate N(%)	Low N(%)	Incomplete/ Missing N(%)
<10*	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
10 - 11	1 (0.2)	5 (0.5)	7 (1.0)	1 (7.1)
12 - 13	36 (6.6)	79 (8.5)	87 (12.4)	1 (7.1)
14 - 15	210 (38.6)	348 (37.7)	215 (30.6)	5 (35.7)
16 - 17	270 (49.6)	473 (51.2)	381 (54.2)	7 (50.0)
>=18*	27 (5.0)	19 (2.1)	13 (1.8)	0 (0.0)
Unknown	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Total	544 (24.9)	924 (42.3)	703 (32.2)	14 (0.6)

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Table 6-4

The majority of clients issued a SDM in FY13 were 16 -17 years old (51.8 percent of the client pool). Of all three needs levels, the age group with the highest percentage of clients was 16-17 year olds (49.6, 51.2, and 54.2 percent for high, moderate, and low needs level, respectively). Clients 18 years and older had more 'high' needs level scores compared to the other age groups (45.8 percent of the 18 or older client pool had a 'high' needs level compared to only 17.7 percent of 12-13 year olds having a 'high' needs level score).



Section 6: Juveniles Classification and Screening (SDM)

Table 6-5

The top priority need in FY13 was Education, indicating that many of the clients completing a SDM had any combination of the following factors: were either not attending school or education program, were receiving poor grades, had consistent negative teacher reports, and/or had been suspended in the last 90 days prior to completing the SDM. Other top needs were Family Relationships and Emotional Stability. The five needs listed in Table 6-5 represented 93.3 percent of the total priority needs of the 2,185 clients completing a SDM.

Top 5 Priority Needs (N=2,185 Clients)

	N (%)
Education	763 (34.9)
Family Relationships	556 (25.4)
Emotional Stability	285 (13.0)
Substance Abuse	262 (12.0)
Life Skills	173 (7.9)
Percent of Total Priority Needs	93.3%
Date Pulled: December 13, 2013	Source: FACTS Database

Table 6-6

The top priority strength in FY13 was Victimization, indicating that many of the clients completing a SDM did not have a history of traumatic victimization (either perpetrated against or witnessed either physical/sexual abuse or a traumatic incident). The second top priority strength was Sexuality indicating that many of the clients had never been adjudicated for a sex offense nor participated in inappropriate sexual behavior as defined by facility rules. The top five priority strengths as listed in Table 6-6 represent 86.8 percent of total priority strengths of the 2,185 clients completing a SDM.

Top 5 Priority Strengths (N=2,185 Clients)

	N (%)
Victimization	815 (37.3)
Sexuality	334 (15.3)
Family Relationships	276 (12.6)
Community Resources	257 (11.8)
Emotional Stability	214 (9.8)
Percent of Total Priority Needs	86.8%
Date Pulled: December 13, 2013	Source: FACTS Database



Section 7: Juveniles in Secure Facilities

Secure facilities are facilities that are either physically or staff-secure. CYFD had four secure facilities in FY13: Albuquerque Boys Center (ABC), Camino Nuevo Youth Center (CNYC), John Paul Taylor Center (JPTC), and Youth Development and Diagnostic Center (YDDC). In addition, CYFD contracted with San Juan Detention Center (SJDC) for ten beds. The intake unit for males is at YDDC. All the secure facilities are male-only with the exception of CNYC, which houses both male and female clients.

The main population housed in CYFD's secure facilities is adjudicated youth who receive a disposition of commitment, referred to as Term clients in this report. Commitment terms can be for one year, two years, or in special cases, up to age twenty-one. Secure facilities also house youth court-ordered to undergo a 15-day diagnostic evaluation, referred to as Diagnostic clients in this report. Finally, through an intergovernmental agreement, youth under the jurisdiction of a Tribal Court may be placed in a secure facility by action of Tribal Court Order; these youth are designated as Non-Adjudicated Treatment (Non-Adj TX) clients in this report.



Entrance to Camino Nuevo (Bernalillo County)



Secure Hallways in Camino Nuevo (Bernalillo County)

In FY13, 236 Term clients, 10 Diagnostic clients, and one Non-Adj-Tx client were admitted to CYFD secure facilities. The average daily population of CYFD secure facilities during the fiscal year, including all client types, was 221 clients. The overall capacity at the four secure facilities plus one contracted facility was 274 beds.



Section 7: Juveniles in Secure Facilities

Figure 7-1

In FY13, there were 20 female and 216 male Term admissions to a CYFD secure facility. Thirty-two of the 216 male Term admissions were the result of 16 clients being admitted on two separate commitments during the fiscal year.




Table 7-1

At 65 percent, Term admissions in the 16-17 age group accounted for the majority of all Term admissions for FY13. Term admissions in the ≥ 18 age group were a distant second at 19 percent, followed by the 14-15 age group at 15 percent, while just one Term admission came from the 12-13 age group.


Total Term Admissions by Age

Age (Years)	Total Admissions
<10*	0
10 - 11	0
12 - 13	1
14 - 15	35
16 - 17	154
$\geq 18^*$	46
Unknown/Missing	0
Total	236

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; ≥ 18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Figure 7-2

In FY13, 173 Term admissions, or 73 percent, were admissions by clients of Hispanic ethnicity. Forty-three, or 18 percent, were admissions by clients of Non-Hispanic White ethnicity. The remaining 20, or 8 percent, were admissions by a mix of Native American, African American, and 2 or more race group ethnicities.



Section 7: Juveniles in Secure Facilities

Average Daily Population & Capacity

ADP=221 Clients Capacity=274 Beds




Figure 7-3

The Average Daily Population (ADP) at secure facilities for FY13 was 221 clients. Bed Capacity for the fiscal year was 274. ADP was greatest at YDDC at 86.5 clients, followed by CNYC at 70.6 clients. JPTC had the greatest ADP-to-Capacity ratio for FY13 at 93 percent.

'Snapshot' by Gender & Facility

N=225 Clients




Figure 7-4

Figure 7-4, Table 7-2, and Figure 7-5 provide a Snapshot view for FY13 of the clients housed in CYFD's secure facilities. The Snapshot is based on the characteristics of clients residing in secure facilities on December 31, 2012, which was deemed a "typical" day in the fiscal year. Per Figure 7-4, 201, or 89 percent, of CYFD's secure facility clients on December 31st were male, while 24, or 11 percent, were female.

Snapshot' Pull Date: December 31, 2012

'Snapshot' is an estimate for average daily population within the facilities.

'Snapshot' by Age & Facility

Age (Years)	Secure Facility					Total By Age
	ABC	CNYC	JPTC	SJDC	YDDC	
<10*	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 - 11	0	0	0	0	0	0
12 - 13	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 - 15	0	6	3	1	13	23
16 - 17	3	35	23	4	47	112
>=18*	4	26	22	3	35	90
Unk.	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	7	67	48	8	95	225

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Pull Date: December 31, 2012

'Snapshot' is an estimate for average daily population within the facilities.

Table 7-2

On the Snapshot date, 50 percent of secure facility clients were in the 16-17 age group, 40 percent were in the >=18 age group, and 10 percent were in the 14-15 age group.



Section 7: Juveniles in Secure Facilities

Figure 7-5

On the Snapshot date, 157, or 70 percent, of secure facility clients were of Hispanic ethnicity. Forty-one, or 18 percent, were of Non-Hispanic White Ethnicity. The remaining 27, or 12 percent, were a mix of Native American, African American, and 2 or more race group ethnicities.





Figure 7-6

The Average Length of Stay (ALOS) at secure facilities for Term clients discharged in FY13 was 377.6 days, for Non-Adjudicated Treatment (Non-Adj TX) clients was 91.0 days, and for Diagnostic clients was 14.1 days. On average, male Term clients stayed 68.9 days longer than female Term clients.



Section 7: Juveniles in Secure Facilities

**Average Length of Stay (ALOS) by Age & Commitment Type
(N=286 Clients)**

Age (Years)	Commitment Type					
	Term	ALOS	Non-Adj TX	ALOS	Diag.	ALOS
<=13	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
14	1	73.0	0	0.0	1	13.0
15	9	267.3	0	0.0	5	11.8
16	12	277.8	2	91.0	1	21.0
17	55	331.8	0	0.0	2	13.0
18	94	353.4	0	0.0	3	16.7
19	65	387.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
20	31	521.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
21	5	820.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total or Avg.	272	377.6	2	91.0	12	14.1

Pull Date:

Grand Total: 286 Clients with ALOS of 360.3 Days

Source: FACTS Database

Table 7-3

The Average Length of Stay for Term clients discharged in FY13 increased with age at every age from 14 (ALOS = 73.0 days) through 21 (ALOS = 820.0 days). The greatest number of Term clients, 94, were discharged at age 18 and stayed an average of 353.4 days. Both Non-Adj TX clients were 16 at age of discharge and stayed an average of 91.0 days. Diagnostic clients ranged from 14 (ALOS = 13.0 days) to 18 (ALOS = 16.7 days) at discharge.

**Average Length of Stay by Race/Ethnicity &
Commitment Type (N=286 Clients)**




Figure 7-7

For Term clients discharged in FY13, Non-Hispanic White clients had the greatest ALOS at 382.5 days (55 clients), followed by Hispanic clients at 378.3 days (190 clients).



Section 7: Juveniles in Secure Facilities

Figure 7-8

JPTC had the highest rate of Disciplinary Incident Reports (DIRs) in FY13 at 82.3 per 100 clients, followed by YDDC at 63.7 per 100 clients. For all secure facilities, the average number of DIRs was 53.6 per 100 clients.

Disciplinary Incident Reports by Facility
(per 100 Clients; based on ADP)




Table 7-4


Probation Violations topped the list of the Most Serious Offenses (MSO) for Term admissions, accounting for 123, or 52 percent. Aggravated Battery (Great Bodily Harm) was a distant second, accounting for 8, or 3 percent. For the 236 Term clients admitted in FY13, the MSO was a Felony for 82 of the admissions, a Misdemeanor for 30, a Probation Violation for 123, and a Conduct offense for 1 admission.

Top 15 Most Serious Admitted Offenses	N
Probation Violation	123
Aggravated Battery (Great Bodily Harm)	8
Burglary (Automobile)	6
Battery Upon a Peace Officer	5
Shoplifting (\$250 or Less)	5
Aggravated Burglary (Armed After Entering)	3
Armed Robbery	3
Burglary (Dwelling House)	3
Criminal Sexual Penetration 2nd Degree (Child 13 to 16)	3
Robbery—Attempt	3
Unlawful Possession of a Handgun by a Person (Under 19)	3
Voluntary Manslaughter	3
Aggravated Assault (Deadly Weapon)	2
Aggravated Battery (Deadly Weapon)	2
Aggravated Burglary (Deadly Weapon)	2
Total Number of Admitted Offenses	236
Percent of Total Admitted Offenses (Top 15)	74%

Figure 7-9

Term Commitments decreased steadily in the early 2000s and have since stabilized in the mid-200s. FY13 Term Commitments, at 236, were the lowest since FY07, when they dipped to 209.

Juvenile Term Commitments Trends
FY00-FY13



Section 8: Juveniles in Reintegration Centers

Reintegration centers are non-secure facilities that house a small population of adjudicated CYFD clients on Probation or Supervised Release. Probation clients are defined as adjudicated clients placed under the supervision and care of a juvenile probation officer by a court-ordered disposition. Supervised Release clients are clients released from a secure facility but whose commitment has not yet expired; they are subject to monitoring by CYFD until the term of their commitment expires.

CYFD had three reintegration centers in FY13: Albuquerque Reintegration Center (ARC-Male through 9/1/2012, reopening as ARC-Female on 12/1/2012), Carlsbad Community Residential Facility (CCRF), and Eagle Nest Reintegration Center (ENC). Each facility had a capacity



Eagle Nest Reintegration Center (Colfax County)



Common Area in ARC-Male (Bernalillo County)

of twelve beds in FY13, with ARC-Female being the only reintegration center housing female clients. The average daily population (ADP) of CYFD reintegration centers during the fiscal year was 21 clients, with ARC having the lowest ADP given it was unoccupied during the three-month period of transition from a male to a female facility.

Further information on each of the reintegration centers can be found at <http://cyfweb/jjf/index.html>.

Section 8: Juveniles in Reintegration Centers

Figure 8-1

In FY13, there were 8 female and 25 male Probation admissions to a CYFD reintegration center. No clients received more than one Probation admission during the fiscal year, so the 33 admissions are unduplicated by client.

Total Admissions by Gender
N=33 Clients




Table 8-1

At 94 percent, Probation admissions in the 16-17 age group accounted for the majority of all Probation admissions for FY13. The remaining 6 percent of Probation admissions fell in the ≥ 18 age group.

Total Admissions by Age


Age (Years)	Total Admissions
<10*	0
10 - 11	0
12 - 13	0
14 - 15	0
16 - 17	31
≥ 18 *	2
Unknown/Missing	0
Total	33

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; ≥ 18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS

Figure 8-2

In FY13, 25 Probation admissions, or 76 percent, were admissions by clients of Hispanic ethnicity. Seven, or 21 percent, were admissions by clients of Non-Hispanic White ethnicity. The remaining 1, or 3 percent, was an admission by a client of Native American ethnicity.

Total Admissions by Race/Ethnicity
N=33 Clients



Section 8: Juveniles in Reintegration Centers

Average Daily Population & Capacity

ADP=21 Clients Capacity=36 Beds




Figure 8-3

The Average Daily Population (ADP) at reintegration centers for FY13 was 21 clients. Bed Capacity for the fiscal year was 36. ADP was greatest at ENC at 9.9 clients, followed by CCRF at 7.0 clients. ENC also had the greatest ADP-to-Capacity ratio for FY13 at 83 percent.

'Snapshot' by Gender & Facility

N=16 Clients




Figure 8-4

Figure 8-4, Table 8-2, and Figure 8-5 provide a Snapshot view for FY13 of the clients housed in CYFD's reintegration centers. The Snapshot is based on the characteristics of clients residing in reintegration centers on December 31, 2012. Per Figure 8-4, 15 of CYFD's reintegration center clients on December 31st were male, while just one was female.

Snapshot' Pull Date: December 31, 2012

'Snapshot' is an estimate for average daily population within the facilities.

'Snapshot' by Age & Facility

Age (Years)	Reintegration Center			Total By Age
	ARC	CCRF	ENC	
<10*	0	0	0	0
10 - 11	0	0	0	0
12 - 13	0	0	0	0
14 - 15	0	0	0	0
16 - 17	1	5	2	8
>=18*	0	1	7	8
Unk.	0	0	0	0
Total	1	6	9	16

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Pull Date: December 31, 2012

'Snapshot' is an estimate for average daily population within the facilities.

Table 8-2

On the Snapshot date, 50 percent of reintegration center clients were in the 16-17 age group, and the other 50 percent were in the >=18 age group.



Section 8: Juveniles in Reintegration Centers

Figure 8-5

On the Snapshot date, 10, or 63 percent, of reintegration center clients were of Hispanic ethnicity. Six, or 37 percent, were of Non-Hispanic White Ethnicity.




Figure 8-6

The Average Length of Stay (ALOS) at reintegration centers for Probation clients discharged in FY13 was 130.3 days. On average, female Probation clients stayed 7.9 days longer than male Probation clients.




Table 8-3

The Average Length of Stay for Probation clients discharged in FY13 increased with age from age 16 (ALOS = 91.7 days) to 18 (ALOS = 158.1 days). The greatest number of Probation clients, 13, were discharged at age 17 and stayed an average of 133.2 days.

Average Length of Stay (ALOS) by Age (N=26 Clients)

Age (Years)	# of Clients	ALOS
<=13	0	0.0
14 -15	0	0.0
16	6	91.7
17	13	133.2
>=18	7	158.1
Total or Avg.	26	130.3

Pull Date:

Source: FACTS Database



Section 8: Juveniles in Reintegration Centers

Average Length of Stay by Race/Ethnicity

N=26 Clients




Figure 8-7

For Probation clients discharged in FY13, the one Black or African American client had the longest ALOS at 148.0 days. Non-Hispanic White clients had the next greatest ALOS at 132.0 days (6 clients), followed by Hispanic clients at 128.9 days (19 clients).

Disciplinary Incident Reports by Facility

(per 100 clients; based on ADP)




Figure 8-8

ARC-F had the highest rate of Disciplinary Incident Reports (DIRs) in FY13 at 65.0 per 100 clients, followed by CCRF at 42.8 per 100 clients. For all reintegration centers, the average number of DIRs was 28.9 per 100 clients.

Top 15 Most Serious Admitted Offenses

N

Probation Violation	11
Battery (Household Member)	3
Burglary (Dwelling House)	3
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Felony)	2
Unlawful Carrying of a Deadly Weapon on School Premises	2
Aggravated Battery (Deadly Weapon) (Household Member)	1
Aggravated DUI/DWI (Refusal) (2nd Offense)	1
Battery	1
Criminal Damage to Property (Over \$1000)	1
Criminal Trespass (Unposted)	1
DUI/DWI (Liquor) (Impaired) (1st Offense)	1
Extortion	1
Larceny (\$250 to \$500)	1
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	1
Shoplifting (\$250 or Less)	1
Total Number of Admitted Offenses	33
Percent of Total Admitted Offenses (Top 15)	94%

Table 8-4

Probation Violations topped the list of the Most Serious Offenses (MSO) for Probation admissions, accounting for 11, or 33 percent. Battery (Household Member) and Burglary (Dwelling House) were tied for second, accounting for 3, or 9 percent, each. For the 33 Probation clients admitted in FY13, the MSO was 11 in each of the three categories of Felony, Misdemeanor, and Probation Violation.



Section 9: Juveniles Referred To/In Detention Centers



In 2008, NM launched the first internet/web-based system in the nation, linking all detention centers, JPPO offices and district court judges statewide to one real time tracking system. **SARA** (Screening Admissions & Releases Application), developed and implemented by the New Mexico JDAI team and community detention partners, enables the statewide implementation of the Risk Assessment Instrument, a NM Children's Code mandated screening for all youth referred for detention. It is a "real time" detention data information system.

The data in this section is extracted from SARA and includes information on offenses and overrides that resulted in their being brought to detention, as well as admission and release dates. SARA:

- Provides a mechanism for the equitable and consistent screening of children referred for detention statewide.
- Provides access to accurate prior offense information 24/7 for any youth screened by the Risk Assessment Instrument (RAI) for juvenile probation and for the courts.
- Monitors the status of youth in detention, and allows juvenile probation supervisors to manage timelines for case expedition.
- Monitors through a "red flag alert" system any State statutory violations in respect to JDAI core principles and JJDP core requirements.
- Increases quality juvenile justice systems service assurance, and improves reliability of detention data.
- Provides information for monitoring of compliance with State statute and Federal funding requirements.
- Provides statewide and regional detention data to cross systems agencies, the courts, and law enforcement, to inform policy and aid internal decision-making.

In FY13, enhancements were made to SARA to streamline processes, specifically in reporting and providing the ability to track youth referred to/in detention. The most significant change was the addition of support for the national JDAI QRS (Quarterly Report System). Besides positioning New Mexico to be in alignment with other Annie E. Casey Foundation grantees, investing in SARA offers New Mexico an additional tool to look at our youth statewide at risk of out of home placement or awaiting placement for treatment or transport for a juvenile commitment.

In the future, we plan to leverage SARA for increased OJJDP and other federal grant monitoring and reporting as well as initiatives implemented by local continuum boards in 20 counties statewide.



Section 9: Juveniles Referred To/In Detention Centers

It is helpful to know some key terms we use when we aggregate data. These terms have been in place and consistent in our reporting for over ten years since New Mexico implemented JDAI.

Screened:

- Cases referred for a detention decision
- No special detention situation noted
- Reasons for a referral for detention in which the risk assessment instrument (RAI) is applied include:
 - Delinquent offense
 - Parole Retake
 - Probation violation
 - Delinquent offense + Probation violation (no warrant)
 - Probation violation (Warrant)

Special Detention:

- Cases referred "for a detention decision" when there is an outstanding arrest or bench warrant
- Most Serious Offense is usually probation violation; some are left blank
- RAI is usually scored; however, there are some situations where scoring is not possible or not considered necessary
- Reasons for a "Special" detention referral includes:
 - Warrant- arrest
 - Warrant- Bench
 - Warrant- FTA
 - Warrant- Parole Detention Order/Retake
 - Warrant- Not indicated
 - Warrant- Magistrate/Municipal

Auto Detention

- Cases where a decision is not necessary
- RAI is **NOT SCORED**
- Most Serious Referred Offense is not completed
- Reasons for "Auto" referral for detention:
 - Committed/Diag - return to court on pending case
 - Detained pending post-dispositional placement
 - Violation of court order/condition of release
 - GPS Violation
 - Hold for out of state-ICJ
 - Electronic Monitoring
 - Hold for out of state-ICE
 - Drug Ct. Hold
 - Remand Order
 - Juvenile Court Hold (not Drug Court)
 - Community Custody Hold
 - PEG Hold
 - Transport Order



Section 9: Juveniles Referred To/In Detention Centers

Figure 9-1

In FY13, there were 5,418 total referrals for detention, a slight 3.8 percent increase from FY12. A total of 3,539 referrals were screened for a detention decision, approximately one half of which were detained. The majority of the screened referrals (85.0 percent) were for delinquent offenses.




Figure 9-2

The 5,418 referrals for detention involve 3,634 unduplicated youth.

Males are referred for detention at a slightly higher rate (72.0 percent) than males referred for delinquent, status and probation violation referrals (65.0 percent) in Sections 3-5.




Table 9-1

Age of juveniles referred for detention is calculated based on the first referral in the period whereas age of juveniles detained looks for the youth's earliest detention admission in the fiscal year.

Juveniles age 16-17 represent over half (55%) of all referrals for detention.

Juveniles Referred by Age at 1st FY13 Referral

Age (Years)	Total Admissions
<10*	5
10 - 11	36
12 - 13	353
14 - 15	1,120
16 - 17	2,006
>=18*	113
Unknown/Missing	1
Total	3,634

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: SARA Database



Section 9: Juveniles Referred To/In Detention Centers

Table 9-2

The majority of screened referrals (2,996 or 84.7 percent) are for delinquent referral reasons, while drug court and juvenile court holds (946 or 73.0 percent) account for the majority of “auto” detention. It is likely the majority of youth detained on a court hold are on formal supervision. Youth on probation or supervised release may appear in other categories. Outcomes by referral reason within each reporting category are below. Transfers between detention centers prior to FY09 was documented as an “auto detention”; however, SARA has the capability of tracking initial admission separately from transfers between centers and no longer exaggerate the number of auto detention referrals.

Outcome of Referral by Referral Reason (N=5,418 Referrals)

Report Category	Referred Offense	Not Detained	Non-Secure Detention	Detained	Total
Screened	Delinquent Offense	1,637	27	946	2,610
	Parole Retake (Supervised Release)	0	0	4	4
	Probation Violation	6	0	76	82
	Delinquent Offense + Probation Violation (No Warrant)	120	0	266	386
	Probation Violation (Warrant)	5	0	452	457
	Total Screened	1,768	27	1,744	3,539
Special	Warrant—Arrest	5	0	383	388
	Warrant—Bench	3	0	90	93
	Warrant—FTA	1	0	90	91
	Warrant—Parole Detention Order/Retake	0	0	6	6
	Warrant—Other	0	0	5	5
	Total Special	9	0	574	583
Auto	Committed/Diag—Return to Court on Pending Case	0	0	3	3
	Detained Pending Post-Dispositional Placement	0	0	1	1
	Violation of Court Order/Condition of Release	0	0	167	167
	GPS Violation/Electronic Monitoring	0	0	15	15
	Hold for Out of State—ICJ	0	0	60	60
	Hold for Out of State—ICE	0	0	1	1
	Drug Court Hold	1	0	518	519
	Remand Order	0	0	15	15
	Juvenile Court Hold (Not Drug Hold)	1	0	426	427
	Community Custody/PED Hold	0	0	72	72
	Transport Order	0	0	16	16
	Total Auto	2	0	1,294	1,296
Overall Total		1,779	27	3,612	5,418

Pull Date: November 15, 2013

Source: SARA Database



Section 9: Juveniles Referred To/In Detention Centers

Figure 9-3

The ethnicity numbers in this section are lower than those reported in DMC tables for consistency with Sections 3-5, which contain demographics of unduplicated juveniles.

The rate of Hispanic youth referred for detention is 66.4 percent which is higher than the population at risk (58.0 percent), but closely aligns with the arrest rate (65.0 percent) in the DMC tables in Section 12 and the delinquent referral rate for Hispanics (65.0 percent) in Section 3.




Table 9-3

The most serious charge for which a juvenile is referred for a detention decision (screened) is obtained from law enforcement. The top 15 offenses represent 55.0 percent of all screened offenses (3,539). Battery (Household Member) is the most frequently referred offense for detention decision, which differs from its rank (8th) in the top 15 delinquent offenses referred in Section 3. Shoplifting, Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia and Battery follow, which coincide with the top three most serious delinquent offenses referred (Section 3). The three Probation Violation offenses listed in the Top 15 together (339) still account for less than the top offense referred (Battery).


Top 15 Screened Offenses (N=1,945 Screened Referrals)

	Not Detained	Non-Secure Detention	Detained	Total
Battery (Household Member)	221	0	152	373
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	302	0	28	330
Probation Violation—Residence	0	0	175	175
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	99	0	29	128
Battery	99	0	24	123
Burglary (Dwelling House)	19	4	90	113
Poss. Of Marij. Or Synth. Cannabis (1 oz or less) (1st Offense)	81	0	13	94
Resisting, Evading, or Obstructing an Officer	56	1	33	90
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	66	0	19	85
Probation Violation—Alcohol/Drugs	1	0	83	84
Probation Violation—General Behavior (Law)	2	0	78	80
Burglary (Automobile)	42	0	34	76
Burglary (Commercial)	21	4	50	75
Unlawful Carrying of a Deadly Weapon on School Premises	45	0	15	60
Unlawful Taking of a Motor Vehicle (1st Offense)	35	0	24	59
Total Number of Screened Offenses (Top 15)	1,089	9	847	1,945
Total Number of Screened Offenses in FY13				3,539



Section 9: Juveniles Referred To/In Detention Centers

Detained Juveniles by Report Category & Gender
N=2,197 Clients*



*One Client had a gender of missing/unknown.

Juveniles Detained by Age at 1st Detained Intake
(N=2,197 Clients)

Age (Years)	Total Admissions
<10*	0
10 - 11	5
12 - 13	131
14 - 15	632
16 - 17	1,308
>=18*	120
Unknown/Missing	1
Total	2,197

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: SARA Database

Detained Juveniles by Race/Ethnicity

N=2,197 Clients




Figure 9-4

For those 3,612 referrals resulting in detention, there were 2,197 unduplicated youth with at least one detention admission during the year.

Overall, 75.0 percent of juveniles detained were male. By category, males were 75.7 percent of screened, 73.3 percent of auto, and 75.2 percent of special.

Table 9-4

Older youth, 16 and older, were detained at a higher rate (65.0 percent) than juveniles referred for detention (58.0 percent). No juveniles under age 11 were detained.

Figure 9-5

Youth identifying as Hispanic were detained at a higher rate (65.9 percent) than all other juveniles. This rate is similar to the rate of Hispanic youth referred to detention. Non-Hispanic White juveniles only made up 19.5 percent of the total detained juvenile population. There was a slightly higher rate of Native American juveniles detained (6.8 percent) vs. Native American juveniles referred (5.6 percent) for detention.



Section 9: Juveniles Referred To/In Detention Centers

Figure 9-6

The Average Daily Population (ADP) was generated from SARA, which calculates a daily census for each day in the reporting period and then averages the daily census.

The population in “Adult Facility” represents the large number of youth aged eighteen or older who may be transferred or admitted to an adult facility instead of being housed in a juvenile facility.





Figure 9-7

There were 3,520 releases from detention including youth who may have been admitted prior to FY13. A youth may have multiple stays in detention during this period. SARA offers the ability to calculate the length of stay from admission date to release date. The length of stay (ALOS) is a simple calculation of release date minus admission date.

Length of stay is typically associated with facility bed space. Rather than report by facility where transfers impact ALOS, we calculated averages by detention referral county in order to provide a more relevant duration for community programs aimed at alternatives to detention or expedited case processing time. This referral county most likely retains jurisdiction over formal case processing hearings and outcomes.

Youth transfers between detention centers can be tracked independently. Transfers between detention centers may occur for several reasons; available bed space, transport, arrangements between counties or appearance in court are the most common reasons. Appendix O shows the 3 year trend in length of stay by referral county.



Section 10: Youth Services/Education Services



Education Services

New Mexico Law states, “Except as otherwise provided, a school-age person shall attend public school, private school or home school or a state institution until the school age person is at least eighteen years of age unless that person has graduated from high school or received a general educational development certificate” NMSA 1978 § 22-12-2. It also states that “the state institution in which a school age person is detained or enrolled shall be responsible for providing educational services for the school age person;” and “any school age person who is a client as defined in [Section 43-1-3](#) NMSA 1978 in a state institution under the authority of the secretary of the health and environment department [department of health] shall have a right to attend public school in the school district in which the institution, in which he is a client, is located...” NMSA 1978 § 22-12-2. Therefore, all Juvenile Justice clients will remain in school until their release from commitment, regardless of age.

Middle and High School Programs and Services

Clients in CYFD reintegration centers (ENRC, ARC, and CCRF) attend school or college in the community, or may choose to take courses on-line. Approved clients have the option to obtain their GED and are provided additional assistance for test preparation.

Complete middle and high school programs are provided at Foothill High School in Albuquerque (grades 6-12), and Aztec Youth Academy in Las Cruces (grades 9-12). Both schools are certified by the New Mexico Public Education Department. Upon intake at the facilities, clients complete a hearing screening by a speech therapist and are given a test to determine proper educational program placement.

Foothill HS provides extracurricular sports activities (football, wrestling, basketball) that clients can participate in only if they reach certain academic and behavioral standards.

Aztec Youth Academy has a new education complex that opened in August 2013 (FY14). The complex has five classrooms, three administrative office spaces, a library, a gymnasium, an exercise room, and a state-of-the-art greenhouse that juvenile clients will maintain.

All juvenile facilities provide or have availability to Special Education, Vocational programming, English as a Second Language (ESL) services, ancillary services, library services and GED testing.



Section 10: Youth Services/Education Services

Vocational Education

Juvenile Justice Education has partnered with Central New Mexico (CNM), Eastern New Mexico University - Roswell (ENMUR), and CNM Workforce Solutions to provide post-secondary opportunities to students who have graduated or earned their GED. Students are able to earn college credits from CNM and ENMUR through their online programs. Students work online in the computer classrooms located at each facility. Partnering with CNM Workforce Solutions has provided students the opportunity to earn industry

based certificates. *Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)*, Introduction to Construction, and Culinary/Hospitality certification classes have been offered onsite at the Youth Diagnostic and Development Center by CNM Workforce instructors. During the fall 2013 semester, students combined to earn over 30 college credits and 150 industry based certificates. JJS Education provides these programs in order to help students gain employable skills that will allow them to be productive citizens upon release.



Medical Services

Medical care is provided to facility clients by licensed health care professionals. During the first week, a medical doctor, physician's assistant or nurse practitioner will perform a physical exam. Clients receive STD testing if necessary and HIV testing if required. Clients are updated on required vaccinations if necessary, and are additionally given flu and hepatitis vaccinations to better protect them while in the facility. A dentist examines and X-rays each client's teeth and gums to address any dental needs. Additionally, each client also receives an eye exam.

The Juvenile Justice Medical Department provides a nutrition program that begins by collecting Body Mass Index (BMI) measurements from clients four times a year. This data is given to the registered dietitian who then uses the information in conjunction with other health factors to identify those who are underweight, within normal limits, overweight and obese. Clients who are underweight, overweight or obese receive individualized nutritional counseling on weight management, risk factors and strategies to improve their overall health. These clients also receive health education about the benefits of proper nutrition and healthy food choices. The registered dietitian also monitors the meals served in the cafeteria to ensure overall quality and nutrition. Our nutrition program seeks to educate our clients about the impact of proper nutrition on nearly every aspect of their daily lives from energy level and self-perception to emotional regulation and relapse prevention. We believe that healthy clients will be healthy citizens.



Section 10: Youth Services/Education Services

Behavioral Health Services

Behavioral Health counselors are available to respond to facility clients 24 hours per day. Counselors are available for individual and group counseling during regular business hours, and a counselor remains on call after regular business hours in case of emergencies. Clients meet with a behavioral health staff on intake and are screened (resulting in a DSM and Needs Level) to determine their specific behavioral health needs. There are many behavioral health services available in the facilities, and in the community:

Cambiar	Anger Management	Phoenix Curriculum (Gang Prevention)
Behavior Management	Community Group	Psycho-Educational Classes
Individual Therapy	Dialectical Behavior Therapy	Resiliency/Emotional Intelligence
Family Therapy	Empathic Skills	Sex Offender Treatment
Group Therapy	Family Visitation	Substance Abuse Programs
Art Therapy	Journaling/Feedback	Trauma Spectrum
Alcoholics Anonymous	Parenting Classes	

Program Highlights

Cambiar New Mexico:

One programming component of Cambiar is the Phoenix/New Freedom Program, a resource recognized as an evidence-based curriculum by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP)/National Gang Center. This program contains 100 one-hour lessons organized into five 20-lesson modules to reduce high risk, delinquent, criminal, and gang-related behaviors. Through the skillful use of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy and Motivational Interviewing techniques, the Phoenix Curriculum teaches youth to recognize their specific risk factors and inoculates them against the highest risk factors for gang involvement. It also links students to the most available protective factors and assets.

The lessons help participants:

- increase motivation (specifically importance, self-confidence, and readiness to change);
- develop emotional intelligence and empathy;
- identify risk factors (people, places, things, situations) for violence, criminal behavior, and gang activity;
- develop concrete action plans to successfully address these risk factors;
- demonstrate effective skills to do so;
- increase self-efficacy;
- identify specific protective factors for buffering risk factors, including a safety net of supportive people who can help;
- develop coping skills and impulse control;
- manage aggression and violence;
- master new problem-solving skills; and
- prepare to reenter former neighborhood, school, and family settings, including specific action plans.



Section 10: Youth Services/Education Services

Substance Abuse Program

During 2013, the Substance Abuse Youth Recovery Program for the CYFD/JJS facilities grew significantly. At Camino Nuevo Youth Center, over 200 group sessions have been conducted, with an average attendance of 9 youth in each group. Camino Nuevo has implemented a combination of evidence-based treatments that were developed and tested in New Mexico – namely Motivational Interviewing and Community Reinforcement Approach - with the goal of building motivation to change and learning the coping skills needed to maintain those changes in the community. These approaches were chosen because of their empirical evidence, cultural inclusivity, age appropriateness and cost effectiveness. This program is in the process of being disseminated throughout all of the CYFD/JJS facilities statewide, and behavioral staff in all facilities will be trained and monitored for fidelity and consistency in using this program. Collaborations are being developed with community substance abuse providers, particularly the Addiction and Substance Abuse Program (ASAP) at the University of New Mexico Hospital. Training and education of medical and security staff are ongoing. In addition, several Alcoholics Anonymous groups meet at Camino Nuevo Youth Center and the Youth Diagnostic and Development Center, as well as one Narcotics Anonymous group at Camino Nuevo.

Community Services

Juvenile Community Corrections Programs

The Juvenile Community Corrections (JCC) Program is a unique approach to working with adjudicated delinquent youth (youth who have been committed, on supervised release, or on probation). The program utilizes a team approach which includes client, family, contracted agencies, local public schools staff, Juvenile Probation Officers and other significant individuals in the client's life. The program provides participants with program services based on the client's individualized needs. Case managers are able to work with clients on a daily basis to help them learn new skills, search for employment, build social skills, find community service opportunities, assist with school work and help them make more positive decisions. JCC can also provide transportation for clients to appointments, work, community service and school.

Desired Client Outcomes:

1. Decreased involvement or termination of involvement with the Juvenile Justice System
2. Improved client competencies in social, living, coping and thinking skills
3. Improved academic performance
4. Improved client behavior at home and in the community
5. At least seventy five (75) percent of the clients will successfully complete the JCC Program
6. At least seventy-five (75) percent of clients are satisfied with the JCC Program's services



Section 10: Youth Services/Education Services

Target Population:

In accordance with the Juvenile Community Corrections Statute NMSA 1978 §§ 33-9a-1 to 33-9a-6, youth eligible to participate in JCC are as follows:

- All adjudicated youth who are on probation status and who are at risk of further involvement with the Juvenile Justice System. This includes Consent Decrees regardless of level of adjudicated offense (misdemeanor, felony and probation violations are eligible).
- Committed youth who are on supervised release status. JCC providers can initiate JCC services and planning while the client is in CYFD custody.
- Adjudicated youth on probation who are at risk of having their probation revoked.

Every county in New Mexico, except Los Alamos, has a Juvenile Community Corrections Provider.

Providers and the Counties Served are as follows:

- Agave Health - San Miguel, Guadalupe, and Mora *(To begin providing services on 8-16-2013)*
- Border Area Mental Health - Grant, Luna and Hidalgo
- Counseling Associates Inc. - Chaves and Eddy *(No longer providing services as of 8-15-2013)*
- The Counseling Center Inc. – Lincoln and Otero *(No longer providing services as of 9-3-2013)*
- Families and Youth Inc. – Dona Ana, Chaparral, Socorro, Sierra and Catron
- Guidance Center of Lea County – Lea
- Hogares – Cibola *(No longer providing services as of 9-3-2013)*
- Human Resource Development Associates Inc. – Taos
- La Familia Inc. – McKinley *(No longer providing services as of 1-6-2014)*
- La Frontera New Mexico – Lincoln and Otero (exception of City of Chaparral) *(To begin providing services on 9-4-2013)*
- Mental Health Resources Inc. – De Baca, Curry, Quay, Harding, Roosevelt
- Open Skies Healthcare Inc.— Cibola *(To begin providing services on 9-4-2013)*
- Peanut Butter & Jelly Family Services Inc. – YDDC and CNYC Facilities
- Rio Arriba County DWI Program – Rio Arriba and Santa Fe
- San Juan County – San Juan
- Service Organization for Youth Inc. – Colfax and Union
- TeamBuilders Counseling Services Inc. – San Miguel, Guadalupe and Mora *(No longer providing services as of 8-15-2013)*
- Turquoise Health and Wellness – Chaves and Eddy *(To begin providing services on 8-16-2013)*
- Youth Development Inc. – Bernalillo, Sandoval, Valencia and Torrance

*McKinley County DWI Program is in the process of contract negotiation to take over for La Familia—Date to begin services has not been determined.

Other community services can be found at: <http://www.nmjustice.net/nmsc/juvenile/services.php>



Section 11: Behavioral Health Needs in New Mexico

The substance use and MIP (Minor in Possession)/DWI (Driving While Intoxicated) segments of this section are a summary of the substance and MIP/DWI offenses drawn from the FY13 charges dataset. Out of the total accrued charges (26,778) in FY13, 18 percent were illegal substance charges (4,841), and 8 percent were MIP/DWI charges (2,158). Also included is the number of facility clients diagnosed with substance and alcohol DSM diagnoses.

Each client, upon intake, receives comprehensive screening and assessment. Screenings and assessments will vary from client to client, depending on the results of the initial screen. Some clients will show greater needs than others in the initial screen.

Screening, assessments and diagnostic interviews result in tailored service recommendations for each client. The following is a list of some (not all) of the screening and assessments that are administered to clients:

- Massachusetts Youth Screening Instrument –Version 2 (MAYSI-2)
- Kaufman Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School Aged Children- Present and Lifetime (K-SADS-PL)
- Millon Adolescent Clinical Inventory (MACI)
- Adolescent Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory (SASSI-A2)
- Child and Adolescent Functional Assessment Scale (CAFAS)

After all screening, assessments and diagnostic interviews are completed, all behavioral health staff involved attend an Intake, Diagnostic and Disposition (IDD) meeting, and a consensus is reached for the Level rating and DSM diagnosis that each client will receive. The Level rating represents the level of needs each client has, Level 1 being the lowest and Level 3 being the highest. Each client within the facility will also be given a DSM (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual) diagnosis. The DSM provides a common language and standard criteria for classifying mental and behavioral health disorders.

ADE database, initiated in 2009, is a secure web-based client tracking program that provides a way of monitoring behavioral health recommendations made by CYFD clinical staff for adjudicated youth. CYFD contracted with ADE incorporated from Clarkston, Michigan to develop this case management software. The goals of creating this client tracking system are to integrate work processes into the software, offer collaboration between services providers, enhance reporting functions, and provide timely and accurate data for consistent decision making. Service recommendations, treatment plans, diagnoses, and clinical staff notes are only small portions of the information stored in the ADE database.



Section 11: Behavioral Health Needs in New Mexico

Substance Abuse Offenses by Gender & Race/Ethnicity

N=3,070 Clients




Figure 11-1

In FY13, Hispanic male and female clients had the highest number of Substance Abuse offenses, 1,579 and 469 respectively. Non-Hispanic White male and female clients had the next highest number of Substance Abuse offenses, 498 and 154 respectively. For FY13, there were 2,362 male clients and 702 female clients with Substance Abuse offenses.

Substance Abuse Offenses Age (Client Level)

Age (Years)	Substance Abuse Offenses	All Offenses	Substance Abuse Offense % of All Offenses
<10*	11	336	3.3%
10 - 11	41	449	9.1%
12 - 13	397	2,079	19.1%
14 - 15	1,095	4,264	25.7%
16 - 17	1,452	5,387	27.0%
>=18*	72	113	63.7%
Unk.	2	11	18.2%
Total	3,070	12,639	24.3%

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS Database

Table 11-1

In FY13, the age group with the highest number of Substance Abuse offenses was 16-17 year olds (47.5 percent of all Substance Abuse offenses). Of all offenses for clients 18 years or older, Substance Abuse offenses accounted for 13.4 percent of all offenses for this age group. Of all offenses for clients 14-15 years old, Substance Abuse offenses accounted for only 12.1 percent of all offenses for this age group.

Substance and Alcohol Diagnosis of Facility Clients

N=236 Clients




Figure 11-2

Of the 236 admitted facility clients in FY13, 130 were diagnosed with a Cannabis-Related Disorder (55 percent), 72 were diagnosed with an Alcohol Related Disorder (30.5 percent), 60 were diagnosed with a Polysubstance Related Disorder (25.4 percent), and in each of the following 3 diagnosis categories, 23 were diagnosed with a Nicotine Related Disorder, Amphetamine Related Disorder, and Opioid Related Disorder (9.7 percent in each respective category). Clients could be represented in more than one of these DSM diagnoses categories.



Section 11: Behavioral Health Needs in New Mexico

Table 11-2

In FY13, the age group with the highest number of MIP/DWI offenses was 16-17 year olds (63.1 percent of all MIP/DWI offenses). Of all offenses for clients 18 years or older, MIP/DWI offenses accounted for 14.0 percent of all offenses for this age group. Of all offenses for clients 16-17 years old, MIP/DWI offenses accounted for only 7.8 percent of all offenses for this age group.

MIP/DWI Offenses by Age

Age (Years)	MIP/DWI Offenses	All Offenses	MIP/DWI Offense % of All Offenses
<10*	0	384	0.0%
10 - 11	7	665	1.1%
12 - 13	50	3,427	1.5%
14 - 15	443	9,091	4.9%
16 - 17	987	12,657	7.8%
>=18*	75	537	14.0%
Unk.	3	17	17.6%
Total	1,565	26,778	5.8%

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds. Source: FACTS

Figure 11-3

In FY13, Hispanic male and female clients had the highest number of MIP/DWI offenses, 713 and 340 respectively. Non-Hispanic White male and female clients had the next highest number of MIP/DWI offenses. For FY13, there were 1,051 male clients and 511 female clients with MIP/DWI offenses.

MIP/DWI Offenses by Gender & Race/Ethnicity
N=1,565 Clients





Figure 11-4

The number of MIP/DWI clients and charges show a declining trend in the last three years. From FY12 (1922), the number of clients declined 18.6 percent (to 1565). The number of offenses in FY13 (2158) declined 15.4 percent from the previous year (2550).

Trends in MIP/DWI Offenses
FY10-FY13



Section 11: Behavioral Health Needs in New Mexico

Figure 11-5

There were 4,107 recommendations made in FY13. This number reflects that there are multiple recommendations per client.

Individual therapy, public education, and a need for Bio-Psycho-Social assessments were the top service recommendations made.



Table 11-3

Of the 236 admitted facility clients in FY13, 200 clients were diagnosed with Conduct Disorder (85 percent), 179 were diagnosed with an Abuse/Neglect Problem (75.8 percent), and 130 were diagnosed with Depressive Disorder (55 percent).

The Top 15 DSM-4 diagnoses (1,084) account for 92 percent of the total DSM-diagnoses (1,185) made for clients admitted to Secure Facilities.

Top 15 DSM-4 Codes for Clients Admitted to Secure Facilities (SF)
(N=236 Clients)

	N
Conduct Disorder	200
Abuse/Neglect Problem	179
Cannabis-Related Disorder	130
Depressive Disorder	130
Relational Problem	88
Alcohol Related Disorder	72
Polysubstance Related Disorder	60
Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD)	54
Learning Disorder	30
Adjustment Disorder	28
Amphetamine Related Disorder	23
Opioid Related Disorder	23
Nicotine Related Disorder	23
Attention Deficit and Disruptive Behavior Disorder	23
Substance Induced Disorder	21
Total Number of DSM-4 Codes for SF Clients	1185
Percent of Total DSM-4 Codes (Top 15)	92%




Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Case Processing

Case Processing time is directly related to both the type of charge and the seriousness of the charge. In many situations, a juvenile may have many outstanding charges that were referred separately, but where the outcome was disposed concurrently. The Children's Code currently dictates the following time frames for case processing if a juvenile is **NOT** detained:

1. The JPO has thirty (30) days from the date a referral is received to conduct the preliminary inquiry (PI).
2. If the referral is handled formally, the CCA has sixty (60) days to file a petition alleging a delinquent offense/probation violation.
3. Once the petition is filed, the court then has one hundred twenty (120) days to adjudicate the case and sixty (60) days from adjudication to dispose of the case.



If a juvenile **IS** detained the Children's Code dictates the following time frames:

1. The PI must be held within twenty-four (24) hours.
2. The CCA must file the petition within forty-eight (48) hours.
3. All court hearings up to and including disposition must occur within thirty (30) days.

As the following figures below demonstrate, it took an average of one hundred sixty two (162) days from Incident to Disposition for a Delinquent offense, an average of ninety-six (96) days from Incident to Disposition for a Probation Violation, and an average of three hundred seventy-three (373) days from Incident to Disposition for a Grand Jury indictment.

It is important to note that case processing times begin at the time the referral is received by the JPO. The following figures indicate that all entities are complying with the intent of the Children's Code to expedite juvenile cases, with the exception of Dispositional Hearings for Grand Jury indictments.

JPO Caseload

JPO Caseload is categorized into three groups: Pre Disposition, Monitoring, and Supervising. Pre Disposition refers to the number of youth who have had a petition filed and are awaiting adjudication, but are not being formally supervised by the JPO. Monitoring consists of Informal Conditions, Informal Supervision, and Time Waiver. Time Waivers also may, or may not, involve active JPO supervision depending on the conditions set by the attorneys. Supervising consists of Conditional Release, Probation, Supervised Release, ICJ Parole, and ICJ Probation. Conditional Release refers to any conditions of release ordered by the court either at the First Appearance or upon release from secure detention that require JPO Supervision. Juveniles on Probation status may be seen at different intervals, depending on their Supervision level as determined by the SDM tool.



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Supervision levels range from Minimum (client is seen face to face by a JPO at least once a month); Medium (client is seen every two weeks); Maximum (client is seen at least once a week); and Intensive (client is seen multiple times a week). SDM standards also recommend that the JPO meet with both the client's family and any treatment providers at the same intervals. These supervision levels are minimum contact standards for JPO's, and Supervisor/Chief JPO's may also assign Community Support Officers (CSO) to supervise cases and/or provide additional support on an individual basis. All clients on Supervised Release (Parole) receive AT LEAST Maximum supervision for ninety (90) days following their release, and clients placed in a Residential Treatment Center (RTC) receive Minimum Supervision.

SDM Reassessments are conducted at least every one-hundred eighty (180) days for clients on Probation status and at least every ninety (90) days for clients on Supervised Release. Supervision levels may change up or down at each reassessment, depending upon various individual circumstances taken into account by the SDM Tool. The SDM Tool may also be used to justify terminating supervision early if the juvenile's risk and/or needs scores are improving and the juvenile demonstrates that he/she has either achieved the goals developed in conjunction with the Needs score on the SDM, or no longer needs supervision to be able to attain those goals.

Disproportionate Minority Contact

Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) as defined by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) "refers to the disproportionate number of minority youth who come into contact with the juvenile justice system." DMC is currently measured across nine decision points: Arrest, Referral (to court), Diversion (from court), Detention, Petition Filed, Adjudicated Delinquent, Probation Placement, Commitment and juveniles bound over to Adult court. The statistics at these decision points are combined with estimated populations for the state and each county for each ethnicity to develop the Relative Rate Index (RRI) for each race/ethnicity category. Definitions for these nine decision points can be found in OJJDP's DMC Databook (<http://ojjdp.gov/ojstatbb/dmcdm/>). DMC is a core requirement of the state's formula grants and at least 20% is tied to the state's compliance status. CYFD is responsible for generating, monitoring, and supplying the data for measuring DMC compliance.

The RRI is an estimate of the likelihood that a minority youth will come into contact with the justice system at each of the above decision points. The reference group for this measure is White males (value given is 1.0). For example, the statewide RRI for a Black or African American at Arrest is 1.66, so for every ten White males, sixteen Black males are arrested statewide. Or, put another way, a Black male is 1.7 times more likely to be arrested in the State of New Mexico compared to a White male. In the state of New Mexico, each contact with a juvenile is counted separately (potential for a client to be counted multiple times depending on the number of referrals incurred during the fiscal year). The RRI is calculated for each county in the State of New Mexico, as well as statewide.

The tables below represent both the number of individuals from each race/ethnicity (counts) as well as the RRI for each race/ethnicity at the nine decision points. For this analysis, both the counts and the RRI's are provided statewide for:

- all referrals, as reported to OJJDP
- a new, refined methodology we are testing which delineates referrals by referral type (delinquent referral, probation violation, and status referral). The intent is to better focus on arrests as compared with other types of referrals.



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

We implemented a change in the data source used for the decision points. Prior to FY13, multiple data files which gathered data at each point, independently, were used. This means data which were not directly limited to outcomes of “arrests” were reported. Starting this year, we are using the single data file which is selected on referrals in the period through outcomes. The short term disadvantage of using this method is that data is not finalized and complete until adequate time has elapsed for cases to process through the system. Most cases will have received a final disposition within 6-9 months after the fiscal year; however, more serious cases may take years to complete.

RRI calculations, provided by OJJDP, look at rates between the nine decision points using the following key. It is important to note some aspects which are unique in New Mexico and do not necessarily align with the model. We will begin to look at these differences and its impact on RRI calculations in FY14.

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Bold Font

Results that are not statistically significant:

Regular Font

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

*

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

**

Missing data for some element of calculation:

—

Definitions of rates:

Recommended Base

2. Juveniles Arrested—rate per 1000 population

3. Referrals to Juvenile Court—rate per 100 arrests

4. Juveniles Diverted before adjudication—rate per 100 referrals

5. Juveniles Detained—rate per 100 referrals

6. Juveniles Petitioned—rate per 100 referrals

7. Juveniles found to be delinquent—rate per 100 youth petitioned (charged)

8. Juveniles placed on probation—rate per 100 youth found delinquent

9. Juveniles placed in secure correctional facilities—rate per 10 youth found delinquent

10. Juveniles transferred to adult court—rate per 100 youth petitioned

Base Used

per 1000 youth

per 100 arrests

per 100 referrals

per 100 referrals

per 100 referrals

per 100 youth petitioned

per 100 youth found delinquent


per 100 youth found delinquent

per 100 youth petitioned

Example: The model assumes that diversion (line 4) is a subset of Cases Referred to Juvenile Court. In New Mexico, this represents the number of cases handled informally and not referred to juvenile court. Therefore, the rate calculated of cases per 100 referrals is using Referrals to Juvenile Court rather than the rate of diversion to arrest.

Identifying the RRI for separate categories of offenses (Delinquent vs. Status vs. Probation Violation) may assist in identifying possible short term as well as long term goals to begin to address this critical issue.

New Mexico is currently implementing the DMC Reduction model recommended by the OJJDP. This model includes five steps:



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Type

N=9,063 Charges




Figure 12-1

In FY13, Grand Jury Petitions had the longest processing times compared to Probation Violations and Delinquent Referrals; on average grand jury petitions took 277 more days to process than Probation Violations and 211 more days than Delinquent Referrals. The shortest time-point for case processing was the average days from referral to JPPO decisions with the longest time-point for case processing being average days from petition filed to disposition. Cases that go to Grand Jury will take longer due to court processes and schedules.

Formal Case Processing Time by Degree of Charge

N=9,063 Charges




Figure 12-2

On average it took 133 additional days to get through the major decision points for a client charged with a 1st Degree felony compared to a client charged with a 4th Degree felony. The longer time-period for average days from Incident to Referral in 1st Degree Felony cases was due to victims not coming forward immediately after an incident occurred or a third party intervening at a later time point and pressing charges.

Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Figure 12-3

The majority of cases that were monitored by JPO officers were cases with Informal Conditions (accounts for 73.5 percent of all monitored cases). The majority of cases that were supervised by JPO officers were Probation cases (accounts for 84.9 percent of all supervised cases).





Figure 12-4

This “snapshot” of JPO caseload indicates that JPO’s are supervising more cases statewide compared to cases requiring monitoring and those with a status of Pre Disposition.



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Table 12-1a

In FY13, there were 228,132 youth aged 10-17 years residing in the state of New Mexico. During this time period, there were 17,392 arrests, with the majority of these arrests involving youth identifying as Hispanic (67.2 percent). At the nine decision points that occur as a client moves through the juvenile justice system, clients identifying as Hispanic outnumbered all of the other ethnic groups at all nine decision points. Clients identifying as Hispanic were the largest ethnic group, the next two largest groups were Non-Hispanic White clients and American Indian or Alaska Native clients. When grouping all of the minority ethnicities into one group, at all nine decision points, all minorities represented more than 70 percent of the total client population at that decision point. At six decision points, all minorities represented more than 80 percent of the total client population: cases involving secure detention (82.1 percent), cases where charges were filed (80.1 percent), cases resulting in delinquent findings (80.6 percent), cases resulting in probation placement (80.3 percent), cases resulting in confinement (85.1 percent), and cases transferred to adult court (85.1 percent).

Table 12-1a: Statewide DMC RRI Counts

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	228,132	64,200	5,082	131,364	3,042		24,444		163,932
2. Juvenile Arrests	17,392	3,730	499	11,695	23	8	1,034	403	13,662
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	7,251	1,497	255	4,915	4	3	369	208	5,754
4. Cases Diverted	10,079	2,227	243	6,728	19	5	663	194	7,852
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	3,612	646	123	2,461	1	0	255	126	2,966
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	5,200	1,034	196	3,556	2	1	260	151	4,166
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	3,197	621	106	2,245	0	1	161	63	2,576
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	2,833	556	89	1,981	0	1	146	60	2,277
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	228	34	9	175	0	0	8	2	194
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	5	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Table 12-2a

The table below illustrates the RRI for clients of each ethnic group compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. In FY13, a Hispanic client had a higher risk of being arrested, having their cases go to juvenile court, having a case involve secure detention, having charges filed, having a finding of delinquency for their acts, and having their case result in confinement in a secure facility as compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. A Hispanic client was less likely to have their case handled informally or be put on probation as compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. Both Asian and American Indian or Alaska Native clients were less likely to be arrested compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. When considering the comparison of all minorities vs. Non-Hispanic White clients, all minorities were significantly more likely to be arrested, have their case referred to juvenile court, have a case involving secure detention, and have charges filed.

Table 12-2a: Statewide DMC RRI Rates

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.69	1.53	0.13	*	0.73	*	1.43
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.27	1.05	**	*	0.89	*	1.05
4. Cases Diverted	0.64	0.92	**	*	1.21	*	0.92
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.12	1.16	**	*	1.60	*	1.19
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	1.11	1.05	**	*	1.02	*	1.05
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.90	1.05	**	*	1.03	*	1.03
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	0.94	0.99	--	*	1.01	*	0.99
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1.55	1.42	--	*	0.91	*	1.38
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**

—



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Table 12-1b

In FY13, there were 228,132 youth aged 10-17 years residing in the state of New Mexico. During this time period, 13,921 arrests occurred for delinquent acts, with the majority of these arrests involving youth identifying as Hispanic (66.2 percent). At the nine decision points that occur as a client moves through the juvenile justice system, clients identifying as Hispanic outnumbered all of the other ethnic groups at all nine decision points. Clients identifying as Hispanic were the largest ethnic group, the next two largest groups were Non-Hispanic White clients and American Indian or Alaska Native clients. When grouping all of the minority ethnicities into one group, at all nine decision points, all minorities represented more than 70 percent of the total client population at that decision point. At four decision points, all minorities represented more than 80 percent of the total client population: cases involving secure detention (82.3 percent), cases resulting in delinquent findings (80.0 percent), cases resulting in confinement (88.8 percent), and cases transferred to adult court (100 percent; small numbers).

Table 12-1b: Statewide DMC RRI Counts for Delinquent Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	228,132	64,200	5,082	131,364	3,042		24,444		163,932
2. Juvenile Arrests	13,921	3,050	399	9,218	22	7	877	348	10,871
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	5,851	1,246	203	3,907	4	3	303	185	4,605
4. Cases Diverted	8,022	1,798	195	5,272	18	4	573	162	6,224
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1,212	214	43	793	0	0	98	64	998
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3,943	815	151	2,642	2	1	202	130	3,128
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	2,243	449	76	1,551	0	1	115	51	1,794
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	2,088	427	69	1,435	0	1	106	50	1,661
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	107	12	4	87	0	0	4	0	95
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Table 12-2b

The table below illustrates the RRI for clients of each ethnic group compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. In FY13, a Hispanic client had a higher risk of being arrested for a delinquent offense, having their case go to juvenile court, having their case involve secure detention, having charges filed, having a finding of delinquency for their acts, and having their case result in confinement in a secure facility as compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. A Hispanic client was less likely to have their case handled informally or be put on probation as compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. Both Asian and American Indian or Alaska Native clients were less likely to be arrested for a delinquent act compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. When considering the comparison of all minorities vs. Non-Hispanic White clients, all minorities were significantly more likely to be arrested for a delinquent act, have cases involving secure detention, and have cases that resulted in confinement in secure facilities.

Table 12-2b: Statewide DMC RRI Rates for Delinquent Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.65	1.48	0.15	*	0.76	*	1.40
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.25	1.04	**	*	0.85	*	1.04
4. Cases Diverted	0.67	0.94	**	*	1.31	*	0.94
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.23	1.18	**	*	1.88	*	1.26
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	1.14	1.03	**	*	1.02	*	1.04
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.91	1.07	**	*	1.03	*	1.04
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	0.95	0.97	--	*	0.97	*	0.97
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	2.10	--	*	**	*	1.98
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**

—



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Table 12-1c

In FY13, there were 228,132 youth aged 10-17 years residing in the state of New Mexico. During this time period, 1,310 arrests occurred for probation violations, with the majority of these arrests involving youth identifying as Hispanic (72.5 percent). At the nine decision points that occur as a client moves through the juvenile justice system, clients identifying as Hispanic outnumbered all of the other ethnic groups at all nine decision points. Clients identifying as Hispanic were the largest ethnic group; the next two largest groups were Non-Hispanic White clients and American Indian or Alaska Native clients. When grouping all of the minority ethnicities into one group, at all eight decision points, all minorities represented more than 80 percent of the total client population at that decision point (the one decision point not being represented is cases transferred to adult court as there were no cases for this decision point).

Table 12-1c: Statewide DMC RRI Counts for Probation Violations

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	228,132	64,200	5,082	131,364	3,042		24,444		163,932
2. Juvenile Arrests	1,310	232	51	950	0	0	58	19	1,078
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1,286	230	49	931	0	0	57	19	1,056
4. Cases Diverted	23	2	2	19	0	0	0	0	21
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	911	176	47	610	0	0	58	20	735
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	1,203	210	44	873	0	0	57	19	993
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	949	172	30	689	0	0	46	12	777
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	741	129	20	542	0	0	40	10	612
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	120	22	5	87	0	0	4	2	98
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Table 12-2c

The table below illustrates the RRI for clients of each ethnic group compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. In FY13, a Hispanic client or an African American client had a significantly higher risk of being arrested for a probation violation as compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. A Hispanic client was less likely to have their case referred to juvenile court, have their case result in delinquent findings, or have a case involving secure detention. American Indian or Alaska Native clients were less likely to be arrested for a probation violation and less likely to have their case referred to juvenile court as compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. When considering the comparison of all minorities vs. Non-Hispanic White clients, all minorities were significantly more likely to be arrested for a probation violation, but less likely to have their case involve secure detention.

Table 12-2c: Statewide DMC RRI Rates for Probation Violations							
	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	2.78	2.00	**	*	0.66	*	1.82
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	0.97	0.99	**	*	0.99	*	0.99
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1.25	0.86	--	*	1.33	*	0.91
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	0.98	1.03	--	*	1.10	*	1.03
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.83	0.96	--	*	0.99	*	0.96
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	**	1.05	--	*	1.16	*	1.05
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	0.99	--	*	**	*	0.99
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**

—



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Table 12-1d

In FY13, there were 228,132 youth aged 10-17 years residing in the state of New Mexico. During this time period, 2,161 arrests occurred for status violations, with the majority of these arrests involving youth identifying as Hispanic (70.7 percent). At the nine decision points that occur as a client moves through the juvenile justice system, clients identifying as Hispanic outnumbered all of the other ethnic groups at all nine decision points. Clients identifying as Hispanic was the largest ethnic group; the next two largest groups were Non-Hispanic White clients and American Indian or Alaska Native clients. When grouping all of the minority ethnicities into one group, at seven of the nine decision points, all minorities represented more than 80 percent of the total client population at that decision point (the two decision points not representing 80 percent were juvenile arrests (79.2 percent) and cases diverted (79.0 percent)).

Table 12-1d: Statewide DMC RRI Counts for Status Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	228,132	64,200	5,082	131,364	3,042		24,444		163,932
2. Juvenile Arrests	2,161	449	49	1,527	1	1	99	35	1,712
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	114	21	3	77	0	0	9	4	93
4. Cases Diverted	2,034	428	46	1,437	1	1	90	31	1,606
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	54	9	1	41	0	0	1	2	45
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013



Section 12: Case Processing/Workload/DMC

Table 12-2d

The table below illustrates the RRI for clients of each ethnic group compared to Non-Hispanic White clients. In FY13, a Hispanic client or an African American client had significantly higher risks of being arrested for a status offense as compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. A Hispanic client was more likely to have their case referred to juvenile court as compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. American Indian or Alaska Native clients were less likely to be arrested for a status violation compared to a Non-Hispanic White client. When considering the comparison of all minorities vs. Non-Hispanic White clients, all minorities were significantly more likely to be arrested for a status violation.

Table 12-2d: Statewide DMC RRI Rates for Status Referrals							
	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.38	1.66	**	*	0.58	*	1.49
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.08	**	*	1.94	*	1.16
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	**	--	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	--	**	--	*	--	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**

—





Appendix A-N: County Profiles


New Mexico has 33 counties that group into 14 judicial districts. The information that follows provides county level data for similar analysis found in the main body of this report. Information by referral type (Delinquent Referrals, Status Referrals, and Probation Violations) are provided for gender, race/ethnicity, age, action taken/disposition, and top offenses. In addition, there is information on disproportionate minority contact, MIP/DWI offenses, commitments to juvenile facilities, case processing time, and JPO workload. The county profiles are grouped by district number.

In FY13, there were a total of 17,392 referrals for 12,639 individual clients. There were 13,921 Delinquent Referrals, 2,161 Status Referrals, and 1,310 Probation Violations. There were 1,129 clients who had more than one referral that fell into more than one referral type. For example, John Doe had two referrals on different dates within FY13; one was a delinquent referral and the other was a probation violation. In FY13, 231 clients committed more than one referral of the same referral type in more than one county. For example, Jane Smith had two delinquent referrals on different dates in the counties of Bernalillo and Taos. All tables and figures that depict demographic information are based on client level data (a client is only represented one time) not on referral level data.

The following tables and figures are presented in the same format as state information in sections 3-5, 7-8, and 11-12 in the main part of this report. Please refer back to these sections for clarification and assistance for reading the tables and figures. At the end of the appendix are four county-wide tables for all referrals, JPO Caseload, detention center releases by referral county, and detention center ALOS.



District 1: Los Alamos County



Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 2,127

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.9%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$104,914


Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 3.7%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 15.6%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender N=75 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	5
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	5
-Probation	4
-Time Waiver	1
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	8
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	74
-Assessed/Referred	52
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	2
-CCA Rejected	20

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Los Alamos County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	1	6	113	0.9%	1.0%
10 - 11	0	20	350	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	13	162	1837	0.7%	16.6%
14 - 15	19	296	3840	0.5%	34.8%
16 - 17	42	400	4889	0.9%	44.3%
>=18*	0	1	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	75	885	11,046	0.7%	


* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table A-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	28
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	22
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	16
Aggravated Battery (Misdemeanor)	6
Unlawful Carrying of a Deadly Weapon on School Premises	6
Battery	5
Larceny (\$250 or less)	4
Public Affray	4
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	4
Trespass	4
Total Number of Charges for County	144
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	69%


Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity N= 75 Clients




District 1: Los Alamos County

In Los Alamos County in FY13, there were no referrals for Probation Violations.

Status Referrals by Gender
N=23 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity
N=23 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Los Alamos County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	0	3	110	0.0%	5.6%
12 - 13	0	6	298	0.0%	15.2%
14 - 15	7	29	615	1.1%	31.5%
16 - 17	16	34	702	2.3%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	23	72	1955	1.2%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table A-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Incorrigible	12
Runaway	3
Truancy	2
County Total	17

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	2
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	22
-Assessed/Referred	19
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	2
-CCA Rejected	1



District 1: Los Alamos County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=16 Clients



In Los Alamos County in FY13, there were no Probation Violations for Alcohol/Drugs.

Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge



In Los Alamos County in FY13, there were no Term Admissions.

In Los Alamos County in FY13, a neighboring county's JPO Office (within the district) handled cases from this County.



District 1: Los Alamos County

Table A-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	2,127	1,464	24	492	132		15		663
2. Juvenile Arrests	111	65	0	38	1	1	3	3	46
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	36	25	0	9	1	0	0	1	11
4. Cases Diverted	75	40	0	29	0	1	3	2	35
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	5	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table A-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	1.74	**	*	*	*	1.56
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.62	**	*	*	*	0.62
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	**	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	--	**	**	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	--	**	**	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	--	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font


*

**

—



District 1: Rio Arriba County



Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 4,386

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 1.9%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$40,232

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 19.2%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 71.3%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.8%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=238 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	56
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	16
-Probation	11
-Other Sanctions	3
-Commitment	2
-Pending Disposition	3
-Non-Adjudicated	37
-Probation	27
-Time Waiver	4
-Dismissed/Nolle	6
Pending CCA Response	24
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	230
-Assessed/Referred	166
-Informal Services	5
-No Further Action	2
-CCA Rejected	57

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Rio Arriba County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	2	6	113	1.8%	1.0%
10 - 11	5	20	350	1.4%	3.2%
12 - 13	43	162	1837	2.3%	16.6%
14 - 15	85	296	3840	2.2%	34.8%
16 - 17	103	400	4889	2.1%	44.3%
>=18*	0	1	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	238	885	11,046	2.2%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table A-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	70
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	58
Battery	44
Assault (Attempted Battery)	42
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	20
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	19
Criminal Damage to Property	18
Battery (Household Member)	17
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	10
Burglary (Dwelling House)	9
Disorderly Conduct	9
Total Number of Charges for County	496
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	64%


Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=238 Clients




District 1: Rio Arriba County

Probation Violations by Gender
N=41 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity
N=41 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Rio Arriba County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	2	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	13	29	294	4.4%	29.5%
16 - 17	26	52	531	4.9%	53.2%
>=18*	2	9	136	1.5%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	41	92	998	4.1%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	56
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	24
-Probation	13
-Other Sanctions	10
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	1
-Non-Adjudicated	31
-Probation	28
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	3
Pending CCA Response	3
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	1
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	1

Table A-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations


	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	44
Probation Violation - Special Condition	35
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	24
Probation Violation - Residence	16
Probation Violation - School/Education	16
Total Number of Charges for County	173
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	78%



District 1: Rio Arriba County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=32 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=32 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Rio Arriba County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	0	3	110	0.0%	5.6%
12 - 13	3	6	298	1.0%	15.2%
14 - 15	14	29	615	2.3%	31.5%
16 - 17	15	34	702	2.1%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	32	72	1955	1.6%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table A-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Runaway	21
Incorrigible	11
Curfew	2
County Total	34

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	34
-Assessed/Referred	31
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	2
-CCA Rejected	1



District 1: Rio Arriba County

Table A-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	4,386	272	31	3,321	14		748		4,114
2. Juvenile Arrests	404	39	2	349	1	0	5	8	365
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	198	14	2	179	1	0	0	2	184
4. Cases Diverted	206	25	0	170	0	0	5	6	181
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	108	5	1	100	0	0	0	2	103
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	112	9	0	102	1	0	0	0	103
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	95	8	0	87	0	0	0	0	87
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	79	7	0	72	0	0	0	0	72
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table A-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	0.73	*	*	**	*	0.62
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.43	*	*	**	*	1.40
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	--	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	*	**	*	*	--	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	--	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	--	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	--	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 1: Rio Arriba County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=21 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=110 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=25 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=2 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





District 1: Santa Fe County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 13,570

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 5.9%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$53,698

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 15.6%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 50.9%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 2.1%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	Santa Fe County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	3	6	113	2.7%	1.0%
10 - 11	15	20	350	4.3%	3.2%
12 - 13	106	162	1837	5.8%	16.6%
14 - 15	192	296	3840	5.0%	34.8%
16 - 17	255	400	4889	5.2%	44.3%
>=18*	1	1	6	16.7%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	572	885	11,046	5.2%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database


Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=572 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=572 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	150
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	51
-Probation	38
-Other Sanctions	3
-Commitment	10
-Pending Disposition	3
-Non-Adjudicated	96
-Probation	58
-Time Waiver	11
-Dismissed/Nolle	27
Pending CCA Response	64
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	527
-Assessed/Referred	343
-Informal Services	6
-No Further Action	23
-CCA Rejected	155


Table A-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	132
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	73
Criminal Damage to Property	68
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	64
Battery	62
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	58
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	57
Battery (Household Member)	54
Burglary (Dwelling House)	45
Larceny (\$500 to \$2,500)	41
Total Number of Charges for County	1227
Percent of Total Charges for County	53%




Probation Violations by Gender

N=51 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=51 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Santa Fe County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	2	2	32	6.3%	3.2%
14 - 15	16	29	294	5.4%	29.5%
16 - 17	26	52	531	4.9%	53.2%
>=18*	7	9	136	5.1%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	51	92	998	5.1%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	63
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	24
-Probation	17
-Other Sanctions	4
-Commitment	3
-Pending Disposition	3
-Non-Adjudicated	36
-Probation	28
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	8
Pending CCA Response	4
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	12
-Assessed/Referred	1
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	11

Table A-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations


	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	42
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	37
Probation Violation - Reporting	27
Probation Violation - School/Education	26
Probation Violation - Special Condition	26
Total Number of Charges for County	236
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	67%



District 1: Santa Fe County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=17 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=17 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Santa Fe County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	3	3	110	2.7%	5.6%
12 - 13	3	6	298	1.0%	15.2%
14 - 15	8	29	615	1.3%	31.5%
16 - 17	3	34	702	0.4%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	17	72	1955	0.9%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	17
-Assessed/Referred	16
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	1
-CCA Rejected	0

Table A-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Incorrigible	12
Runaway	3
Truancy	2
County Total	17



District 1: Santa Fe County

Table A-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	13,570	3,662	138	9,190	139		441		9,908
2. Juvenile Arrests	837	139	9	671	0	0	10	8	698
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	447	63	6	369	0	0	5	4	384
4. Cases Diverted	390	76	3	302	0	0	5	4	314
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	129	11	2	105	0	0	4	7	118
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	210	23	4	178	0	0	4	1	187
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	161	17	2	138	0	0	3	1	144
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	141	16	2	121	0	0	1	1	125
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	13	0	0	11	0	0	2	0	13
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table A-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.72	1.92	**	*	0.60	*	1.86
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.21	**	*	**	*	1.21
4. Cases Diverted	**	0.68	--	*	**	*	0.68
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	1.63	--	*	**	*	1.76
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	1.32	--	*	**	*	1.33
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	**	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	**	--	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:


Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 1: Santa Fe County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=79 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=187 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=26 Clients




Term Admissions by Referral Type


N=5 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge



District 2: Bernalillo County



Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 69,142

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 30.3%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$48,231

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 16.6%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 48.4%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 26.7%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=3128 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	1565
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	125
-Probation	106
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	19
-Pending Disposition	210
-Non-Adjudicated	1240
-Probation	536
-Time Waiver	361
-Dismissed/Nolle	343
Pending CCA Response	58
Pending PI	8
Handled Informally	2208
-Assessed/Referred	150
-Informal Services	1740
-No Further Action	102
-CCA Rejected	216

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Bernalillo County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	28	28	113	24.8%	1.0%
10 - 11	94	94	350	26.9%	3.2%
12 - 13	533	533	1837	29.0%	16.6%
14 - 15	1059	1059	3840	27.6%	34.8%
16 - 17	1411	1411	4889	28.9%	44.3%
>=18*	3	3	6	50.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	3128	3128	11,046	28.3%	

*<10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table B-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	845
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	412
Battery	324
Battery (Household Member)	294
Public Affray	271
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	251
Criminal Damage to Property	132
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	118
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	110
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	97
Total Number of Charges for County	5759
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	50%


Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=3128 Clients




District 2: Bernalillo County

Probation Violations by Gender
N=296 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity
N=296 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	385
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	193
-Probation	148
-Other Sanctions	11
-Commitment	34
-Pending Disposition	32
-Non-Adjudicated	160
-Probation	72
-Time Waiver	9
-Dismissed/Nolle	79
Pending CCA Response	2
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	9
-Assessed/Referred	1
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	8

Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Bernalillo County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	9	9	32	28.1%	3.2%
14 - 15	77	77	294	26.2%	29.5%
16 - 17	150	150	531	28.2%	53.2%
>=18*	60	60	136	44.1%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	296	296	998	29.7%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table B-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations


	N
Probation Violation - Residence	284
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	235
Probation Violation - Reporting	140
Probation Violation - Curfew	122
Probation Violation - Counseling	90
Total Number of Charges for County	1140
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	76%



District 2: Bernalillo County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=193 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=193 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Bernalillo County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	23	23	225	10.2%	11.5%
10 - 11	18	18	110	16.4%	5.6%
12 - 13	24	24	298	8.1%	15.2%
14 - 15	42	42	615	6.8%	31.5%
16 - 17	86	86	702	12.3%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	193	193	1955	9.9%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	10
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	2
-Probation	2
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	5
-Non-Adjudicated	3
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	2
-Dismissed/Nolle	1
Pending CCA Response	3
Pending PI	12
Handled Informally	172
-Assessed/Referred	22
-Informal Services	132
-No Further Action	12
-CCA Rejected	6

Table B-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Offenses by Minors	87
Truancy	66
Incorrigible	40
Runaway	4
County Total	197



District 2: Bernalillo County

Table B-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	69,142	20,053	2,144	42,167	1,670		3,108		49,089
2. Juvenile Arrests	4,442	861	206	3,032	14	1	162	166	3,581
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	2,221	387	113	1,525	1	1	93	101	1,834
4. Cases Diverted	2,201	474	92	1,488	13	0	69	65	1,727
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	905	153	39	626	1	0	61	25	752
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	1,942	328	101	1,334	1	0	87	91	1,614
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	928	160	44	646	0	0	50	28	768
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	864	148	38	602	0	0	48	28	716
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	53	9	5	37	0	0	2	0	44
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table B-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	2.24	1.67	0.20	*	1.21	*	1.70
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.22	1.12	**	*	1.28	*	1.14
4. Cases Diverted	0.66	0.80	**	*	0.61	*	0.77
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	0.87	1.04	**	*	1.66	*	1.04
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	1.05	1.03	**	*	1.10	*	1.04
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0.89	0.99	**	*	1.18	*	0.98
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	0.93	1.01	--	*	1.04	*	1.01
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	1.02	--	*	**	*	1.02
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 2: Bernalillo County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=143 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=1,808 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=114 Clients




Term Admissions by Referral Type


N=65 Clients



*Incidents occurring at a CYFD secure facility in Bernalillo County (YDDC) account for 14% (N=4 Clients) of the Admissions from Delinquent Referrals for the County.

Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





District 3: Dona Ana County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 24,828

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 10.9%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$37,223

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 25.6%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 66.4%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 18.6%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	Dona Ana County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	13	13	113	11.5%	1.0%
10 - 11	45	45	350	12.9%	3.2%
12 - 13	194	194	1837	10.6%	16.6%
14 - 15	512	512	3840	13.3%	34.8%
16 - 17	608	608	4889	12.4%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	7	7	11	63.6%	0.1%
Total	1379	1379	11,046	12.5%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=1379 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=1379 Clients




Table C-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals


	N
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	280
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	269
Battery	265
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	158
Public Affray	145
Criminal Damage to Property	119
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	110
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	82
Shoplifting \$100 to \$250	62
Battery (Household Member)	59
Total Number of Charges for County	2554
Percent of Total Charges for County	61%

FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	336
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	102
-Probation	80
-Other Sanctions	5
-Commitment	17
-Pending Disposition	53
-Non-Adjudicated	181
-Probation	152
-Time Waiver	2
-Dismissed/Nolle	27
Pending CCA Response	71
Pending PI	25
Handled Informally	1354
-Assessed/Referred	279
-Informal Services	897
-No Further Action	44
-CCA Rejected	134



Probation Violations by Gender
N=126 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity
N=126 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Dona Ana County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	6	6	32	18.8%	3.2%
14 - 15	39	39	294	13.3%	29.5%
16 - 17	68	68	531	12.8%	53.2%
>=18*	13	13	136	9.6%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	126	126	998	12.6%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	157
-Adult Sanction	2
-Adjudicated	125
-Probation	93
-Other Sanctions	13
-Commitment	19
-Pending Disposition	15
-Non-Adjudicated	15
-Probation	3
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	12
Pending CCA Response	5
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	2
-Assessed/Referred	1
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	1

Table C-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations


	N
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	99
Probation Violation - School/Education	80
Probation Violation - Residence	74
Probation Violation - Counseling	49
Probation Violation - Curfew	48
Total Number of Charges for County	518
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	68%



District 3: Dona Ana County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=327 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=327 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Dona Ana County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	35	35	225	15.6%	11.5%
10 - 11	23	23	110	20.9%	5.6%
12 - 13	45	45	298	15.1%	15.2%
14 - 15	103	103	615	16.7%	31.5%
16 - 17	120	120	702	17.1%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	1	1	1	100%	0.1%
Total	327	327	1955	16.7%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	4
Pending PI	1
Handled Informally	406
-Assessed/Referred	157
-Informal Services	210
-No Further Action	35
-CCA Rejected	4

Table C-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Runaway	252
Truancy	128
Incorrigible	41
Curfew	4
Probation Violation - Curfew	1
County Total	426



District 3: Dona Ana County

Table C-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	24,828	4,135	347	19,954	214		178		20,693
2. Juvenile Arrests	2,361	341	31	1,947	0	2	5	35	2,020
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	707	110	13	565	0	1	2	16	597
4. Cases Diverted	1,628	229	18	1,358	0	1	3	19	1,399
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	468	54	5	385	0	0	7	17	414
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	488	77	9	388	0	1	2	11	411
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	384	62	5	307	0	1	2	7	322
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	328	52	5	262	0	1	2	6	276
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	36	6	0	30	0	0	0	0	30
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table C-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.08	1.18	*	*	*	*	1.18
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.30	0.90	*	*	*	*	0.92
4. Cases Diverted	**	1.15	*	*	*	*	1.13
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	1.39	*	*	*	*	1.41
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	0.98	*	*	*	*	0.98
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	0.98	*	*	*	*	0.97
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	**	1.02	*	*	*	*	1.02
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	1.01	*	*	*	*	0.96
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:


Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —




District 3: Dona Ana County


MIP/DWI Offenses
N=152 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013
N=1,000 Cases



Probation Violation; Alcohol/Drugs
N=36 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type
N=44 Clients



*Incidents occurring at a CYFD secure facility in Dona Ana County (JPTC) account for 24% (N=5 Clients) of the Admissions from Delinquent Referrals for the County.

Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 451

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.2%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$26,152

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 32.2%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 79.8%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=21 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	9
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	5
-Probation	5
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	4
-Probation	1
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	3
Pending CCA Response	1
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	14
-Assessed/Referred	1
-Informal Services	12
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	1

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Guadalupe County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	5	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	1	5	350	0.3%	3.2%
12 - 13	6	35	1837	0.3%	16.6%
14 - 15	4	53	3840	0.1%	34.8%
16 - 17	10	107	4889	0.2%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	21	205	11,046	0.2%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table D-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Battery	7
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	5
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	4
Criminal Damage to Property	3
Aggravated Battery (Misdemeanor)	2
Assault (Unlawful Acts, Threats or Conduct)	2
Forgery - Issuing/Transferring (\$2,500 or less)	2
Interference with Public Officials or General Public	2
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	2
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	2
Total Number of Charges for County	40
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	78%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=21 Clients



District 4: Guadalupe County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=1 Client



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=1 Client



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Guadalupe County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	0	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	0	5	294	0.0%	29.5%
16 - 17	1	9	531	0.2%	53.2%
>=18*	0	1	136	0.0%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	1	15	998	0.1%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table D-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

N

Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	2
Probation Violation - Curfew	2
Probation Violation - Associates	1
Probation Violation - Residence	1
Total Number of Charges for County	6
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	100%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	1
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	1
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0



District 4: Guadalupe County

Table D-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	451	43	1	399	8				408
2. Juvenile Arrests	25	2	1	22	0	0	0	0	23
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	10	1	1	8	0	0	0	0	9
4. Cases Diverted	15	1	0	14	0	0	0	0	14
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	9	1	1	7	0	0	0	0	8
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	7	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	7	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table D-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	**	**	*	*	*	**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	**	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	--	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	--	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	*	**	--	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	--	*	*	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	*	--	--	*	*	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	--	--	*	*	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	--	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:


Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —




District 4: Guadalupe County


MIP/DWI Offenses
N=2 Clients



JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013
N=12 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs
N=1 Client




In Guadalupe County in FY13, there were
no referrals for Status Referrals.

Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge



In Guadalupe County in FY13, there were
no Term Admissions.





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 479

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.2%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$39,792

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 16.3%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 80.6%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=10 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	4
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	1
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	3
-Probation	2
-Time Waiver	1
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	6
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	5
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	1

District 4: Mora County

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Mora County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	5	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	0	5	350	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	2	35	1837	0.1%	16.6%
14 - 15	1	53	3840	<0.1%	34.8%
16 - 17	7	107	4889	0.1%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	10	205	11,046	0.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table D-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	4
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	3
Burglary (Commercial)	2
Burglary (Commercial) - Conspiracy	2
Criminal Damage to Property (Over \$1000)	2
Indecent Exposure	2
Larceny (\$250 or less)	2
Total Number of Charges for County	25
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	68%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=10 Clients



District 4: Mora County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=1 Client



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=1 Client



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Mora County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	0	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	0	5	294	0.0%	29.5%
16 - 17	1	9	531	0.2%	53.2%
>=18*	0	1	136	0.0%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	1	15	998	0.1%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	1
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	1
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0

Table D-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	1
Total Number of Charges for County	1
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	100%

In Mora County in FY13, there were no referrals for Status Referrals. In addition, there were no Probation Violations for Alcohol/Drugs, nor were there any Delinquent Referrals for MIP/DWI Offenses.



Table D-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	479	53	0	422	1		3		426
2. Juvenile Arrests	11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
4. Cases Diverted	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table D-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
4. Cases Diverted	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:


Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 4: Mora County


Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge



In Mora County in FY13, there were no Term Admissions.

In Mora County in FY13, a neighboring county’s JPO Office (within the district) handled cases from this County.





District 4: San Miguel County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 3,003

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 1.3%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$32,332


Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 26.2%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 76.9%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender N=174 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	75
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	28
-Probation	28
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	3
-Non-Adjudicated	44
-Probation	28
-Time Waiver	6
-Dismissed/Nolle	10
Pending CCA Response	2
Pending PI	1
Handled Informally	138
-Assessed/Referred	56
-Informal Services	69
-No Further Action	3
-CCA Rejected	10

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	San Miguel County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*		5	113		1.0%
10 - 11		5	350		3.2%
12 - 13		35	1837		16.6%
14 - 15		53	3840		34.8%
16 - 17		107	4889		44.3%
>=18*		0	6		0.1%
Unk.		0	11		0.1%
Total		205	11,046		


* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table G-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	39
Criminal Damage to Property	30
Public Affray	28
Battery	22
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	20
Possession of Liquor by a Minor	16
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	11
Burglary (Dwelling House)	10
Battery (Household Member)	8
Burglary (Dwelling House) - Conspiracy	8
Total Number of Charges for County	339
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	57%


Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity N=174 Clients



District 4: San Miguel County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=13 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=13 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	San Miguel County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	0	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	5	5	294	1.7%	29.5%
16 - 17	7	9	531	1.3%	53.2%
>=18*	1	1	136	0.3%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	13	15	998	1.3%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table D-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	8
Probation Violation - Reporting	3
Probation Violation - Counseling	2
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	2
Probation Violation - School/Education	2
Total Number of Charges for County	18
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	94%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	11
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	6
-Probation	6
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	5
-Probation	1
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	4
Pending CCA Response	1
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	2
-Assessed/Referred	1
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	1



District 4: San Miguel County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=3 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=3 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	San Miguel County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	0	0	110	0.0%	5.6%
12 - 13	1	1	298	0.3%	15.2%
14 - 15	1	1	615	0.2%	31.5%
16 - 17	1	1	702	0.1%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	3	3	1955	0.2%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table D-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Incorrigible	3
County Total	3

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	3
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	3
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0



District 4: San Miguel County

Table D-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	3,003	388	30	2,543	23		19		2,615
2. Juvenile Arrests	233	13	3	215	1	0	0	1	220
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	99	6	3	90	0	0	0	0	93
4. Cases Diverted	133	7	0	124	1	0	0	1	126
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	45	2	0	42	0	0	0	1	43
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	86	5	2	79	0	0	0	0	81
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	63	3	2	58	0	0	0	0	60
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	63	3	2	58	0	0	0	0	60
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table D-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	2.52	*	*	*	*	2.51
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 4: San Miguel County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=18 Clients



JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=91 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs


N=8 Clients



In San Miguel County in FY13, there were no Term Admissions.

Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





District 5: Lea County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 7,822

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 3.4%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$46,781

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 16.7%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 53.3%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 9.3%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=391 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Lea County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	3	3	113	2.7%	1.0%
10 - 11	13	13	350	3.7%	3.2%
12 - 13	80	80	1837	4.4%	16.6%
14 - 15	120	120	3840	3.1%	34.8%
16 - 17	175	175	4889	3.6%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	391	391	11,046	3.5%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=391 Clients




Table E-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	75
Battery	72
Public Affray	62
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	49
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	33
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	31
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	25
Battery (Household Member)	15
Burglary (Automobile)	11
Unlawful Taking of a Motor Vehicle	10
Total Number of Charges for County	617
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	62%


FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	190
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	76
-Probation	53
-Other Sanctions	10
-Commitment	13
-Pending Disposition	13
-Non-Adjudicated	101
-Probation	26
-Time Waiver	28
-Dismissed/Nolle	47
Pending CCA Response	17
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	270
-Assessed/Referred	10
-Informal Services	182
-No Further Action	2
-CCA Rejected	76




Probation Violations by Gender

N=38 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=38 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Lea County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	1	1	32	3.1%	3.2%
14 - 15	20	20	294	6.8%	29.5%
16 - 17	14	14	531	2.6%	53.2%
>=18*	3	3	136	2.2%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	38	38	998	3.8%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table E-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Curfew	22
Probation Violation - Residence	22
Probation Violation - Special Condition	18
Probation Violation - Reporting	11
Probation Violation - School/Education	11
Total Number of Charges for County	90
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	93%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	53
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	47
-Probation	27
-Other Sanctions	6
-Commitment	14
-Pending Disposition	2
-Non-Adjudicated	4
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	4
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	3
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	3



District 5: Lea County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=145 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=145 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Lea County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	2	2	225	0.9%	11.5%
10 - 11	4	4	110	3.6%	5.6%
12 - 13	23	23	298	7.7%	15.2%
14 - 15	54	54	615	8.8%	31.5%
16 - 17	62	62	702	8.8%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	145	145	1955	7.4%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table E-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Runaway	81
Truancy	93
County Total	174

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	174
-Assessed/Referred	87
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	86
-CCA Rejected	1



Table E-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	7,822	2,411	321	5,002	21		67		5,411
2. Juvenile Arrests	707	155	44	501	0	0	0	7	552
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	304	63	23	215	0	0	0	3	241
4. Cases Diverted	403	92	21	286	0	0	0	4	311
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	186	28	20	132	0	0	0	6	158
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	230	52	18	158	0	0	0	2	178
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	149	26	15	107	0	0	0	1	123
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	106	19	11	75	0	0	0	1	87
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	27	4	1	22	0	0	0	0	23
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table E-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	2.13	1.56	*	*	*	*	1.59
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.29	1.06	*	*	*	*	1.07
4. Cases Diverted	**	0.91	*	*	*	*	0.88
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	1.38	*	*	*	*	1.48
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	0.89	*	*	*	*	0.89
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	1.35	*	*	*	*	1.38
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:


Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 5: Lea County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=28 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=262 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=2 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=22 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 2,871

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 1.3%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$36,925

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 16.6%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 49.0%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 1.3%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=132 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	49
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	9
-Probation	8
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	4
-Non-Adjudicated	36
-Probation	25
-Time Waiver	3
-Dismissed/Nolle	8
Pending CCA Response	1
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	101
-Assessed/Referred	3
-Informal Services	93
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	5

District 6: Grant County

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Grant County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	1	3	113	0.9%	1.0%
10 - 11	8	11	350	2.3%	3.2%
12 - 13	16	36	1837	0.9%	16.6%
14 - 15	42	106	3840	1.1%	34.8%
16 - 17	65	151	4889	1.3%	44.3%
>=18*	0	1	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	132	308	11,046	1.2%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table I-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	32
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	27
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	18
Battery (Household Member)	12
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	11
Injuring/Tampering with a Motor Vehicle (Scratch/Damage/Mark Vehicle Body)	9
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	9
Battery	5
Burglary (Dwelling House)	5
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	5
Public Affray	5
Total Number of Charges for County	223
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	62%


Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=132 Clients




District 6: Grant County

Probation Violations by Gender
N=7 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity
N=7 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Grant County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	1	1	32	3.1%	3.2%
14 - 15	1	6	294	0.3%	29.5%
16 - 17	4	12	531	0.8%	53.2%
>=18*	1	5	136	0.7%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	7	24	998	0.7%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	10
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	8
-Probation	4
-Other Sanctions	1
-Commitment	3
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	2
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	2
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	1
-Assessed/Referred	1
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0


Table F-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Special Condition	6
Probation Violation - Curfew	5
Probation Violation - Residence	5
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	4
Probation Violation - School/Education	4
Total Number of Charges for County	30
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	80%




Status Referrals by Gender

N=87 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=87 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Grant County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	9	23	225	4.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	6	8	110	5.5%	5.6%
12 - 13	17	26	298	5.7%	15.2%
14 - 15	28	60	615	4.6%	31.5%
16 - 17	27	67	702	3.8%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	87	184	1955	4.5%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	1
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	1
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	96
-Assessed/Referred	45
-Informal Services	29
-No Further Action	22
-CCA Rejected	0

Table F-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	38
Incorrigible	37
Runaway	23
County Total	98



District 6: Grant County

Table F-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	2,871	993	25	1,821	9		23		1,878
2. Juvenile Arrests	259	48	3	206	0	0	1	1	211
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	59	11	0	47	0	0	0	1	48
4. Cases Diverted	200	37	3	159	0	0	1	0	163
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	46	8	0	38	0	0	0	0	38
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	53	10	0	43	0	0	0	0	43
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	43	6	0	37	0	0	0	0	37
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	38	6	0	32	0	0	0	0	32
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table F-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	2.34	*	*	*	*	2.32
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.00	*	*	*	*	0.99
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:


Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —




District 6: Grant County


MIP/DWI Offenses
N=28 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013
N=65 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs
N=3 Clients




Term Admissions by Referral Type
N=3 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition
Charge





District 6: Hidalgo County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 580

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.3%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$35,532

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 23.7%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 55.9%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.4%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	Hidalgo County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	3	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	0	11	350	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	2	36	1837	0.1%	16.6%
14 - 15	8	106	3840	0.2%	34.8%
16 - 17	19	151	4889	0.4%	44.3%
>=18*	0	1	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	29	308	11,046	0.3%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=29 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=29 Clients




Table F-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals


	N
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	14
Public Affray	6
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	4
Arson (\$250 or less)	3
Criminal Damage to Property	3
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	3
Reckless Driving	3
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	3
Aggravated DUI/DWI (.16 or Above)(1st Offense)	2
Battery	2
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	2
Total Number of Charges for County	60
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	75%

FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	16
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	5
-Probation	4
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	3
-Non-Adjudicated	8
-Probation	8
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	20
-Assessed/Referred	4
-Informal Services	11
-No Further Action	2
-CCA Rejected	3



Probation Violations by Gender
N=1 Client



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity
N=1 Client



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Hidalgo County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	1	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	0	6	294	0.0%	29.5%
16 - 17	1	12	531	0.2%	53.2%
>=18*	0	5	136	0.0%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	1	24	998	0.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table F-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Counseling	1
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	1
Probation Violation - Residence	1
Total Number of Charges for County	3
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	100%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	1
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	1
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0



District 6: Hidalgo County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=17 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=17 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Hidalgo County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	4	23	225	1.8%	11.5%
10 - 11	0	8	110	0.0%	5.6%
12 - 13	1	26	298	0.3%	15.2%
14 - 15	10	60	615	1.6%	31.5%
16 - 17	2	67	702	0.3%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	17	184	1955	0.9%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table F-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	21
Incorrigible	1
County Total	22

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	2
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	1
-Non-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	1
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	20
-Assessed/Referred	4
-Informal Services	15
-No Further Action	1
-CCA Rejected	0



District 6: Hidalgo County

Table F-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	580	184	7	386	2		1		396
2. Juvenile Arrests	59	11	0	44	0	0	0	4	48
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	21	2	0	18	0	0	0	1	19
4. Cases Diverted	38	9	0	26	0	0	0	3	29
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	9	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	18	2	0	15	0	0	0	1	16
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	15	1	0	12	0	0	0	2	14
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	14	1	0	11	0	0	0	2	13
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table F-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	1.91	*	*	*	*	2.03
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:


Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 6: Hidalgo County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=13 Clients



JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013


N=36 Cases




In Hidalgo County in FY13, there were no Probation Violations for Alcohol/Drugs.


Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=1 Client



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





District 6: Luna County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 2,964

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 1.3%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$30,921

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 30.8%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 63.2%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 3.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=147 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	82
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	36
-Probation	33
-Other Sanctions	1
-Commitment	2
-Pending Disposition	5
-Non-Adjudicated	41
-Probation	28
-Time Waiver	4
-Dismissed/Nolle	9
Pending CCA Response	4
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	95
-Assessed/Referred	14
-Informal Services	69
-No Further Action	4
-CCA Rejected	8

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Luna County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	2	3	113	1.8%	1.0%
10 - 11	3	11	350	0.9%	3.2%
12 - 13	18	36	1837	1.0%	16.6%
14 - 15	56	106	3840	1.5%	34.8%
16 - 17	67	151	4889	1.4%	44.3%
>=18*	1	1	6	16.7%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	147	308	11,046	1.3%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table F-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	34
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	32
Battery	19
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	17
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	16
Trespass	14
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	10
Burglary (Commercial)	8
Aiding Illegal Activity	7
Criminal Damage to Property	7
Total Number of Charges for County	267
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	61%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=147 Clients



District 6: Luna County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=16 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=16 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Luna County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	1	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	5	6	294	1.7%	29.5%
16 - 17	7	12	531	1.3%	53.2%
>=18*	4	5	136	2.9%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	16	24	998	1.6%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	16
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	13
-Probation	7
-Other Sanctions	4
-Commitment	2
-Pending Disposition	1
-Non-Adjudicated	2
-Probation	1
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	1
Pending CCA Response	1
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	

Table F-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations


N

Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	12
Probation Violation - School/Education	10
Probation Violation - Curfew	8
Probation Violation - Reporting	5
Probation Violation - Residence	5
Total Number of Charges for County	48
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	83%




Status Referrals by Gender

N=80 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=80 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Luna County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	10	23	225	4.4%	11.5%
10 - 11	2	8	110	1.8%	5.6%
12 - 13	8	26	298	2.7%	15.2%
14 - 15	22	60	615	3.6%	31.5%
16 - 17	38	67	702	5.4%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	80	184	1955	4.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table F-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	81
Runaway	2
County Total	83

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	82
-Assessed/Referred	9
-Informal Services	64
-No Further Action	7
-CCA Rejected	2



District 6: Luna County

Table F-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	2,964	556	25	2,361	8		14		2,408
2. Juvenile Arrests	280	32	4	238	0	0	1	5	248
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	100	12	3	83	0	0	0	2	88
4. Cases Diverted	180	20	1	155	0	0	1	3	160
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	119	11	6	97	0	0	3	2	108
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	87	11	2	72	0	0	0	2	76
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	78	9	2	63	0	0	1	3	69
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	69	8	2	55	0	0	1	3	61
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	4	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table F-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	1.75	*	*	*	*	1.79
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	0.93	*	*	*	*	0.95
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:


Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 6: Luna County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=34 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=118 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=11 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=7 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 289

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.1%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$37,857


Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 15.0%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 19.4%


Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender N=2 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity N=2 Clients



District 7: Catron County

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Catron County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	7	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	0	14	350	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	0	43	1837	0.0%	16.6%
14 - 15	0	104	3840	0.0%	34.8%
16 - 17	2	129	4889	<0.1%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	2	297	11,046	<0.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table G-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Battery	2
Fraudulent Use of a Credit Card (Receipt of Property)(\$500 to \$2,500)	1
Possession of a Stolen or Lost Credit Card	1
Use of Telephone to Harass	1
Total Number of Charges for County	5
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	100%

FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	2
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	2
-Probation	2
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	1
-Assessed/Referred	1
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0




District 7: Catron County

In Catron County in FY13, there were no referrals for
Probation Violations.

Status Referrals by Gender


N=1 Client



■ Females
■ Males
■ Unknown/Missing

Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=1 Client



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Catron County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	0	2	110	0.0%	5.6%
12 - 13	0	4	298	0.0%	15.2%
14 - 15	0	12	615	0.0%	31.5%
16 - 17	1	9	702	0.1%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	1	27	1955	0.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	1
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	1

Table G-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Runaway	1
County Total	1



District 7: Catron County

Table G-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	289	190	3	80	1		15		99
2. Juvenile Arrests	4	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4. Cases Diverted	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table G-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font


*

**

—



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge




In Catron County in FY13, there were no Probation Violations for Alcohol/Drugs, nor were there delinquent referrals for MIP/DWI Offenses.

In Catron County in FY13, a neighboring county's JPO Office (within the district) handled cases from this County.

In Catron County in FY13, there were no Term Admissions.





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 864

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.4%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$28,373

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 20.0%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 28.9%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.4%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday,

District 7: Sierra County

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	Sierra County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	2	7	113	1.8%	1.0%
10 - 11	3	14	350	0.9%	3.2%
12 - 13	9	43	1837	0.5%	16.6%
14 - 15	25	104	3840	0.7%	34.8%
16 - 17	46	129	4889	0.9%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	85	297	11,046	0.8%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=85 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=85 Clients




Table G-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	28
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	14
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	13
Dist. of Marij. / Synth. Cannab. (1st Offense)	8
Possession of Liquor by a Minor	8
Battery	7
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	7
Criminal Sexual Penetration 1st Degree (Child Under 13)	6
Burglary (Dwelling House)	5
Public Affray	5
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	5
Total Number of Charges for County	156
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	68%


FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	32
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	4
-Probation	4
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	5
-Non-Adjudicated	23
-Probation	8
-Time Waiver	7
-Dismissed/Nolle	8
Pending CCA Response	2
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	78
-Assessed/Referred	44
-Informal Services	18
-No Further Action	5
-CCA Rejected	11




Probation Violations by Gender

N=6 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=6 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Sierra County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	1	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	1	10	294	0.3%	29.5%
16 - 17	4	14	531	0.8%	53.2%
>=18*	1	1	136	0.7%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	6	26	998	0.6%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	7
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	6
-Probation	5
-Other Sanctions	1
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	1
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	1
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0

Table G-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations


	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	7
Probation Violation - Residence	4
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	3
Probation Violation - Associates	2
Probation Violation - Curfew	2
Probation Violation - Special Condition	2
Total Number of Charges for County	21
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	95%



District 7: Sierra County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=7 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=7 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Sierra County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	1	2	110	0.9%	5.6%
12 - 13	1	4	298	0.3%	15.2%
14 - 15	2	12	615	0.3%	31.5%
16 - 17	3	9	702	0.4%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	7	27	1955	0.4%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table G-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Runaway	8
Truancy	2
Incorrigible	1
County Total	11

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	9
-Assessed/Referred	6
-Informal Services	2
-No Further Action	1
-CCA Rejected	0



Table G-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	864	397	10	445			12		467
2. Juvenile Arrests	129	61	3	61	0	0	0	4	68
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	51	26	0	23	0	0	0	2	25
4. Cases Diverted	78	35	3	38	0	0	0	2	43
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	16	10	0	3	0	0	0	3	6
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	35	21	0	13	0	0	0	1	14
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	18	12	0	5	0	0	0	1	6
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	17	11	0	5	0	0	0	1	6
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table AG-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	0.89	*	*	**	*	0.95
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.88	*	*	**	*	0.86
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:


Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 7: Sierra County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=33 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=47 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=4 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=1 Client



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 1,877

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.8%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$34,148

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 27.4%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 48.9%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 1.7%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=91 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	41
-Adult Sanction	1
-Adjudicated	8
-Probation	4
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	4
-Pending Disposition	4
-Non-Adjudicated	28
-Probation	8
-Time Waiver	4
-Dismissed/Nolle	16
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	84
-Assessed/Referred	35
-Informal Services	17
-No Further Action	21
-CCA Rejected	11

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Socorro County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	4	7	113	3.5%	1.0%
10 - 11	5	14	350	1.4%	3.2%
12 - 13	13	43	1837	0.7%	16.6%
14 - 15	36	104	3840	0.9%	34.8%
16 - 17	33	129	4889	0.7%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	91	297	11,046	0.8%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table G-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Battery	27
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	24
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	20
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	8
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	7
Battery (Household Member)	6
Criminal Damage to Property	6
Criminal Sexual Contact/Minor 3rd Degree (Child Under 13)	5
Harassment	5
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	5
Total Number of Charges for County	207
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	55%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=91 Clients



District 7: Socorro County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=6 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=6 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Socorro County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	1	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	3	10	294	1.0%	29.5%
16 - 17	3	14	531	0.6%	53.2%
>=18*	0	1	136	0.0%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	6	26	998	0.6%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table G-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	5
Probation Violation - Reporting	5
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	3
Probation Violation - Counseling	3
Probation Violation - Curfew	2
Total Number of Charges for County	22
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	82%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	6
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	3
-Probation	1
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	2
-Pending Disposition	1
-Non-Adjudicated	2
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	2
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0



District 7: Socorro County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=6 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=6 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Socorro County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	0	2	110	0.0%	5.6%
12 - 13	2	4	298	0.7%	15.2%
14 - 15	1	12	615	0.2%	31.5%
16 - 17	3	9	702	0.4%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	6	27	1955	0.3%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table G-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Incorrigible	4
Runaway	1
Truancy	1
County Total	6

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	6
-Assessed/Referred	1
-Informal Services	3
-No Further Action	2
-CCA Rejected	0



District 7: Socorro County

Table G-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	1,877	451	27	1,076	16		307		1,426
2. Juvenile Arrests	137	9	1	122	0	0	4	1	128
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	55	4	1	50	0	0	0	0	51
4. Cases Diverted	82	5	0	72	0	0	4	1	77
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	26	2	0	23	0	0	0	1	24
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	44	3	1	40	0	0	0	0	41
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	20	2	0	18	0	0	0	0	18
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	13	2	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table G-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	5.68	*	*	**	*	4.50
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 7: Socorro County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=6 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=42 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=1 Client





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=4 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 1,877

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.8%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$32,435

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 25.2%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 39.8%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.8%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

District 7: Torrance County

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	Torrance County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	1	7	113	0.9%	1.0%
10 - 11	6	14	350	1.7%	3.2%
12 - 13	21	43	1837	1.1%	16.6%
14 - 15	43	104	3840	1.1%	34.8%
16 - 17	48	129	4889	1.0%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	119	297	11,046	1.1%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=119 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	26
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	6
-Probation	6
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	5
-Non-Adjudicated	15
-Probation	10
-Time Waiver	1
-Dismissed/Nolle	4
Pending CCA Response	5
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	110
-Assessed/Referred	25
-Informal Services	31
-No Further Action	32
-CCA Rejected	22

Table G-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	25
Battery	14
Larceny (\$250 or less)	13
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	12
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	12
Criminal Damage to Property	11
Interference with Public Officials or General Public	11
Disorderly Conduct	9
Burglary (Commercial)	8
Receiving Stolen Property (Receive) (\$250 or less)	7
Total Number of Charges for County	223
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	55%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=119 Clients



District 7: Torrance County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=14 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=14 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Torrance County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	1	1	32	3.1%	3.2%
14 - 15	6	10	294	2.0%	29.5%
16 - 17	7	14	531	1.3%	53.2%
>=18*	0	1	136	0.0%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	14	26	998	1.4%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	20
-Adult Sanction	1
-Adjudicated	19
-Probation	18
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0

Table G-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations


	N
Probation Violation - School/Education	45
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	33
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	10
Probation Violation - Special Condition	7
Probation Violation - Parents	4
Probation Violation - Residence	4
Total Number of Charges for County	111
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	93%



District 7: Torrance County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=13 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=13 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Torrance County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	1	2	110	0.9%	5.6%
12 - 13	1	4	298	0.3%	15.2%
14 - 15	9	12	615	1.5%	31.5%
16 - 17	2	9	702	0.3%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	13	27	1955	0.7%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table G-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	13
Runaway	1
County Total	14

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	14
-Assessed/Referred	6
-Informal Services	2
-No Further Action	6
-CCA Rejected	0



District 7: Torrance County

Table G-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	1,877	830	26	973	11		37		1,047
2. Juvenile Arrests	175	71	7	97	0	0	0	0	104
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	73	29	4	40	0	0	0	0	44
4. Cases Diverted	102	42	3	57	0	0	0	0	60
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	18	2	2	12	0	0	0	2	16
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	44	12	4	28	0	0	0	0	32
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	36	10	3	23	0	0	0	0	26
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	34	10	3	21	0	0	0	0	24
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table G-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	1.17	*	*	**	*	1.16
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.01	*	*	**	*	1.04
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	--	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:


Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 7: Torrance County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=14 Clients



JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=22 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=9 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=2 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 1,296

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.6%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$38,012

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 18.7%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 48.2%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=72 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	34
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	9
-Probation	7
-Other Sanctions	2
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	2
-Non-Adjudicated	23
-Probation	4
-Time Waiver	3
-Dismissed/Nolle	16
Pending CCA Response	6
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	54
-Assessed/Referred	12
-Informal Services	40
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	2

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Colfax County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	9	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	2	16	350	0.6%	3.2%
12 - 13	15	58	1837	0.8%	16.6%
14 - 15	23	103	3840	0.6%	34.8%
16 - 17	32	112	4889	0.7%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	1	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	72	299	11,046	0.7%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table H-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Battery	17
Criminal Damage to Property	15
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	8
Burglary (Commercial)	6
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	6
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	6
Battery (Household Member)	5
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	5
Possession of Marijuana (One Ounce or Less) (Drug Free Zone)	5
No Driver's License	4
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	4
Trespass	4
Total Number of Charges for County	144
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	59%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=72 Clients



District 8: Colfax County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=7 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=7 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Colfax County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	1	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	1	1	32	3.1%	3.2%
14 - 15	1	7	294	0.3%	29.5%
16 - 17	3	13	531	0.6%	53.2%
>=18*	2	5	136	1.5%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	7	27	998	0.7%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table H-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

Offense	N
Probation Violation - Special Condition	7
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	4
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	1
Probation Violation - Reporting	1
Probation Violation - Weapons	1
Total Number of Charges for County	14
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	100%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	2
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	2
-Probation	2
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	5
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	2
-Assessed/Referred	2
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0



District 8: Colfax County

Table H-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	1,296	508	7	745	14		22		788
2. Juvenile Arrests	103	16	0	86	0	0	0	1	87
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	47	5	0	42	0	0	0	0	42
4. Cases Diverted	56	11	0	44	0	0	0	1	45
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	34	3	0	31	0	0	0	0	31
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	36	5	0	31	0	0	0	0	31
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	15	1	0	14	0	0	0	0	14
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	13	1	0	12	0	0	0	0	12
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table H-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	3.67	**	*	**	*	3.51
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	**	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	--	*	--	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	--	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	*	**	--	*	--	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	--	*	--	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	--	*	--	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	--	--	*	--	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	--	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 8: Colfax County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=7 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=24 Cases



Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs


N=1 Client




In Colfax County in FY13, there were no referrals for Status Referrals.

In Colfax County in FY13, there were no Term Admissions.

Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 2,947

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 1.3%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$36,205

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 21.5%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 56.2%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population :
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=216 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	58
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	11
-Probation	8
-Other Sanctions	1
-Commitment	2
-Pending Disposition	10
-Non-Adjudicated	37
-Probation	24
-Time Waiver	2
-Dismissed/Nolle	11
Pending CCA Response	18
Pending PI	1
Handled Informally	197
-Assessed/Referred	33
-Informal Services	106
-No Further Action	20
-CCA Rejected	38

District 8: Taos County

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Taos County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	9	9	113	8.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	14	16	350	4.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	42	58	1837	2.3%	16.6%
14 - 15	74	103	3840	1.9%	34.8%
16 - 17	76	112	4889	1.6%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	1	1	11	9.1%	0.1%
Total	216	299	11,046	2.0%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table H-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Battery	58
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	49
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	25
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	20
Assault (Attempted Battery)	19
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	18
Possession of Marijuana (One Ounce or Less) (Drug Free Zone)	16
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (School Zone)(1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	13
Criminal Damage to Property	8
Larceny (\$250 or less)	8
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	8
Total Number of Charges for County	362
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	67%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=216 Clients



District 8: Taos County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=20 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=20 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Taos County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	1	1	5	20.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	1	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	6	7	294	2.0%	29.5%
16 - 17	10	13	531	1.9%	53.2%
>=18*	3	5	136	2.2%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	20	27	998	2.0%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table H-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Special Condition	24
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	12
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	7
Probation Violation - Parents	7
Probation Violation - Reporting	5
Total Number of Charges for County	72
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	76%


FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	22
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	9
-Probation	8
-Other Sanctions	1
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	2
-Non-Adjudicated	11
-Probation	8
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	3
Pending CCA Response	3
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	1
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	1




District 8: Taos County

Status Referrals by Gender
N=59 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity
N=59 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Taos County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	1	1	110	0.9%	5.6%
12 - 13	12	12	298	4.0%	15.2%
14 - 15	25	25	615	4.1%	31.5%
16 - 17	21	21	702	3.0%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	59	59	1955	3.0%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table H-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

Offense	N
Truancy	61
Runaway	1
County Total	62

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	1
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	1
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	61
-Assessed/Referred	10
-Informal Services	26
-No Further Action	20
-CCA Rejected	5



District 8: Taos County

Table H-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	2,947	639	25	2,079	14		190		2,308
2. Juvenile Arrests	362	52	1	293	0	0	13	3	310
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	135	15	0	110	0	0	8	2	120
4. Cases Diverted	226	37	1	182	0	0	5	1	189
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	79	13	0	63	0	0	2	1	66
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	77	9	0	61	0	0	7	0	68
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	52	6	0	42	0	0	4	0	46
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	48	5	0	40	0	0	3	0	43
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table H-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	1.73	*	*	0.84	*	1.65
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	1.30	*	*	**	*	1.34
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 8: Taos County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=50 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=154 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=7 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=2 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





District 8: Union County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 376

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.2%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$42,230

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 7.2%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 41.0%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Union County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	9	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	0	16	350	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	1	58	1837	0.1%	16.6%
14 - 15	6	103	3840	0.2%	34.8%
16 - 17	4	112	4889	0.1%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	1	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	11	299	11,046	0.1%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	3
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	1
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	2
-Probation	2
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	2
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	6
-Assessed/Referred	2
-Informal Services	4
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0


Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=11 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=11 Clients



District 8: Union County

Table H-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals	N
Battery	6
Assault (Unlawful Acts, Threats or Conduct)	2
Aggravated Battery (Misdemeanor) (Household Member)	1
Assault (Unlawful Acts, Threats or Conduct) (Household Member)	1
Criminal Sexual Contact/Minor 2nd Degree (Child Under 13)(Unclothed)	1
Criminal Sexual Penetration 1st Degree (Child Under 13)	1
False Imprisonment	1
Harassment	1
Larceny (Over \$20,000) - Conspiracy	1
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (School Zone)(1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	1
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	1
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	1
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	1
Trespass	1
Unlawful Carrying of a Deadly Weapon	1
Total Number of Charges for County	21
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	100%

In Union County in FY13, there were no referrals for Probation Violations or Status Referrals.

MIP/DWI Offenses


N=1 Client



In Union County in FY13, a neighboring county's JPO Office (within the district) handled cases from this County.

Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge

In Union County in FY13, there were no Term Admissions.



District 8: Union County

Table H-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	376	208	0	165	1		2		168
2. Juvenile Arrests	11	3	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	5	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
4. Cases Diverted	6	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table H-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	*	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font


Regular Font

*

**

—





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 5,600

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 2.5%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$40,397

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 19.7%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 39.7%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 4.2%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from
Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and
Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday,
June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=322 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	159
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	60
-Probation	50
-Other Sanctions	4
-Commitment	6
-Pending Disposition	14
-Non-Adjudicated	85
-Probation	48
-Time Waiver	10
-Dismissed/Nolle	27
Pending CCA Response	8
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	252
-Assessed/Referred	54
-Informal Services	142
-No Further Action	7
-CCA Rejected	49

District 9: Curry County

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Curry County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	1	2	113	0.9%	1.0%
10 - 11	6	8	350	1.7%	3.2%
12 - 13	46	71	1837	2.5%	16.6%
14 - 15	116	148	3840	3.0%	34.8%
16 - 17	153	188	4889	3.1%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	322	417	11,046	2.9%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table I-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	84
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	57
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	55
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	43
Criminal Damage to Property	31
Public Affray	30
Battery	25
Burglary (Automobile)	17
Concealing Identity	17
Larceny (\$250 or less)	16
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	16
Total Number of Charges for County	656
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	60%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=322 Clients



District 9: Curry County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=45 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=45 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Curry County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	2	2	32	6.3%	3.2%
14 - 15	8	12	294	2.7%	29.5%
16 - 17	34	40	531	6.4%	53.2%
>=18*	1	1	136	0.7%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	45	55	998	4.5%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table I-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	41
Probation Violation - Residence	29
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	28
Probation Violation - Special Condition	26
Probation Violation - Reporting	23
Total Number of Charges for County	234
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	63%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	46
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	32
-Probation	22
-Other Sanctions	7
-Commitment	3
-Pending Disposition	1
-Non-Adjudicated	13
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	13
Pending CCA Response	4
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	8
-Assessed/Referred	4
-Informal Services	1
-No Further Action	1
-CCA Rejected	2



District 9: Curry County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=169 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=169 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Curry County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	27	27	225	12.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	7	7	110	6.4%	5.6%
12 - 13	23	23	298	7.7%	15.2%
14 - 15	52	52	615	8.5%	31.5%
16 - 17	60	61	702	8.5%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	169	170	1955	8.6%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table I-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	187
Runaway	1
County Total	188

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	1
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	187
-Assessed/Referred	174
-Informal Services	3
-No Further Action	8
-CCA Rejected	2



District 9: Curry County

Table I-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	5,600	2,272	385	2,840	57		46		3,328
2. Juvenile Arrests	665	156	46	452	0	0	1	10	509
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	264	66	21	169	0	0	1	7	198
4. Cases Diverted	401	90	25	283	0	0	0	3	311
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	221	49	24	134	0	0	1	13	172
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	199	51	17	125	0	0	1	5	148
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	141	30	11	96	0	0	1	3	111
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	120	29	7	81	0	0	0	3	91
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	10	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	10
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table I-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.74	2.32	**	*	*	*	2.23
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.08	0.88	**	*	*	*	0.92
4. Cases Diverted	**	1.23	--	*	*	*	1.15
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	1.07	--	*	*	*	1.17
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	0.96	--	*	*	*	0.97
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	1.31	--	*	*	*	1.28
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	--	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 9: Curry County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=44 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=188 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=24 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=10 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 2,398

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 1.1%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$35,841

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 25.2%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 40.4%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 2.1%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

District 9: Roosevelt County

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	Roosevelt County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	1	2	113	0.9%	1.0%
10 - 11	2	8	350	0.6%	3.2%
12 - 13	25	71	1837	1.4%	16.6%
14 - 15	32	148	3840	0.8%	34.8%
16 - 17	35	188	4889	0.7%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	95	417	11,046	0.9%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=95 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=95 Clients




Table I-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Public Affray	22
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	22
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	9
Battery	8
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	8
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	7
Breaking and Entering	6
Criminal Damage to Property	6
Trespass	6
No Driver's License	5
Total Number of Charges for County	153
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	65%

FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	29
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	15
-Probation	14
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	2
-Non-Adjudicated	12
-Probation	7
-Time Waiver	2
-Dismissed/Nolle	3
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	82
-Assessed/Referred	3
-Informal Services	63
-No Further Action	7
-CCA Rejected	9



District 9: Roosevelt County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=10 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=10 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Roosevelt County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	2	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	4	12	294	1.4%	29.5%
16 - 17	6	40	531	1.1%	53.2%
>=18*	0	1	136	0.0%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	10	55	998	1.0%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	16
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	14
-Probation	10
-Other Sanctions	1
-Commitment	3
-Pending Disposition	1
-Non-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	1
Pending CCA Response	1
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0

Table I-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations


	N
Probation Violation - Counseling	7
Probation Violation - Reporting	4
Probation Violation - School/Education	4
Probation Violation - Special Condition	3
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	2
Probation Violation - Curfew	2
Total Number of Charges for County	24
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	92%



District 9: Roosevelt County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=1 Client



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=1 Client



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Roosevelt County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	27	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	0	7	110	0.0%	5.6%
12 - 13	0	23	298	0.0%	15.2%
14 - 15	0	52	615	0.0%	31.5%
16 - 17	1	61	702	0.1%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	1	170	1955	0.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table I-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	1
County Total	1

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	1
-Assessed/Referred	1
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0



District 9: Roosevelt County

Table I-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	2,398	1,041	43	1,281	10		23		1,357
2. Juvenile Arrests	129	37	3	88	0	0	0	1	92
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	54	12	2	40	0	0	0	0	42
4. Cases Diverted	75	25	1	48	0	0	0	1	50
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	33	6	2	25	0	0	0	0	27
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	45	10	1	34	0	0	0	0	35
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	36	9	0	27	0	0	0	0	27
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	31	7	0	24	0	0	0	0	24
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	4	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table I-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	1.93	*	*	*	*	1.91
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.40	*	*	*	*	1.41
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	**	*	*	*	*	--
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:


Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 9: Roosevelt County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=1 Client



JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=53 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=2 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=5 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 872

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.4%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$29,772

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 20.2%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 43.3%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 1.3%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from
Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and
Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday,
June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=76 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	47
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	15
-Probation	14
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	5
-Non-Adjudicated	27
-Probation	5
-Time Waiver	4
-Dismissed/Nolle	18
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	48
-Assessed/Referred	15
-Informal Services	31
-No Further Action	2
-CCA Rejected	0

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Quay County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	1	1	113	0.9%	1.0%
10 - 11	5	5	350	1.4%	3.2%
12 - 13	6	6	1837	0.3%	16.6%
14 - 15	25	29	3840	0.7%	34.8%
16 - 17	39	46	4889	0.8%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	76	87	11,046	0.7%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table J-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Criminal Damage to Property	16
Larceny (\$250 or less)	14
Eurglary (Commercial)	12
Eurglary (Commercial) - Conspiracy	11
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	11
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	11
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	9
Eurglary (Dwelling House)	7
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	7
Public Affray	6
Unlawful Carrying of a Deadly Weapon on School Premises	6
Violation of parks / recreation areas statutes / regulations	6
Total Number of Charges for County	181
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	64%


Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=76 Clients




District 10: Quay County

Probation Violations by Gender
N=5 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity
N=5 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Quay County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	1	1	5	20.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	1	1	32	3.1%	3.2%
14 - 15	2	2	294	0.7%	29.5%
16 - 17	1	2	531	0.2%	53.2%
>=18*	0	0	136	0.0%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	5	6	998	0.5%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table J-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - School/Education	11
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	6
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	6
Probation Violation - Reporting	4
Probation Violation - Associates	3
Total Number of Charges for County	35
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	86%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	6
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	5
-Probation	5
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	1
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0



District 10: Quay County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=10 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=10 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Quay County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	2	2	110	1.8%	5.6%
12 - 13	2	2	298	0.7%	15.2%
14 - 15	4	4	615	0.7%	31.5%
16 - 17	2	2	702	0.3%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	10	10	1955	0.5%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table I-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	9
Incorrigible	1
County Total	10

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	10
-Assessed/Referred	10
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0



District 10: Quay County

Table J-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	872	346	21	481	12		12		526
2. Juvenile Arrests	111	27	4	79	0	0	0	1	84
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	51	9	3	39	0	0	0	0	42
4. Cases Diverted	60	18	1	40	0	0	0	1	42
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	39	7	3	28	0	0	0	1	32
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	51	9	3	39	0	0	0	0	42
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	25	2	1	22	0	0	0	0	23
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	24	2	1	21	0	0	0	0	22
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table J-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	2.10	**	*	**	*	2.05
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	**	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	--	*	--	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	--	*	--	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	--	*	--	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	**	--	*	--	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	**	--	*	--	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 10: Quay County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=12 Clients



JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=50 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=1 Client





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=3 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





District 10: De Baca County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 199

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.1%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$33,714

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 18.2%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 39.3%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	De Baca County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	1	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	0	5	350	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	0	6	1837	0.0%	16.6%
14 - 15	4	29	3840	0.1%	34.8%
16 - 17	7	46	4889	0.1%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	11	87	11,046	0.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=11 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=11 Clients




Table J-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Burglary (Commercial)	9
Criminal Damage to Property	6
Unlawful Taking of a Motor Vehicle (1st Offense) - Conspiracy	6
Unlawful Taking of a Motor Vehicle (1st Offense)	5
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	4
Tampering with Evidence (Highest Crime - 3rd or 4th Degree Felony)	4
Possession of Controlled Substance with Intent to Distribute	3
Receiving Stolen Property (Receive) (\$250 or less)	3
Unlawful Taking of a Motor Vehicle (2nd Offense)	3
Total Number of Charges for County	69
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	62%

FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	18
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	14
-Probation	13
-Other Sanctions	1
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	4
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	4
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	11
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	5
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	6



District 10: De Baca County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=1 Client



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=1 Client



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	De Baca County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	1	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	1	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	0	2	294	0.0%	29.5%
16 - 17	1	2	531	0.2%	53.2%
>=18*	0	0	136	0.0%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	1	6	998	0.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	1
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0

Table J-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Special Condition	1
Total Number of Charges for County	1
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	100%

In De Baca County in FY13, there were no Probation Violations for Alcohol/Drugs, nor were there Delinquent Referrals for MIP/DWI Offenses.



District 10: De Baca County

Table J-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	199	92	2	104			1		107
2. Juvenile Arrests	30	1	0	29	0	0	0	0	29
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	25	1	0	24	0	0	0	0	24
4. Cases Diverted	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	19	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	19
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	15	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	15
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	14	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	14
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table J-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	--	**	*	*	*	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font


*

**

—




Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge



In De Baca County in FY13, there were no Term Admissions.

In De Baca County in FY13, a neighboring county's JPO Office (within the district) handled cases from this County.





District 10: Harding County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 52

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: <0.1%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$30,208

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 21.1%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 42.0%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Harding County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	1	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	0	5	350	0.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	0	6	1837	0.0%	16.6%
14 - 15	0	29	3840	0.0%	34.8%
16 - 17	0	46	4889	0.0%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	0	87	11,046		

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

In Harding County in FY13, there were no referrals for Delinquent Referrals, Probation Violations, or Status Referrals. There were no Term Admissions for FY13. A neighboring county (within the same district) handled cases from this County.

Table A-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	52	27	1	24					25
2. Juvenile Arrests	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Cases Diverted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013



District 10: Harding County

Table A-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
4. Cases Diverted	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font


Regular Font

*

**

—





District 11: McKinley County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 10,199

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 4.5%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$31,947

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 30.7%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 13.8%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 1.3%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	McKinley County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	7	19	113	6.2%	1.0%
10 - 11	10	38	350	2.9%	3.2%
12 - 13	75	205	1837	4.1%	16.6%
14 - 15	113	357	3840	2.9%	34.8%
16 - 17	108	409	4889	2.2%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	1	1	11	9.1%	0.1%
Total	314	1029	11,046	2.8%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=314 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=314 Clients




Table K-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	75
Battery	49
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	41
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	39
Harassment	25
Public Affray	19
Disorderly Conduct	18
Criminal Damage to Property	16
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	16
Battery (Household Member)	13
Total Number of Charges for County	523
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	59%

FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	54
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	13
-Probation	12
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	11
-Non-Adjudicated	30
-Probation	13
-Time Waiver	2
-Dismissed/Nolle	15
Pending CCA Response	17
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	301
-Assessed/Referred	8
-Informal Services	155
-No Further Action	79
-CCA Rejected	59



District 11: McKinley County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=2 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=2 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	McKinley County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	1	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	0	16	294	0.0%	29.5%
16 - 17	2	21	531	0.4%	53.2%
>=18*	0	4	136	0.0%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	2	42	998	0.2%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	2
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	1
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	1
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0

Table K-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations


	N
Probation Violation - Reporting	1
Probation Violation - Residence	1
Probation Violation - Restitution	1
Probation Violation - Special Condition	1
Total Number of Charges for County	4
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	100%



District 11: McKinley County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=2 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=2 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	McKinley County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	16	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	0	7	110	0.0%	5.6%
12 - 13	1	39	298	0.3%	15.2%
14 - 15	1	56	615	0.2%	31.5%
16 - 17	0	45	702	0.0%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	2	163	1955	0.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table K-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals		N
Truancy		2
County Total		2

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	2
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	2
-CCA Rejected	0



District 11: McKinley County

Table K-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	10,199	648	114	1,502	82		7,853		9,551
2. Juvenile Arrests	376	28	5	97	0	0	216	30	348
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	126	5	2	42	0	0	69	8	121
4. Cases Diverted	250	23	3	55	0	0	147	22	227
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	51	5	1	7	0	0	29	9	46
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	56	1	0	20	0	0	30	5	55
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	27	1	0	10	0	0	13	3	26
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	26	1	0	9	0	0	13	3	25
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table K-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	1.49	*	*	0.64	*	0.84
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	--	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 11: McKinley County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=13 Clients



JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013


N=68 Cases




In McKinley County in FY13, there were no Probation Violations for Alcohol/Drugs.


Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=3 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





District 11: San Juan County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 15,698

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 6.9%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$49,024


Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 19.7%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 19.3%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 3.8%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from
Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and
Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday,

Delinquent Referrals by Gender N=715 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	228
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	84
-Probation	76
-Other Sanctions	3
-Commitment	5
-Pending Disposition	13
-Non-Adjudicated	131
-Probation	53
-Time Waiver	31
-Dismissed/Nolle	47
Pending CCA Response	25
Pending PI	11
Handled Informally	628
-Assessed/Referred	28
-Informal Services	437
-No Further Action	94
-CCA Rejected	69

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	San Juan County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	12	19	113	10.6%	1.0%
10 - 11	28	38	350	8.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	130	205	1837	7.1%	16.6%
14 - 15	244	357	3840	6.4%	34.8%
16 - 17	301	409	4889	6.2%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	1	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	715	1029	11,046	6.5%	


* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table K-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	278
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	144
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	80
Battery	58
Criminal Damage to Property	51
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	48
Battery (Household Member)	35
Burglary (Automobile)	31
Public Affray	24
Interference with Public Officials or General Public	22
Total Number of Charges for County	1313
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	59%


Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity N=715 Clients



District 11: San Juan County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=40 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=40 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	San Juan County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	1	1	32	3.1%	3.2%
14 - 15	16	16	294	5.4%	29.5%
16 - 17	19	21	531	3.6%	53.2%
>=18*	4	4	136	2.9%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	40	42	998	4.0%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table K-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	26
Probation Violation - School/Education	20
Probation Violation - Residence	18
Probation Violation - Curfew	17
Probation Violation - Associates	15
Total Number of Charges for County	160
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	60%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	44
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	40
-Probation	30
-Other Sanctions	5
-Commitment	5
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	4
-Probation	1
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	3
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	1
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0



District 11: San Juan County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=161 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=161 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	San Juan County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	16	16	225	7.1%	11.5%
10 - 11	7	7	110	6.4%	5.6%
12 - 13	38	39	298	12.8%	15.2%
14 - 15	55	56	615	8.9%	31.5%
16 - 17	45	45	702	6.4%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	161	163	1955	8.2%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table J-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	75
Incorrigible	60
Runaway	51
Offenses by Minors	1
County Total	187

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	183
-Assessed/Referred	93
-Informal Services	19
-No Further Action	67
-CCA Rejected	4



District 11: San Juan County

Table K-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	15,698	5,247	187	3,789	47		6,428		10,451
2. Juvenile Arrests	1,120	325	8	311	0	1	439	36	795
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	360	123	1	103	0	0	121	12	237
4. Cases Diverted	748	199	7	202	0	1	316	23	549
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	265	70	1	79	0	0	96	19	195
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	268	90	1	76	0	0	91	10	178
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	178	56	1	51	0	0	64	6	122
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	160	51	1	45	0	0	57	6	109
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	10	4	0	4	0	0	2	0	6
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table K-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	0.69	1.33	*	*	1.10	*	1.23
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.88	*	*	0.73	*	0.79
4. Cases Diverted	**	1.21	*	*	1.61	*	1.43
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	1.35	*	*	1.39	*	1.45
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	1.01	*	*	1.03	*	1.03
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	1.08	*	*	1.13	*	1.10
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	**	0.97	*	*	0.98	*	0.98
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 11: San Juan County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=58 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=326 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=20 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=9 Clients



Formal Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 1,790

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 0.8%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$44,557

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 12.4%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 31.0%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

District 12: Lincoln County

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	Lincoln County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	3	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	2	13	350	0.6%	3.2%
12 - 13	12	63	1837	0.7%	16.6%
14 - 15	33	155	3840	0.9%	34.8%
16 - 17	40	183	4889	0.8%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	87	417	11,046	0.8%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=87 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=87 Clients




Table L-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	19
Battery	17
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	16
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	13
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (School Zone)(1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	9
Criminal Damage to Property	8
Public Affray	8
Assault (Unlawful Acts, Threats or Conduct)	6
Larceny (\$250 to \$500)	6
Aggravated Burglary (Armed After Entering)	4
Battery (Household Member)	4
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	4
Total Number of Charges for County	171
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	67%

FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	27
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	11
-Probation	11
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	16
-Probation	15
-Time Waiver	1
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	3
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	86
-Assessed/Referred	3
-Informal Services	69
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	14



District 12: Lincoln County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=8 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=8 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Lincoln County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	1	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	1	13	294	0.3%	29.5%
16 - 17	4	39	531	0.8%	53.2%
>=18*	3	9	136	2.2%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	8	62	998	0.8%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table L-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Special Condition	5
Probation Violation - Residence	3
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	2
Probation Violation - Curfew	2
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	2
Probation Violation - Reporting	2
Total Number of Charges for County	21
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	76%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	8
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	8
-Probation	8
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	1
-Assessed/Referred	1
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0



District 12: Lincoln County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=46 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=46 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Lincoln County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	6	7	110	5.5%	5.6%
12 - 13	11	19	298	3.7%	15.2%
14 - 15	12	36	615	2.0%	31.5%
16 - 17	17	43	702	2.4%	35.9%
>=18*	0	1	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	46	106	1955	2.4%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table K-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	48
Runaway	1
County Total	49

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	49
-Assessed/Referred	47
-Informal Services	1
-No Further Action	1
-CCA Rejected	0



District 12: Lincoln County

Table L-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	1,790	809	28	868	3		82		981
2. Juvenile Arrests	174	71	9	69	0	0	23	2	103
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	51	20	8	21	0	0	2	0	31
4. Cases Diverted	123	51	1	48	0	0	21	2	72
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	62	17	0	24	0	0	21	0	45
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	34	13	4	15	0	0	2	0	21
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	34	14	4	14	0	0	2	0	20
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	34	14	4	14	0	0	2	0	20
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table L-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	**	0.91	*	*	3.20	*	1.20
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.08	*	*	**	*	1.07
4. Cases Diverted	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	--	*	*	**	*	--
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:


Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 12: Lincoln County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=12 Clients



JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=48 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs


N=1 Client



In Lincoln County in FY13, there were no Term Admissions.

Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 6,775

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 3.0%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$38,588

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 20.8%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 35.3%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 3.4%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=330 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	137
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	51
-Probation	46
-Other Sanctions	4
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	22
-Non-Adjudicated	64
-Probation	30
-Time Waiver	12
-Dismissed/Nolle	22
Pending CCA Response	7
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	300
-Assessed/Referred	40
-Informal Services	227
-No Further Action	1
-CCA Rejected	32

District 12: Otero County

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Otero County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	3	3	113	2.7%	1.0%
10 - 11	11	13	350	3.1%	3.2%
12 - 13	51	63	1837	2.8%	16.6%
14 - 15	122	155	3840	3.2%	34.8%
16 - 17	143	183	4889	2.9%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	330	417	11,046	3.0%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table L-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	103
Battery	55
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	54
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	45
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	42
Criminal Damage to Property	39
Public Affray	32
Battery (Household Member)	23
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	16
Trespass	15
Total Number of Charges for County	640
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	66%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=330 Clients



District 12: Otero County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=54 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=54 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Otero County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	1	1	32	3.1%	3.2%
14 - 15	12	13	294	4.1%	29.5%
16 - 17	35	39	531	6.6%	53.2%
>=18*	6	9	136	4.4%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	54	62	998	5.4%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table L-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

Offense	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	65
Probation Violation - School/Education	39
Probation Violation - Special Condition	34
Probation Violation - Reporting	23
Probation Violation - Residence	17
Total Number of Charges for County	219
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	81%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	60
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	51
-Probation	41
-Other Sanctions	4
-Commitment	6
-Pending Disposition	3
-Non-Adjudicated	6
-Probation	1
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	5
Pending CCA Response	3
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	11
-Assessed/Referred	9
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	2



District 12: Otero County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=60 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=60 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Otero County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	1	7	110	0.9%	5.6%
12 - 13	8	19	298	2.7%	15.2%
14 - 15	24	36	615	3.9%	31.5%
16 - 17	26	43	702	3.7%	35.9%
>=18*	1	1	4	25.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	60	106	1955	3.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table K-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

Offense	N
Truancy	52
Incorrigible	11
Runaway	5
County Total	68

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

Disposition	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	1
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	66
-Assessed/Referred	64
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	2
-CCA Rejected	0



District 12: Otero County

Table A-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	6,775	2,557	247	3,334	94		543		4,218
2. Juvenile Arrests	585	244	34	289	1	0	11	6	341
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	241	105	15	112	0	0	5	4	136
4. Cases Diverted	344	139	19	177	1	0	6	2	205
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	137	44	7	83	0	0	1	2	93
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	196	77	13	99	0	0	4	3	119
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	133	50	9	70	0	0	1	3	83
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	118	46	8	62	0	0	1	1	72
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	7	1	0	4	0	0	0	2	6
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table A-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.39	0.90	**	*	0.21	*	0.84
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.06	0.90	**	*	**	*	0.93
4. Cases Diverted	**	1.19	--	*	**	*	1.14
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	1.77	--	*	**	*	1.63
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	1.21	--	*	**	*	1.19
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	1.09	--	*	**	*	1.07
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	**	0.96	--	*	**	*	0.94
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	--	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 12: Otero County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=91 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=196 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=24 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=8 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 3,061

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 1.3%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$36,020

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 25.9%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 37.3%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 0.4%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

District 13: Cibola County

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	Cibola County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	13	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	8	42	350	2.3%	3.2%
12 - 13	17	195	1837	0.9%	16.6%
14 - 15	31	435	3840	0.8%	34.8%
16 - 17	43	566	4889	0.9%	44.3%
>=18*	1	1	6	16.7%	0.1%
Unk.	0	2	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	100	1254	11,046	0.9%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database


Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=100 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=100 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	24
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	16
-Probation	15
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	2
-Non-Adjudicated	6
-Probation	5
-Time Waiver	1
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	8
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	79
-Assessed/Referred	51
-Informal Services	10
-No Further Action	15
-CCA Rejected	3

Table M-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

N


Battery	27
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	18
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	11
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	10
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	9
Unlawful Carrying of a Deadly Weapon on School Premises	9
Criminal Damage to Property	8
Battery (Household Member)	3
Burglary (Dwelling House)	3
Disorderly Conduct	3
Larceny (\$250 or less)	3
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	3
Total Number of Charges for County	140
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	76%



District 13: Cibola County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=21 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=21 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Cibola County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	0	3	32	0.0%	3.2%
14 - 15	2	27	294	0.7%	29.5%
16 - 17	13	65	531	2.4%	53.2%
>=18*	6	24	136	4.4%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	21	119	998	2.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	22
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	12
-Probation	8
-Other Sanctions	2
-Commitment	2
-Pending Disposition	8
-Non-Adjudicated	2
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	2
Pending CCA Response	2
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	0
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	0


Table M-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	27
Probation Violation - Special Condition	20
Probation Violation - Reporting	14
Probation Violation - Community Service	13
Probation Violation - School/Education	13
Total Number of Charges for County	118
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	74%




District 13: Cibola County

Status Referrals by Gender
N=20 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity
N=20 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Cibola County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	99	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	1	25	110	0.9%	5.6%
12 - 13	5	68	298	1.7%	15.2%
14 - 15	9	119	615	1.5%	31.5%
16 - 17	3	125	702	0.4%	35.9%
>=18*	2	3	4	50.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	20	439	1955	1.0%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table M-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	13
Runaway	9
County Total	22

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	11
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	11
-Assessed/Referred	5
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	5
-CCA Rejected	1



District 13: Cibola County

Table M-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	3,061	426	27	1,097	22		1,489		2,635
2. Juvenile Arrests	157	23	2	83	0	0	47	2	134
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	69	12	1	34	0	0	22	0	57
4. Cases Diverted	88	11	1	49	0	0	25	2	77
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	50	10	0	24	0	0	14	2	40
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	44	8	1	24	0	0	11	0	36
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	33	4	0	20	0	0	9	0	29
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	28	3	0	17	0	0	8	0	25
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table M-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	*	1.40	*	*	0.58	*	0.94
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
4. Cases Diverted	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	*	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	*	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 13: Cibola County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=13 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=54 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=13 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=1 Client



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





District 13: Sandoval County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 16,163

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 7.1%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$57,651

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 12.4%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 36.3%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 2.5%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from
Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and
Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday,
June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=709 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	210
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	11
-Probation	8
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	3
-Pending Disposition	22
-Non-Adjudicated	177
-Probation	84
-Time Waiver	45
-Dismissed/Nolle	48
Pending CCA Response	50
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	655
-Assessed/Referred	54
-Informal Services	354
-No Further Action	38
-CCA Rejected	209

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Sandoval County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	6	13	113	5.3%	1.0%
10 - 11	22	42	350	6.3%	3.2%
12 - 13	96	195	1837	5.2%	16.6%
14 - 15	234	435	3840	6.1%	34.8%
16 - 17	350	566	4889	7.2%	44.3%
>=18*	0	1	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	1	2	11	9.1%	0.1%
Total	709	1254	11,046	6.4%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table M-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	157
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	145
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	107
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	106
Disorderly Conduct	77
Battery	73
Interference with Public Officials or General Public	73
Battery (Household Member)	48
Criminal Damage to Property	47
Public Affray	33
Total Number of Charges for County	1399
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	62%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=709 Clients



District 13: Sandoval County


Probation Violation by Gender

N=57 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=57 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Sandoval County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	2	3	32	6.3%	3.2%
14 - 15	20	27	294	6.8%	29.5%
16 - 17	28	65	531	5.3%	53.2%
>=18*	7	24	136	5.1%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	57	119	998	5.7%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table M-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	35
Probation Violation - Special Condition	33
Probation Violation - Residence	28
Probation Violation - School/Education	16
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	12
Total Number of Charges for County	145
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	86%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	66
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	33
-Probation	28
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	5
-Pending Disposition	6
-Non-Adjudicated	27
-Probation	15
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	12
Pending CCA Response	5
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	4
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	4



District 13: Sandoval County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=4 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=4 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Sandoval County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	1	99	225	0.4%	11.5%
10 - 11	0	25	110	0.0%	5.6%
12 - 13	0	68	298	0.0%	15.2%
14 - 15	1	119	615	0.2%	31.5%
16 - 17	2	125	702	0.3%	35.9%
>=18*	0	3	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	4	439	1955	0.2%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table M-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

Offense	N
Runaway	4
Incorrigible	1
County Total	5

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	1
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	4
-Assessed/Referred	2
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	2



District 13: Sandoval County

Table M-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	16,163	5,884	479	7,198	266		2,336		10,279
2. Juvenile Arrests	995	306	37	532	4	1	73	42	689
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	542	152	24	307	1	0	31	27	390
4. Cases Diverted	453	154	13	225	3	1	42	15	299
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	164	41	5	98	0	0	13	7	123
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	270	69	9	168	0	0	15	9	201
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	143	39	2	92	0	0	7	3	104
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	135	38	2	86	0	0	6	3	97
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	8	1	0	6	0	0	1	0	7
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table M-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	1.49	1.42	**	*	0.60	*	1.29
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	1.31	1.16	**	*	0.85	*	1.14
4. Cases Diverted	**	0.72	**	*	1.34	*	0.76
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	1.18	**	*	1.55	*	1.17
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	1.21	**	*	1.07	*	1.14
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	0.97	--	*	**	*	0.92
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	**	0.96	--	*	**	*	0.96
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	--	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	--	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 13: Sandoval County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=143 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=310 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=24 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=6 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





District 13: Valencia County

Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 9,248

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 4.1%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$41,388

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 21.1%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 58.8%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 3.0%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	Valencia County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	7	13	113	6.2%	1.0%
10 - 11	12	42	350	3.4%	3.2%
12 - 13	82	195	1837	4.5%	16.6%
14 - 15	170	435	3840	4.4%	34.8%
16 - 17	173	566	4889	3.5%	44.3%
>=18*	0	1	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	1	2	11	9.1%	0.1%
Total	445	1254	11,046	4.0%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=445 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=445 Clients




Table M-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Battery	82
Public Affray	77
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	76
Criminal Damage to Property	45
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	40
Disorderly Conduct	36
Possession of Marijuana (One Ounce or Less) (1st Offense)	35
Assault (Unlawful Acts, Threats or Conduct)	34
Possession of Marijuana (One Ounce or Less) (Drug Free Zone)	28
Shoplifting (\$100 or Less)	24
Total Number of Charges for County	835
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	57%

FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	150
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	14
-Probation	13
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	1
-Pending Disposition	44
-Non-Adjudicated	92
-Probation	49
-Time Waiver	22
-Dismissed/Nolle	21
Pending CCA Response	37
Pending PI	2
Handled Informally	361
-Assessed/Referred	39
-Informal Services	237
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	85



District 13: Valencia County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=41 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=41 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Valencia County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	0	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	1	3	32	3.1%	3.2%
14 - 15	5	27	294	1.7%	29.5%
16 - 17	24	65	531	4.5%	53.2%
>=18*	11	24	136	8.1%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	41	119	998	4.1%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table M-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

Offense	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	59
Probation Violation - Curfew	18
Probation Violation - Special Condition	18
Probation Violation - Residence	16
Probation Violation - School/Education	12
Total Number of Charges for County	162
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	76%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	46
-Adult Sanction	1
-Adjudicated	25
-Probation	17
-Other Sanctions	3
-Commitment	5
-Pending Disposition	1
-Non-Adjudicated	19
-Probation	13
-Time Waiver	2
-Dismissed/Nolle	4
Pending CCA Response	3
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	1
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	1



District 13: Valencia County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=415 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=415 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Valencia County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	98	99	225	43.6%	11.5%
10 - 11	24	25	110	21.8%	5.6%
12 - 13	63	68	298	21.1%	15.2%
14 - 15	109	119	615	17.7%	31.5%
16 - 17	120	125	702	17.1%	35.9%
>=18*	1	3	4	25.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	415	439	1955	21.2%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table M-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	413
Runaway	3
County Total	416

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	40
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	39
-Non-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	1
Pending CCA Response	5
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	371
-Assessed/Referred	8
-Informal Services	345
-No Further Action	5
-CCA Rejected	13



District 13: Valencia County

Table M-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	9,248	2,356	118	6,414	61		299		6,892
2. Juvenile Arrests	1,016	250	12	717	1	0	17	19	766
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	360	95	3	244	0	0	8	10	265
4. Cases Diverted	654	154	9	472	1	0	9	9	500
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	142	24	1	116	0	0	1	0	118
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	232	60	1	160	0	0	5	6	172
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	102	26	1	71	0	0	3	1	76
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	92	25	1	62	0	0	3	1	67
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	6	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table M-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	0.96	1.05	*	*	0.54	*	1.05
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	0.90	*	*	**	*	0.91
4. Cases Diverted	**	1.19	*	*	**	*	1.16
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	1.88	*	*	**	*	1.76
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	1.04	*	*	**	*	1.03
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	1.02	*	*	**	*	1.02
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	**	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:


Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 13: Valencia County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=38 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=375 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=24 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=7 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 7,989

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 3.5%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$37,293

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 20.3%


Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 53.3%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 7.6%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from
Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and
Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday,
June 27, 2013.

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=536 Clients



FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	135
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	50
-Probation	40
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	10
-Pending Disposition	7
-Non-Adjudicated	78
-Probation	51
-Time Waiver	7
-Dismissed/Nolle	20
Pending CCA Response	4
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	554
-Assessed/Referred	20
-Informal Services	422
-No Further Action	5
-CCA Rejected	107

District 14: Chaves County

Delinquent Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Chaves County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	1	113	0.0%	1.0%
10 - 11	14	26	350	4.0%	3.2%
12 - 13	80	156	1837	4.4%	16.6%
14 - 15	193	363	3840	5.0%	34.8%
16 - 17	249	404	4889	5.1%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	536	950	11,046	4.9%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.


Source: FACTS Database

Table N-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	109
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	95
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	88
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	87
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	85
Public Affray	72
Battery	36
Concealing Identity	29
Criminal Damage to Property	19
Disorderly Conduct	18
Total Number of Charges for County	911
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	70%

Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity


N=536 Clients



District 14: Chaves County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=39 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=39 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Chaves County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	2	2	5	40.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	1	4	32	3.1%	3.2%
14 - 15	18	31	294	6.1%	29.5%
16 - 17	18	32	531	3.4%	53.2%
>=18*	0	1	136	0.0%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	39	70	998	3.9%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table N-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations

	N
Probation Violation - Special Condition	23
Probation Violation - Reporting	21
Probation Violation - School/Education	17
Probation Violation - General Behavior (Law)	16
Probation Violation - Residence	15
Total Number of Charges for County	143
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	64%

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	42
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	34
-Probation	26
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	8
-Pending Disposition	3
-Non-Adjudicated	5
-Probation	3
-Time Waiver	1
-Dismissed/Nolle	1
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	2
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	2



District 14: Chaves County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=16 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=16 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Chaves County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	3	3	110	2.7%	5.6%
12 - 13	3	6	298	1.0%	15.2%
14 - 15	2	22	615	0.3%	31.5%
16 - 17	8	26	702	1.1%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	16	57	1955	0.8%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table N-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Truancy	11
Runaway	5
County Total	16

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	16
-Assessed/Referred	11
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	5
-CCA Rejected	0



District 14: Chaves County

Table N-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	7,989	2,478	152	5,234	60		65		5,511
2. Juvenile Arrests	753	133	17	596	0	0	3	4	620
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	285	42	4	234	0	0	2	3	243
4. Cases Diverted	468	91	13	362	0	0	1	1	377
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	134	25	4	100	0	0	2	3	109
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	172	26	4	139	0	0	1	2	146
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	138	19	4	114	0	0	1	0	119
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	120	16	2	101	0	0	1	0	104
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	18	3	2	13	0	0	0	0	15
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table N-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	2.08	2.12	*	*	*	*	2.10
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.24	*	*	*	*	1.24
4. Cases Diverted	**	0.71	*	*	*	*	0.72
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	0.72	*	*	*	*	0.75
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	0.96	*	*	*	*	0.97
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	**	**	*	*	*	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	*	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:

Results that are not statistically significant:

Group is less than 1% of the youth population:

Insufficient number of cases for analysis:

Missing data for some element of calculation:

Bold Font

Regular Font

*

**


—



District 14: Chaves County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=100 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=128 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=11 Clients





Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=18 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge





Quick Facts

2012 Estimated Census population
10-17 years old : 6,339

Percent of the state's total children
10-17 years old: 2.8%

Median Household Income 2007-2011: \$47,725

Persons below poverty level, percent, 2007-2011: 12.8%

Percent of County Identifying as Hispanic: 45.1%

Percent of NM Juvenile Justice Committed Population : 4.2%
(as of June 30, 2013)

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: State and County QuickFacts. Data derived from Population Estimates, American Community Survey, Census of Population and Housing, State and County Housing Unit Estimates Release on Thursday, June 27, 2013.

District 14: Eddy County

Delinquent Referrals by Age


Age (Years)	Eddy County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	1	1	113	0.9%	1.0%
10 - 11	12	26	350	3.4%	3.2%
12 - 13	76	156	1837	4.1%	16.6%
14 - 15	170	363	3840	4.4%	34.8%
16 - 17	155	404	4889	3.2%	44.3%
>=18*	0	0	6	0.0%	0.1%
Unk.	0	0	11	0.0%	0.1%
Total	414	950	11,046	3.7%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Delinquent Referrals by Gender

N=414 Clients



Delinquent Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=414 Clients




Table N-1: Top 10 Offenses for Delinquent Referrals

	N
Public Affray	100
Battery	52
Use or Possession of Drug Paraphernalia	51
Possession of Alcoholic Beverages by a Minor	50
Minor Bought, Received, Possessed, or Allowed Themselves to be Served Alcohol	36
Resisting, Evading or Obstructing an Officer	33
Poss. of Marij. or Synth. Cannab. (1 oz or Less)(1st Off)	31
Shoplifting (\$250 or less)	31
Criminal Damage to Property	28
Battery (Household Member)	23
Total Number of Charges for County	768
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 10)	57%

FY13 Dispositions for Delinquent Referrals


	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	98
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	54
-Probation	38
-Other Sanctions	10
-Commitment	6
-Pending Disposition	9
-Non-Adjudicated	35
-Probation	28
-Time Waiver	2
-Dismissed/Nolle	5
Pending CCA Response	9
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	439
-Assessed/Referred	45
-Informal Services	271
-No Further Action	19
-CCA Rejected	104



District 14: Eddy County


Probation Violations by Gender

N=31 Clients



Probation Violations by Race/Ethnicity

N=31 Clients



Probation Violations by Age

Age (Years)	Eddy County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
10 - 11	0	2	5	0.0%	0.5%
12 - 13	3	4	32	9.4%	3.2%
14 - 15	13	31	294	4.4%	29.5%
16 - 17	14	32	531	2.6%	53.2%
>=18*	1	1	136	0.7%	13.6%
Unk.	0	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Total	31	70	998	3.1%	

* < 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

FY13 Dispositions for Probation Violations

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	34
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	33
-Probation	18
-Other Sanctions	11
-Commitment	4
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	1
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	1
Pending CCA Response	1
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	1
-Assessed/Referred	0
-Informal Services	0
-No Further Action	0
-CCA Rejected	1

Table N-2: Top 5 Offenses for Probation Violations


	N
Probation Violation - Alcohol/Drugs	47
Probation Violation - Special Condition	37
Probation Violation - Curfew	27
Probation Violation - Reporting	22
Probation Violation - Residence	21
Total Number of Charges for County	196
Percent of Total Charges for County (Top 5)	79%



District 14: Eddy County


Status Referrals by Gender

N=41 Clients



Status Referrals by Race/Ethnicity

N=41 Clients



Status Referrals by Age

Age (Years)	Eddy County	District Total	State Total	County % of Statewide Total for Age Range	Total of Age Range as % of State Total
<10*	0	0	225	0.0%	11.5%
10 - 11	0	3	110	0.0%	5.6%
12 - 13	3	6	298	1.0%	15.2%
14 - 15	20	22	615	3.3%	31.5%
16 - 17	18	26	702	2.6%	35.9%
>=18*	0	0	4	0.0%	0.2%
Unk.	0	0	1	0.0%	0.1%
Total	41	57	1955	2.1%	

*< 10 includes 5-9 year olds; >=18 includes 18-21 year olds.

Source: FACTS Database

Table N-3: Top Offenses for Status Referrals

	N
Runaway	49
County Total	49

FY13 Dispositions for Status Referrals

	Number of Referrals
Handled Formally	0
-Adult Sanction	0
-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Other Sanctions	0
-Commitment	0
-Pending Disposition	0
-Non-Adjudicated	0
-Probation	0
-Time Waiver	0
-Dismissed/Nolle	0
Pending CCA Response	0
Pending PI	0
Handled Informally	49
-Assessed/Referred	29
-Informal Services	9
-No Further Action	9
-CCA Rejected	2



District 14: Eddy County

Table N-1: DMC RRI Counts for All Referrals

	Total Youth	Non-Hispanic White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
1. Population at Risk (age 10-17 years)	6,339	2,580	87	3,577	30		65		3,759
2. Juvenile Arrests	631	210	7	409	0	2	0	3	421
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	246	79	2	162	0	1	0	2	167
4. Cases Diverted	385	131	5	247	0	1	0	1	254
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	103	37	0	63	0	0	0	3	66
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	128	47	0	79	0	0	0	2	81
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	115	43	0	71	0	0	0	1	72
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	84	30	0	53	0	0	0	1	54
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	10	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately		Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Table N-2: DMC RRI Rates for All Referrals

	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian	Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islanders	American Indian or Alaska Native	Other/ Mixed	All Minorities
2. Juvenile Arrests	0.99	1.40	*	*	**	*	1.38
3. Refer to Juvenile Court	**	1.05	*	*	**	*	1.05
4. Cases Diverted	**	0.92	*	*	--	*	0.92
5. Cases Involving Secure Detention	**	0.83	*	*	--	*	0.84
6. Cases Petitioned (Charge Filed)	**	0.82	*	*	--	*	0.82
7. Cases Resulting in Delinquent Findings	--	0.98	*	*	--	*	0.97
8. Cases Resulting in Probation Placement	--	1.07	*	*	--	*	1.08
9. Cases Resulting in Confinement in Secure Juvenile Correctional Facilities	--	**	*	*	--	*	**
10. Cases Transferred to Adult Court	--	--	*	*	--	*	--
Meets 1% rule for group to be analyzed separately	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	

Reporting for July 2012-June 2013

Key:

Statistically significant results:
 Results that are not statistically significant:
 Group is less than 1% of the youth population:
 Insufficient number of cases for analysis:
 Missing data for some element of calculation:


Bold Font
 Regular Font
 *
 **
 —



District 14: Eddy County


MIP/DWI Offenses

N=49 Clients




JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013

N=157 Cases




Probation Violation: Alcohol/Drugs

N=14 Clients



Term Admissions by Referral Type

N=10 Clients



Formal Case Processing Time by Petition Charge




Table O-1: Total Referrals for FY13

District	County	Total Referrals	Delinquent Referrals	Number of Clients	Probation Violations	Number of Clients	Status Referrals	Number of Clients
1	Los Alamos	111	87	75	0	0	24	23
1	Rio Arriba	404	310	238	60	41	34	32
1	Santa Fe	837	741	572	79	51	17	17
2	Bernalillo	4442	3849	3128	396	296	197	193
3	Dona Ana	2361	1786	1379	164	126	411	327
4	Guadalupe	25	24	21	1	1	0	0
4	Mora	11	10	10	1	1	0	0
4	San Miguel	233	216	174	14	13	3	3
5	Lea	707	477	391	56	38	174	145
6	Grant	259	151	132	11	7	97	87
6	Hidalgo	59	36	29	1	1	22	17
6	Luna	280	181	147	17	16	82	80
7	Catron	4	3	2	0	0	1	1
7	Sierra	129	112	85	8	6	9	7
7	Socorro	137	125	91	6	6	6	6
7	Torrance	175	141	119	20	14	14	13
8	Colfax	103	94	72	9	7	0	0
8	Taos	362	274	216	26	20	62	59
8	Union	11	11	11	0	0	0	0
9	Curry	665	419	322	58	45	188	169
9	Roosevelt	129	111	95	17	10	1	1
10	Quay	111	95	76	6	5	10	10
10	De Baca	30	29	11	1	1	0	0
10	Harding	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	McKinley	376	372	314	2	2	2	2
11	San Juan	1120	892	715	45	40	183	161
12	Lincoln	174	116	87	9	8	49	146
12	Otero	585	444	330	74	54	67	60
13	Cibola	157	111	100	24	21	22	20
13	Sandoval	995	915	709	75	57	5	4
13	Valencia	1016	550	445	50	41	416	415
14	Chaves	753	693	536	44	39	16	16
14	Eddy	631	546	414	36	31	49	41
	Total	17392	13921	11046	1310	998	2161	1955



Appendix O

Table O-2: JPO Caseload on June 30, 2013 (All Counties)

District	County	Pre-Disposition	Monitoring			Supervising					Total
			Informal Supervision	Informal Conditions	Time Waiver	Conditional Release	ICJ Parole	ICJ Probation	Supervised Release	Probation	
1	Rio Arriba	64	0	0	1	10	0	2	0	33	110
1	Santa Fe	110	1	0	4	7	0	0	0	65	187
2	Bernalillo	667	9	275	203	93	0	6	32	523	1808
3	Dona Ana	163	23	476	2	12	0	3	6	315	1000
4	Guadalupe	1	4	1	0	1	0	1	0	4	12
4	San Miguel	22	6	8	2	12	0	1	0	40	91
5	Lea	142	6	25	20	2	1	1	2	63	262
6	Grant	15	7	20	0	0	0	0	0	23	65
6	Hidalgo	6	5	16	0	0	0	0	0	9	36
6	Luna	23	11	47	0	0	0	0	0	37	118
7	Sierra	15	6	9	5	2	0	0	0	10	47
7	Socorro	17	4	4	3	2	0	0	0	12	42
7	Torrance	9	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	10	22
8	Colfax	11	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	24
8	Taos	48	9	30	10	4	0	1	3	49	154
9	Curry	61	5	29	2	4	0	4	3	80	188
9	Roosevelt	11	1	23	1	0	0	0	0	17	53
10	Quay	11	0	10	4	0	0	2	0	23	50
11	McKinley	30	3	30	0	2	0	0	0	3	68
11	San Juan	145	19	16	11	22	0	4	0	109	326
12	Lincoln	7	4	6	2	3	0	1	0	25	48
12	Otero	23	1	68	12	14	0	1	2	75	196
13	Cibola	14	0	1	2	9	0	0	0	28	54
13	Sandoval	112	15	62	23	8	0	1	1	88	310
13	Valencia	175	0	94	11	23	0	2	1	69	375
14	Chaves	32	2	24	2	1	0	4	4	59	128
14	Eddy	54	1	16	1	0	0	2	7	76	157
	Total	1988	145	1293	321	232	1	36	61	1854	5931



Table O-3: Detention Center Releases by Referral County (All Counties)

District	County	FY11	FY12	FY13	Total
1	Los Alamos	9	5	4	18
1	Rio Arriba	123	107	99	329
1	Santa Fe	143	172	126	441
2	Bernalillo	1028	1032	923	2983
3	Dona Ana	514	632	455	1601
4	Guadalupe	2	4	2	8
4	San Miguel	31	44	41	116
4	Mora	4	6	3	13
5	Lea	167	174	168	509
6	Grant	66	82	43	191
6	Hidalgo	33	15	8	56
6	Luna	121	169	117	407
7	Catron	0	0	1	1
7	Sierra	22	19	13	54
7	Socorro	28	30	26	84
7	Torrance	16	21	21	58
8	Colfax	21	16	35	72
8	Taos	62	81	80	223
8	Union	8	3	5	16
9	Curry	243	212	215	670
9	Roosevelt	44	52	32	128
10	Quay	21	15	35	71
10	De Baca	2	2	8	12
10	Harding	0	0	0	0
11	McKinley	104	65	51	220
11	San Juan	272	281	271	824
12	Lincoln	44	50	48	142
12	Otero	147	139	129	415
13	Cibola	50	42	45	137
13	Sandoval	162	162	155	479
13	Valencia	160	144	136	440
14	Chaves	151	186	131	468
14	Eddy	115	86	94	295
	Total	3,913	4,048	3,520	11,481



Appendix O

Table O-4: Detention Center ALOS by Referral County (All Counties)

District	County	FY11	FY12	FY13	3-Yr Average
1	Los Alamos	6.7	7.8	5.3	6.4
1	Rio Arriba	10.0	9.3	14.1	11.0
1	Santa Fe	20.2	11.1	18.4	16.2
2	Bernalillo	20.3	18.8	22.1	20.3
3	Dona Ana	10.7	10.6	17.8	12.7
4	Guadalupe	20.5	12.5	14.0	14.9
4	San Miguel	31.0	8.9	11.2	15.6
4	Mora	18.5	5.8	21.0	13.2
5	Lea	27.4	23.5	28.8	26.6
6	Grant	10.0	14.9	7.4	11.5
6	Hidalgo	6.7	18.7	27.6	12.9
6	Luna	13.8	12.0	11.5	12.4
7	Catron	0.0	0.0	23.0	23.0
7	Sierra	25.8	22.9	34.6	26.9
7	Socorro	18.8	25.9	28.2	24.3
7	Torrance	20.9	13.5	31.4	22.0
8	Colfax	23.4	20.2	16.1	19.1
8	Taos	19.6	11.9	18.8	16.5
8	Union	25.3	2.0	16.8	18.3
9	Curry	13.9	17.5	17.7	16.3
9	Roosevelt	33.3	27.8	16.9	27.0
10	Quay	19.5	18.0	16.2	17.5
10	De Baca	86.5	6.0	37.1	40.2
10	Harding	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	McKinley	17.5	16.2	19.9	17.7
11	San Juan	18.3	18.0	16.4	17.6
12	Lincoln	16.1	14.8	9.1	13.3
12	Otero	13.7	21.1	12.6	15.8
13	Cibola	29.4	23.0	20.2	24.4
13	Sandoval	14.3	12.4	13.7	13.5
13	Valencia	22.2	22.8	18.8	21.3
14	Chaves	29.0	28.6	25.4	27.9
14	Eddy	31.7	28.0	23.3	27.9
	3 Yr. Avg.	18.5	17.1	19.1	18.2

