State of New Mexico
Children Youth and Families Department

360 Annual Report State Fiscal year 2019

cyfd
Children Youth & Families Department

WELCOME TO 360 YEARLY

About 360 YEARLY

360 YEARLY is published yearly to provide CYFD management, staff, and external partners with data on:

- Reports of child abuse and neglect
- Investigations of child abuse and neglect
- Substantiated cases
- Permanency plans for youth in custody
- Plans for reunifications
- Number of youth in foster care

These data can be used to inform New Mexicans of trends in a particular area and where support may be needed. Data can also indicate positive outcomes in an aspect of the system in a particular county or region. 360 YEARLY is a tool that helps bring data to inform the prevention and treatment process.

About the Data

Data for the County Profiles is prepared through the collaborative efforts of the Data Evaluation Unit and FACTS Unit within the Research, Assessment and Data Bureau and Information Technology Services, with the assistance and guidance of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration For Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children's Bureau.

About Protective Services

Protective Services strives to enhance the safety, permanency and well-being of children and families in New Mexico. Protective Services workers investigate reports of child maltreatment and intervene to keep New Mexico's children safe. We provide foster care to thousands of children and work with families to enable parents to safely care for their children. When that cannot be accomplished, Protective Services workers find safe, permanent families for children through adoption or permanent guardianship. Protective Services also works with youth emancipating from the foster care system to assist them in successfully transitioning into adulthood.

PS Director's Office

Annamarie Luna, Acting Director
Karla Young, Field Deputy Director
Sarah Blackwell, Field Deputy Director (Bernalillo)
Annamarie Luna, Program Deputy Director
Valerie Sandoval, Administrative Deputy Director

Santa Fe, New Mexico
(505) 827-8400 www.cyfd.org

About the Regional Offices

CYFD is divided into five regions, each one with their own central staff. The Regional Offices are responsible for ensuring that the counties they oversee are supported effectively.

Regional Managers

NW Region 1
Jennifer Archuleta-Earp (505) 771-5917

NE Region 2
Joy Weathers (505) 425-9335

Metro Region 3
Michelle Threadgill (505) 419-7372

SE Region 4
Virginia Villarreal (575) 624-6071

SW Region 5
Cecilia Rosales (575) 373-6410

Reaching CYFD PS Staff

To contact state or local CYFD staff please refer to the following link:
http://cyfd.org/pef intenet_phone_directory.pdf

Data Unit: William Teasdale, Data Evaluation Unit Manager

CONTENTS

REGIONS



Note: Map is a graphic representation and does not show precise county boundaries.

STATEWIDE SUMMARY

A SNAPSHOT OF OUR CHILDREN

All of New Mexico's children are our priority. We work to make sure that every child is safe from abuse and neglect. We also work to strengthen families so that they are safe, healthy and resilient.

For children in CYFD care, we track their progress through our FACTS data system. This report focuses on children in CYFD care and their parents and guardians.

The pages to follow will focus on the levels of reports of abuse and neglect, the unsubstantiated and substantiated investigations, permanency planning, and children in care awaiting adoption.

360 YEARLY is published to support our entire workforce, including external and internal partners, in viewing trends and progress made toward measurable objectives in each county office. The report is designed to be used by many populations:

- CYFD Workforce
- Community Partners
- Policymakers
- General public interested in child welfare and the safety of our children

TRENDS & COMPARISONS

Learning from Trends

360 YEARLY provides all New Mexico residents with data to better understand the status of child abuse and neglect, as well as the response from Protective Services. The data illustrate trends over time to provide a way to view progress and to assess challenges.

Comparing and Contrasting

The report also breaks the data down by regions so that the reader may compare and contrast the data between regions and compare regions to state.

The goals are to generate dialogue, assess the needs of counties and regions, and learn from each region.

Using this Guide

The RAD (Research, Assessment and Data) Bureau designs this publication to be used by community partners and in county office progress meetings so that every office staff member knows how local work is progressing and what form of continuous quality improvement can address challenges.

Intake and Investigations

- CPS Reports of Abuse and Neglect
- CPS Investigations
- CPS Allegations

Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 1. Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect, July 2018-June 2019:

This chart illustrates the total number of accepted (screened-in) and not-accepted (screened-out) reports of abuse and neglect in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Notes:

1. An Unknown county report is a report that did not contain a zip code at the time the report was entered into the Family And Child Tracking System (FACTS).
2. Accepted Reports are comprised of reports of alleged child maltreatment with sufficient basis to receive a subsequent investigation by CYFD staff.
3. Not-Accepted Reports are comprised of reports of alleged child maltreatment with insufficient basis to receive a subsequent investigation by CYFD staff and may include duplicate reports.

	Accepted Reports		Not Accepted Reports		Total Reports Received	
County	N	% of County	N	% of County	N	% of State Total
Bernalillo	6,757	52.00%	6,238	48.00%	12,995	34.75%
Catron	23	58.97%	16	41.03%	39	0.10%
Chaves	709	58.55%	502	41.45%	1,211	3.24%
Cibola	250	52.85%	223	47.15%	473	1.26%
Colfax	127	52.05%	117	47.95%	244	0.65%
Curry	525	54.12%	445	45.88%	970	2.59%
De Baca	19	55.88%	15	44.12%	34	0.09%
Dona Ana	2,377	53.62%	2,056	46.38%	4,433	11.85%
Eddy	579	62.26%	351	37.74%	930	2.49%
Grant	351	57.07%	264	42.93%	615	1.64%
Guadalupe	65	65.00%	35	35.00%	100	0.27%
Harding	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	5	0.01%
Hidalgo	61	56.48%	47	43.52%	108	0.29%
Lea	583	59.37%	399	40.63%	982	2.63%
Lincoln	157	54.33%	132	45.67%	289	0.77%
Los Alamos	60	54.05%	51	45.95%	111	0.30%
Luna	306	59.88%	205	40.12%	511	1.37%
McKinley	422	44.10%	535	55.90%	957	2.56%
Mora	37	56.92%	28	43.08%	65	0.17%
Otero	535	55.04%	437	44.96%	972	2.60%
Quay	101	65.16%	54	34.84%	155	0.41%
Rio Arriba	399	54.36%	335	45.64%	734	1.96%
Roosevelt	157	61.09%	100	38.91%	257	0.69%
San Juan	1,196	54.24%	1,009	45.76%	2,205	5.90%
San Miguel	314	55.67%	250	44.33%	564	1.51%
Sandoval	1,107	53.95%	945	46.05%	2,052	5.49%
Santa Fe	979	50.44%	962	49.56%	1,941	5.19%
Sierra	145	65.61%	76	34.39%	221	0.59%
Socorro	207	56.56%	159	43.44%	366	0.98%
Taos	390	52.77%	349	47.23%	739	1.98%
Torrance	176	57.52%	130	42.48%	306	0.82%
Union	32	44.44%	40	55.56%	72	0.19%
Unknown	91	22.81%	308	77.19%	399	1.07%
Valencia	793	58.92%	553	41.08%	1,346	3.60%
State Totals	20,034	53.57%	17,367	46.43%	37,401	100.00%

Figure 1.

Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 1. Total Reports: This graph illustrates the total number of reports of abuse and neglect from FY12 through FY19 in NM. Source Sm01a10

Figure 2. Accepted Reports/Screened-In: This graph illustrates the total number of accepted reports from FY12 through FY19 in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Note: A screening determination on an incoming report is made by State Central Intake (SCI). Once accepted, the PS report is assigned to the appropriate county office for investigation.

Figure 3. Not-Accepted/Screened-Out: This graph illustrates the total number of not accepted reports from FY12 through FY19 in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Note: Reasons for non-acceptance of a report may include:

- No specific allegation/risk of abuse/neglect,
- Insufficient information to investigate,
- Referral to another agency,
- Does not meet sufficiency screening criteria,
- Perpetrator is non-caretaker/out-of-home,
- Referral to law enforcement, and/or Duplicate reports.







Investigations

Figure 1. Investigations July 2018-June 2019: This table illustrates the number of accepted reports, substantiated, and unsubstantiated investigations, and child victims. The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Notes:

1. Not all "accepted reports" result in investigations that are completed during the same period in which the corresponding report was accepted; therefore, completed investigations will <u>not</u> match the number of accepted reports in any given year.
2. A victim's county of residence may be different from the county in which the investigation was completed. Some county offices cover more than one county. Therefore, victim rates and investigation completed may not appear to match in some smaller counties.

	Investigations						SFY 19 Unique Victims	Victim Rate per 1000
County	Total Completed Investigations	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		County % of State Total Investigations		
Bernalillo	6,234	1,854	29.7%	4,380	70.3%	43.7%	2,137	12.0
Catron	2	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	43.7%	2,137	0.0
Chaves	785	278	35.4%	507	64.6%	0.0%	0	17.4
Cibola	267	90	33.7%	177	66.3%	5.5%	362	15.5
Colfax	142	52	36.6%	90	63.4%	1.9%	117	23.8
Curry	507	127	25.0%	380	75.0%	1.0%	75	12.1
De Baca	19	8	42.1%	11	57.9%	3.6%	184	24.9
Dona Ana	2,407	587	24.4%	1,820	75.6%	0.1%	12	12.3
Eddy	682	146	21.4%	536	78.6%	16.9%	790	10.5
Grant	398	152	38.2%	246	61.8%	4.8%	164	21.2
Guadalupe	54	20	37.0%	34	63.0%	2.8%	153	23.2
Harding	4	2	0.0%	2	0.0%	0.4%	26	38.8
Hidalgo	62	19	30.6%	43	69.4%	0.0%	4	17.2
Lea	644	147	22.8%	497	77.2%	0.4%	24	10.6
Lincoln	206	78	37.9%	128	62.1%	4.5%	222	23.8
Los Alamos	71	14	19.7%	57	80.3%	1.4%	102	5.2
Luna	366	129	35.2%	237	64.8%	0.5%	24	21.4
McKinley	447	185	41.4%	262	58.6%	2.6%	158	9.8
Mora	32	12	0.0%	20	0.0%	3.1%	246	15.7
Otero	780	209	26.8%	571	73.2%	0.2%	18	14.3
Quay	102	37	36.3%	65	63.7%	5.5%	253	24.6
Rio Arriba	386	167	43.3%	219	56.7%	0.7%	54	21.3
Roosevelt	170	33	19.4%	137	80.6%	2.7%	235	7.5
San Juan	1,248	389	31.2%	859	68.8%	1.2%	47	12.5
San Miguel	371	117	31.5%	254	68.5%	8.7%	522	21.8
Sandoval	1,146	191	16.7%	955	83.3%	2.6%	166	5.9
Santa Fe	1,057	299	28.3%	758	71.7%	8.0%	228	10.3
Sierra	198	52	26.3%	146	73.7%	7.4%	345	24.0
Socorro	273	110	40.3%	163	59.7%	1.4%	51	28.3
Taos	434	151	34.8%	283	65.2%	1.9%	142	27.6
Torrance	196	61	31.1%	135	68.9%	3.0%	206	19.9
Union	43	21	48.8%	22	51.2%	1.4%	87	24.2
Valencia	786	233	29.6%	553	70.4%	0.3%	25	14.0
State Totals	**20,519**	**5,970**	**48.8%**	**14,549**	**51.2%**	**5.5%**	**9,316**	**21.5**

Investigations Trends

Figure 1. Protective Services Investigations FY 2012-2019: The graph illustrates the number of investigations result (substantiated and unsubstantiated) from FY12 through FY19. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Protective Services Investigations Percentages FY 2012-2019: The graph illustrates the number of investigations result (substantiated and unsubstantiated) displayed as percentage from FY12 through FY19. Source: Sm06a01c

"Substantiated" in a child abuse and/or neglect investigation means the victim(s) is under the age of 18, a parent/caretaker has been identified as the perpetrator and/or identified as failing to protect, and credible evidence exists to support the conclusion by the investigation worker that the child has been abused and/or neglected as defined by the New Mexico Children's Code.

"*Unsubstantiated*" means that the information collected during the investigation does not support a finding that the child was abused and/or neglected as defined by the New Mexico Children's Code.





Allegation Types by County July 2018-June 2019

Figure 1.	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegations				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		
County	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**Total**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**Total**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**Total**	**N**	**%**	**N**	**%**	**Total**
Bernalillo W	754	19.8%	3052	80.2%	3806	88	24.5%	271	75.5%	359	2675	28.0%	6870	72.0%	9545	3517	25.7%	10193	74.3%	13710
Catron	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	2	n/a	2	0	n/a	2	n/a	2
Chaves	83	20.1%	329	79.9%	412	5	11.6%	38	88.4%	43	427	31.5%	927	68.5%	1354	515	28.5%	1294	71.5%	1809
Cibola	32	22.2%	112	77.8%	144	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	8	112	25.8%	322	74.2%	434	147	25.1%	439	74.9%	586
Colfax	36	36.0%	64	64.0%	100	0	0.0%	8	100.0%	8	78	26.5%	216	73.5%	294	114	28.4%	288	71.6%	402
Curry	46	14.6%	268	85.4%	314	5	20.0%	20	80.0%	25	179	21.7%	644	78.3%	823	230	19.8%	932	80.2%	1162
De Baca	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	7	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	16	53.3%	14	46.7%	30	17	45.9%	20	54.1%	37
Dona Ana	244	16.9%	1202	83.1%	1446	31	19.5%	128	80.5%	159	802	22.0%	2848	78.0%	3650	1077	20.5%	4178	79.5%	5255
Eddy	41	10.6%	347	89.4%	388	10	19.2%	42	80.8%	52	201	18.4%	893	81.6%	1094	252	16.4%	1282	83.6%	1534
Grant	41	18.8%	177	81.2%	218	1	6.7%	14	93.3%	15	217	29.8%	512	70.2%	729	259	26.9%	703	73.1%	962
Guadalupe	16	34.0%	31	66.0%	47	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	28	26.2%	79	73.8%	107	44	28.4%	111	71.6%	155
Harding	1	n/a	2	n/a	3	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	0	n/a	6	n/a	6	1	n/a	8	n/a	9
Hidalgo	14	42.4%	19	57.6%	33	1	n/a	2	n/a	3	29	27.1%	78	72.9%	107	44	30.8%	99	69.2%	143
Lea	67	16.8%	333	83.3%	400	11	20.8%	42	79.2%	53	282	25.9%	808	74.1%	1090	360	23.3%	1183	76.7%	1543
Lincoln	38	28.1%	97	71.9%	135	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	8	125	34.2%	240	65.8%	365	166	32.7%	342	67.3%	508
Los Alamos	10	18.2%	45	81.8%	55	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3	19	17.6%	89	82.4%	108	30	18.1%	136	81.9%	166
Luna	44	20.7%	169	79.3%	213	7	28.0%	18	72.0%	25	175	30.2%	404	69.8%	579	226	27.7%	591	72.3%	817
McKinley	91	33.8%	178	66.2%	269	3	18.8%	13	81.3%	16	287	37.6%	476	62.4%	763	381	36.4%	667	63.6%	1048
Mora	7	n/a	10	n/a	17	0	n/a	4	n/a	4	19	n/a	45	n/a	64	26	n/a	59	n/a	85
Otero	114	25.4%	334	74.6%	448	10	21.3%	37	78.7%	47	210	19.4%	874	80.6%	1084	334	21.2%	1245	78.8%	1579
Quay	10	13.3%	65	86.7%	75	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	61	38.6%	97	61.4%	158	72	30.4%	165	69.6%	237
Rio Arriba	83	33.9%	162	66.1%	245	5	20.8%	19	79.2%	24	314	39.4%	483	60.6%	797	402	37.7%	664	62.3%	1066
Roosevelt	22	19.1%	93	80.9%	115	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	11	40	13.6%	254	86.4%	294	63	15.0%	357	85.0%	420
San Juan	169	20.9%	641	79.1%	810	11	15.5%	60	84.5%	71	571	26.8%	1556	73.2%	2127	751	25.0%	2257	75.0%	3008
San Miguel	84	37.2%	142	62.8%	226	1	5.6%	17	94.4%	18	196	30.2%	454	69.8%	650	281	31.4%	613	68.6%	894
Sandoval	71	9.3%	689	90.7%	760	4	8.5%	43	91.5%	47	255	14.6%	1497	85.4%	1752	330	12.9%	2229	87.1%	2559
Santa Fe	118	18.5%	520	81.5%	638	6	9.2%	59	90.8%	65	436	27.6%	1146	72.4%	1582	560	24.5%	1725	75.5%	2285
Sierra	24	19.5%	99	80.5%	123	2	10.5%	17	89.5%	19	73	21.5%	267	78.5%	340	99	20.5%	383	79.5%	482
Socorro	40	27.0%	108	73.0%	148	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	8	201	36.9%	343	63.1%	544	244	34.9%	456	65.1%	700
Taos	47	15.6%	255	84.4%	302	2	15.4%	11	84.6%	13	260	32.7%	534	67.3%	794	309	27.9%	800	72.1%	1109
Torrance	30	21.4%	110	78.6%	140	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100	29.1%	244	70.9%	344	132	26.9%	358	73.1%	490
Union	12	52.2%	11	47.8%	23	0	n/a	0	n/a	0	24	33.3%	48	66.7%	72	36	37.9%	59	62.1%	95
Valencia	162	32.8%	332	67.2%	494	9	22.0%	32	78.0%	41	330	24.7%	1007	75.3%	1337	501	26.8%	1371	73.2%	1872
State Totals	**2552**	**20.3%**	**10002**	**79.7%**	**12554**	**226**	**19.6%**	**930**	**2188.9%**	**1156**	**8742**	**26.5%**	**24277**	**73.5%**	**33019**	**11520**	**24.7%**	**35209**	**75.3%**	**46729**

Allegation Types

(Previous table)

Figure 1. Allegation Types by County July 2018-June 2019: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) broken out by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county.
Source: Sm06a01c

(Graphs on this page)

Figure 2. Total Allegations: This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect), from FY12 to FY19. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 3. Unsubstantiated Allegations: This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect). Data is only shown for unsubstantiated allegations. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 4. Substantiated Allegations: This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect). Data is only shown for substantiated allegations. Source: Sm06a01c







Permanency Outcomes

- Foster Care
- Reunification
- Re-entry
- Placement Stability
- Adoptions & Emancipations
- Emancipations

Children in Foster Care

Figure 1. Children in Care by Month FY15-FY19: This chart shows children in care by month from FY14-FY17. Note: The colors correspond with the fiscal years noted on the lower left. Source: ROM

Figure 2. Children in Care by Type of Placement: This table illustrates the number of children in care by type of placement from FY15 through FY19. Source: ROM



	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
SFY 15	2,334	2360	2358	2377	2329	2323	2342	2344	2325	2320	2335	2379
SFY 16	2,432	2442	2464	2468	2432	2441	2451	2487	2488	2500	2521	2511
SFY 17	2522	2539	2587	2589	2617	2622	2611	2622	2626	2676	2677	2661
SFY 18	2599	2615	2617	2629	2620	2588	2553	2567	2567	2556	2535	2531
SFY 19	2515	2514	2493	2517	2477	2432	2396	2387	2410	2402	2370	2362

FIGURE 2. PLACEMENT TYPES OF CHILDREN IN CARE AT THE END OF SFY2019

	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19
Foster Care	1430	1479	1457	1399	1342
Foster Care Relative	468	513	653	586	539
Trial Home Visit	159	157	180	165	139
Pre-Adoptive	107	105	113	99	81
Group Home	53	62	66	67	51
Facility	92	108	107	131	118
Supervised Independent Living	9	9	3	7	4
Runaway	32	41	44	45	48
Guardianship (Relative)	0	0	0	0	0
Community Home	15	14	11	9	16
Detention	0	0	0	5	3
Protective Supervision	0	0	0	0	0
Other	14	20	27	18	21
Total	**2379**	**2508**	**2661**	**2531**	**2362**

Reunification

Figure 1. Percent of children reunified with their natural families in less than 12 months of entry into care: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop and utilize program strategies which identify factors which contribute to the timely and appropriate Permanency of Children

Re-entry

Figure 2. Percent of re-entering foster care in less than 12 months: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop and utilize program strategies which identify factors which contribute to the timely and appropriate Permanency of Children without increasing reentry into foster care.

Placement Stability

Figure 3. Percent of children in foster care for up to 12 months with no more than two placement settings: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop, upgrade and utilize program strategies that identify and address factors that contribute to the stability of children in out-of-home placements.



Figure 1. Permanency within 12 months of those children who entered care 12 months ago



Figure 2. Percent of children who did not reenter foster care within 12 months of discharge



Figure 3. Placement Moves per 1,000 days in foster care (rate)

Adoptions & Emancipations

Figure 1. Finalized Adoptions: Trends: This line chart shows the number of children with adoptions finalized during the last seven fiscal years. Source: sm16a07

Figure 2. Finalized Adoptions by Age Groups: Trends: This line chart and accompanying table display the number of children with adoptions finalized by age group. Source: Sm16a07

Figure 3. Youth Aging Out of Foster Care: Trends: This line chart shows the number of youth emancipating from foster care at age 18. Young adults emancipating from foster care are provided with independent living skills and transitional services both before and after emancipation. Source: ROM





Figure 2. Finalized Adoptions By Age Groups



	SFY 2011, N=362	SFY 2012, N=326	SFY 2013, N=294	SFY 2014, N=278	SFY 2015, N=334	SFY 2016, N=312	SFY 2017, N=332	SFY 2018, N=311	SFY2019, N=362
Age 0-1	37	33	28	34	35	26	29	17	21
Age 2-5	118	128	117	119	120	110	139	113	149
Age 6-9	94	80	73	64	102	87	86	100	77
Age 10-13	79	60	51	36	51	60	52	49	75
Age 14-17	34	25	25	25	26	29	26	32	40

State of New Mexico
Children Youth and Families Department

360 Annual Report State Fiscal year 2019