



360 YEARLY

State Fiscal Year 2015

New Mexico

**Children, Youth and
Families Department**

Protective Services



WELCOME TO 360 YEARLY

About 360 YEARLY

360 YEARLY is published yearly to provide CYFD management, staff, and external partners with data on:

- Reports of child abuse and neglect
- Investigations of child abuse and neglect
- Substantiated cases
- Permanency plans for youth in custody
- Plans for reunifications
- Number of youth in foster care

These data can be used to inform New Mexicans of trends in a particular area and where support may be needed. Data can also indicate positive outcomes in an aspect of the system in a particular county or region. 360 YEARLY is a tool that helps bring data to inform the prevention and treatment process.

About the Data

Data for the County Profiles is prepared through the collaborative efforts of the Data Evaluation Unit and FACTS Unit within the Research, Assessment and Data Bureau and Information Technology Services, with the assistance and guidance of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration For Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children's Bureau.

About Protective Services

Protective Services strives to enhance the safety, permanency and well-being of children and families in New Mexico. Protective Services workers investigate reports of child maltreatment and intervene to keep New Mexico's children safe. We provide foster care to thousands of children and work with families to enable parents to safely care for their children. When that cannot be accomplished, Protective Services workers find safe, permanent families for children through adoption or permanent guardianship. Protective Services also works with youth emancipating from the foster care system to assist them in successfully transitioning into adulthood.

PS Director's Office

Jared Rounsville, Director
Vacant, Clinical Deputy Director
Annamarie Luna, Program Deputy Director
Yvette Sandoval, Administrative Deputy Director

Santa Fe, New Mexico
(505) 827-8400 www.cyfd.org

About the Regional Offices

CYFD is divided into five regions, each one with their own central staff. The Regional Offices are responsible for ensuring that the counties they oversee are supported effectively.

Regional Managers

NW Region 1
Bart Sandoval (505) 865-4634

NE Region 2
Joy Weathers (505) 425-9335

Metro Region 3
Delphine Trujillo (505) 841-7800

SE Region 4
Virginia Villarreal (575) 624-6071

SW Region 5
Kasandra Gandara (575) 373-6410

Reaching CYFD PS Staff

To contact state or local CYFD staff please refer to the following link:
http://cyfd.org/pef_internet_phone_directory.pdf

Data: John C. Barela, Dawn Garcia, Sarah Meadows


CONTENTS

SAFETY.....5

PERMANENCY.....12

MAPPED DATA16

REGIONS



Note: Map is a graphic representation and does not show precise county boundaries.

STATEWIDE SUMMARY

A SNAPSHOT OF OUR CHILDREN

All of New Mexico's children are our priority. We work to make sure that every child is safe from abuse and neglect. We also work to strengthen families so that they are safe, healthy and resilient.

For children in CYFD care, we track their progress through our FACTS data system. This report focuses on children in CYFD care and their parents and guardians.

The pages to follow will focus on the levels of reports of abuse and neglect, the unsubstantiated and substantiated investigations, permanency planning, and children in care awaiting adoption.

360 YEARLY is published to support our entire workforce, including external and internal partners, in viewing trends and progress made toward measurable objectives in each county office. The report is designed to be used by many populations:

- CYFD Workforce
- Community Partners
- Policymakers
- General public interested in child welfare and the safety of our children

TRENDS & COMPARISONS

Learning from Trends

360 YEARLY provides all New Mexico residents with data to better understand the status of child abuse and neglect, as well as the response from Protective Services. The data illustrate trends over time to provide a way to view progress and to assess challenges.

Comparing and Contrasting

The report also breaks the data down by regions so that the reader may compare and contrast the data between regions and compare regions to state.

The goals are to generate dialogue, assess the needs of counties and regions, and learn from each region.

Using this Guide

The RAD (Research, Assessment and Data) Bureau designs this publication to be used by community partners and in county office progress meetings so that every office staff member knows how local work is progressing and what form of continuous quality improvement can address challenges.

SAFETY

**CPS Reports of Abuse
and Neglect**

CPS Allegations

CPS Investigations



Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 1. Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect-July 2014-June 2015: This chart illustrates the total number of accepted (screened-in) and not-accepted (screened-out) reports of abuse and neglect in NM. Source: Sm01a10

County	Accepted Reports ²		Not Accepted Reports ³		Total Reports Received	
	#	% of County	#	% of County	#	% of State Total
Bernalillo	6,630	51.6%	6,217	48.4%	12,847	33.3%
Catron	35	50.7%	34	49.3%	69	0.2%
Chaves	752	58.9%	524	41.1%	1,276	3.3%
Cibola	186	47.4%	206	52.6%	392	1.0%
Colfax	172	56.4%	133	43.6%	305	0.8%
Curry	573	59.4%	391	40.6%	964	2.5%
De Baca	25	53.2%	22	46.8%	47	0.1%
Doña Ana	2,400	52.3%	2,191	47.7%	4,591	11.9%
Eddy	675	57.1%	508	42.9%	1,183	3.1%
Grant	451	57.7%	330	42.3%	781	2.0%
Guadalupe	76	54.3%	64	45.7%	140	0.4%
Harding	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	7	0.0%
Hidalgo	60	45.8%	71	54.2%	131	0.3%
Lea	618	60.9%	397	39.1%	1,015	2.6%
Lincoln	173	53.1%	153	46.9%	326	0.8%
Los Alamos	65	48.9%	68	51.1%	133	0.3%
Luna	302	56.4%	233	43.6%	535	1.4%
McKinley	480	43.2%	631	56.8%	1,111	2.9%
Mora	32	48.5%	34	51.5%	66	0.2%
Otero	589	51.7%	550	48.3%	1,139	2.9%
Quay	160	60.2%	106	39.8%	266	0.7%
Rio Arriba	413	52.9%	367	47.1%	780	2.0%
Roosevelt	160	55.9%	126	44.1%	286	0.7%
San Juan	1,115	53.8%	959	46.2%	2,074	5.4%
San Miguel	338	48.9%	353	51.1%	691	1.8%
Sandoval	981	52.3%	893	47.7%	1,874	4.9%
Santa Fe	998	49.2%	1,031	50.8%	2,029	5.3%
Sierra	182	58.0%	132	42.0%	314	0.8%
Socorro	253	58.0%	183	42.0%	436	1.1%
Taos	336	49.9%	338	50.1%	674	1.7%
Torrance	187	55.7%	149	44.3%	336	0.9%
Union	32	53.3%	28	46.7%	60	0.2%
Unknown ¹	41	12.1%	299	87.9%	340	0.9%
Valencia	719	51.1%	687	48.9%	1,406	3.6%
State Totals	20,210	52.3%	18,414	47.7%	38,624	100.00%

Notes:

1. An Unknown county report is a report that did not contain a zip code at the time the report was entered into the Family And Child Tracking System (FACTS).
2. Accepted Reports are comprised of reports of alleged child maltreatment with sufficient basis to receive a subsequent investigation by CYFD staff.
3. Not-Accepted Reports are comprised of reports of alleged child maltreatment with insufficient basis to receive a subsequent investigation by CYFD staff and may include duplicate reports.

Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 1. Total Reports: This graph illustrates the total number of reports of abuse and neglect from FY09 through FY15 in NM.

Source Sm01a10

Figure 2. Accepted Reports/Screened-In: This graph illustrates the total number of accepted reports from FY09 through FY15 in NM.

Source: Sm01a10




Note: A screening determination on an incoming report is made by State Central Intake (SCI). Once accepted, the PS report is assigned to the appropriate county office for investigation.

Figure 3. Not-Accepted/Screened-Out: This graph illustrates the total number of not accepted reports from FY09 through FY15 in NM.

Source: Sm01a10

Note: Reasons for non-acceptance of a report may include:

- No specific allegation/risk of abuse/neglect,
- Insufficient information to investigate,
- Referral to another agency,
- Does not meet sufficiency screening criteria,
- Perpetrator is non-caretaker/out-of-home,
- Referral to law enforcement, and/or
- Duplicate reports.



Investigations

Figure 1. Investigations July 2014-June 2015: This table illustrates the number of accepted reports, substantiated, and unsubstantiated investigations, and child victims. The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Notes:

1. Not all “accepted reports” result in investigations that are completed during the same period in which the corresponding report was accepted; therefore, completed investigations will **not** match the number of accepted reports in any given year.

County	Accepted Reports	Investigations						Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
		Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	County % of State Total Investigations		
		#	%	#	%	#			
Bernalillo	6,630	2,356	30.6%	5,355	69.4%	7,711	35.2%	3,779	21.2
Catron	35	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Chaves	752	206	25.6%	600	74.4%	806	3.7%	361	17.4
Cibola	186	62	34.3%	119	65.7%	181	0.8%	105	13.9
Colfax	172	75	44.1%	95	55.9%	170	0.8%	118	37.4
Curry	573	201	33.9%	392	66.1%	593	2.7%	361	23.8
De Baca	25	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	9	0.0%	5	10.4
Dona Ana	2,400	448	19.4%	1,857	80.6%	2,305	10.5%	844	13.1
Eddy	675	120	18.3%	535	81.7%	655	3.0%	209	13.4
Grant	451	105	21.5%	384	78.5%	489	2.2%	170	23.6
Guadalupe	76	12	48.0%	13	52.0%	25	0.1%	24	21.4
Harding	1	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Hidalgo	60	3	23.1%	10	76.9%	13	0.1%	5	3.6
Lea	618	197	29.2%	477	70.8%	674	3.1%	329	15.7
Lincoln	173	59	33.5%	117	66.5%	176	0.8%	102	23.8
Los Alamos	65	4	23.5%	13	76.5%	17	0.1%	5	1.1
Luna	302	93	26.6%	256	73.4%	349	1.6%	144	19.5
McKinley	480	290	36.9%	496	63.1%	786	3.6%	524	20.9
Mora	32	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Otero	589	157	20.2%	619	79.8%	776	3.5%	278	15.7
Quay	160	37	22.8%	125	77.2%	162	0.7%	49	22.3
Rio Arriba	413	140	34.6%	265	65.4%	405	1.8%	228	20.7
Roosevelt	160	45	30.2%	104	69.8%	149	0.7%	69	11.0
San Juan	1,115	321	26.5%	892	73.5%	1,213	5.5%	597	14.4
San Miguel	338	181	47.3%	202	52.7%	383	1.7%	334	43.9
Sandoval	981	248	21.7%	896	78.3%	1,144	5.2%	416	10.8
Santa Fe	998	300	30.7%	676	69.3%	976	4.5%	500	15.0
Sierra	182	54	31.2%	119	68.8%	173	0.8%	80	37.6
Socorro	253	76	29.7%	180	70.3%	256	1.2%	134	26.7
Taos	336	109	33.3%	218	66.7%	327	1.5%	185	24.8
Torrance	187	64	31.8%	137	68.2%	201	0.9%	123	28.1
Union	32	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	8	0.0%	5	4.8
Unknown	41	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Valencia	719	309	39.6%	471	60.4%	780	3.6%	581	25.9
State Totals	20,210	6,276	28.6%	15,636	71.4%	21,912	100.0%	10,664	18.4



Investigations Trends

Figure 1. Protective Services Investigations FY 2009-2015: The graph illustrates the number of investigations result (substantiated and unsubstantiated) from FY09 through FY15. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Protective Services Investigations Percentages FY 2009-2015: The graph illustrates the number of investigations result (substantiated and unsubstantiated) displayed as percentage from FY09 through FY15. Source: Sm06a01c

“Substantiated” in a child abuse and/or neglect investigation means the victim(s) is under the age of 18, a parent/caretaker has been identified as the perpetrator and/or identified as failing to protect, and credible evidence exists to support the conclusion by the investigation worker that the child has been abused and/or neglected as defined by the New Mexico Children’s Code.

“Unsubstantiated” means that the information collected during the investigation does not support a finding that the child was abused and/or neglected as defined by the New Mexico Children’s Code.



Allegation Types by County July 2014-June 2015

Figure 1. County	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total
	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%	
Bernalillo E	512	20.7%	1,963	79.3%	2,475	39	25.7%	113	74.3%	152	1,503	24.9%	4,545	75.1%	6,048	2,054	23.7%	6,621	76.3%	8,675
Bernalillo W	594	20.5%	2,303	79.5%	2,897	28	14.1%	171	85.9%	199	1,697	25.6%	4,936	74.4%	6,633	2,319	23.8%	7,410	76.2%	9,729
Catron	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Chaves	32	6.7%	445	93.3%	477	11	30.6%	25	69.4%	36	340	23.1%	1,131	76.9%	1,471	383	19.3%	1,601	80.7%	1,984
Cibola	32	26.4%	89	73.6%	121	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	5	98	27.1%	263	72.9%	361	130	26.7%	357	73.3%	487
Colfax	38	42.7%	51	57.3%	89	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4	130	37.9%	213	62.1%	343	171	39.2%	265	60.8%	436
Curry	70	18.3%	312	81.7%	382	8	21.6%	29	78.4%	37	292	27.3%	777	72.7%	1,069	370	24.9%	1,118	75.1%	1,488
De Baca	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	2	12.5%	14	87.5%	16	3	12.0%	22	88.0%	25
Doña Ana	144	9.3%	1,412	90.7%	1,556	23	18.0%	105	82.0%	128	618	16.8%	3,053	83.2%	3,671	785	14.7%	4,570	85.3%	5,355
Eddy	24	6.0%	377	94.0%	401	9	29.0%	22	71.0%	31	223	18.6%	977	81.4%	1,200	256	15.7%	1,376	84.3%	1,632
Grant	55	18.0%	251	82.0%	306	3	14.3%	18	85.7%	21	137	15.7%	733	84.3%	870	195	16.3%	1,002	83.7%	1,197
Guadalupe	10	47.6%	11	52.4%	21	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4	13	31.0%	29	69.0%	42	23	34.3%	44	65.7%	67
Harding	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Hidalgo	0	0.0%	9	100.0%	9	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	5	21.7%	18	78.3%	23	5	15.6%	27	84.4%	32
Lea	63	14.5%	371	85.5%	434	12	42.9%	16	57.1%	28	326	25.6%	948	74.4%	1,274	401	23.1%	1,335	76.9%	1,736
Lincoln	15	13.9%	93	86.1%	108	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	11	88	29.6%	209	70.4%	297	105	25.2%	311	74.8%	416
Los Alamos	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	10	40.0%	15	60.0%	25	12	33.3%	24	66.7%	36
Luna	35	15.7%	188	84.3%	223	2	10.5%	17	89.5%	19	125	21.7%	450	78.3%	575	162	19.8%	655	80.2%	817
McKinley	128	25.5%	373	74.5%	501	8	27.6%	21	72.4%	29	457	33.3%	916	66.7%	1,373	593	31.2%	1,310	68.8%	1,903
Mora	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Otero	50	9.7%	468	90.3%	518	5	11.6%	38	88.4%	43	204	15.1%	1,146	84.9%	1,350	259	13.6%	1,652	86.4%	1,911
Quay	25	20.7%	96	79.3%	121	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	11	50	20.3%	197	79.8%	247	80	21.1%	299	78.9%	379
Rio Arriba	74	27.0%	200	73.0%	274	3	18.8%	13	81.3%	16	285	32.3%	598	67.7%	883	362	30.9%	811	69.1%	1,173
Roosevelt	19	17.4%	90	82.6%	109	1	8.3%	11	91.7%	12	68	22.7%	231	77.3%	299	88	21.0%	332	79.0%	420
San Juan	154	16.9%	759	83.1%	913	18	23.1%	60	76.9%	78	421	19.1%	1,782	80.9%	2,203	593	18.6%	2,601	81.4%	3,194
San Miguel	106	40.0%	159	60.0%	265	4	22.2%	14	77.8%	18	275	36.2%	484	63.8%	759	385	36.9%	657	63.1%	1,042
Sandoval	149	16.4%	760	83.6%	909	10	13.9%	62	86.1%	72	270	15.0%	1,534	85.0%	1,804	429	15.4%	2,356	84.6%	2,785
Santa Fe	118	18.4%	525	81.6%	643	11	19.6%	45	80.4%	56	438	26.9%	1,193	73.1%	1,631	567	24.3%	1,763	75.7%	2,330
Sierra	21	20.8%	80	79.2%	101	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	88	26.0%	250	74.0%	338	112	24.9%	337	75.1%	449
Socorro	27	17.1%	131	82.9%	158	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	11	139	25.8%	399	74.2%	538	167	23.6%	540	76.4%	707
Taos	18	8.7%	188	91.3%	206	1	7.1%	13	92.9%	14	174	27.0%	470	73.0%	644	193	22.3%	671	77.7%	864
Torrance	42	25.9%	120	74.1%	162	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	10	106	26.8%	290	73.2%	396	148	26.1%	420	73.9%	568
Union	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	3	21.4%	11	78.6%	14	3	18.8%	13	81.3%	16
Valencia	151	29.0%	369	71.0%	520	14	24.1%	44	75.9%	58	598	38.4%	961	61.6%	1,559	763	35.7%	1,374	64.3%	2,137
State Totals	2,709	18.2%	12,211	81.8%	14,920	224	20.1%	890	79.9%	1,114	9,183	24.2%	28,773	75.8%	37,956	12,116	22.4%	41,874	77.6%	53,990

Allegation Types by July 2014-June 2015

(Previous table)

Figure 1. Allegation Types by County July 2014-June 2015:

This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) broken out by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county.




Source: Sm06a01c

(Graphs on this page)

Figure 2. Total Allegations: This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect), from FY09 to FY15. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 3. Unsubstantiated Allegations: This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect). Data is only shown for unsubstantiated allegations. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 4. Substantiated Allegations: This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect). Data is only shown for substantiated allegations. Source: Sm06a01c



PERMANENCY

Foster Care


Reunification

Re-entry

Placement Stability

Adoptions

Emancipations





Children in Foster Care

Figure 1. Average Number of Children in Care: This table illustrates the average number of children in care by type from FY10 through FY15. The numbers displayed are 12-month averages. Source: Desktop Report Charts

Average Number of Children in Care					
	SFY11	SFY12	SFY13	SFY14	FY15
Foster Care	776.1	840.7	887.6	947.0	1069.5
Foster Care Relative	327.5	334.1	381.9	464.3	468.7
Pre-Adoption	111.3	112.5	83.3	96.7	78.8
Pre-Adoption Relative	66.9	44.7	36.4	36.7	35.0
Special Arranged/DD	32.8	32.3	46	56.1	57.8
Treatment Foster Care	233.6	237.6	218.5	224.2	269.7
Treatment Foster Care Relative	13.7	16.6	13	11.3	17.8
Institutional Care	37.9	34.7	38.6	45.8	46.8
Group Home	19.9	21.0	25.2	34.3	33.5
Residential Treatment Care	57.9	30.3	35.3	47.0	66.4
SIL under 18	19.0	11.6	13.3	13.4	12.2
Total	1696.6	1715.9	1779.2	1872.5	2156.2

Figure 2. Children in Care by Month FY10-FY15: This chart shows children in care by month from FY10-FY15. Note: The colors correspond with the fiscal years noted on the lower left. Source: Desktop Report Charts

Figure 3. Children in Care by Month (Snapshot): This chart shows children in care by month in FY15. Source: Desktop Report Charts



Reunification




Figure 1. Percent of children reunified with their natural families in less than 12 months of entry into care: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop and utilize program strategies which identify factors which contribute to the timely and appropriate return of children to their homes.

Re-entry

Figure 2. Percent of re-entering foster care in less than 12 months: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop and utilize program strategies which identify factors which contribute to the timely and appropriate return of children to their homes without increasing reentry into foster care.

Placement Stability

Figure 3. Percent of children in foster care for up to 12 months with no more than two placement settings: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop, upgrade and utilize program strategies that identify and address factors that contribute to the stability of children in out-of-home placements.






Adoptions & Emancipations


Figure 1. Finalized Adoptions: Trends: This line chart shows the number of children with adoptions finalized during the last seven fiscal years. Source: sm16a07

Figure 2. Finalized Adoptions by Age Groups: Trends: This line chart and accompanying table display the number of children with adoptions finalized by age group. Source: Sm16a07


Figure 3. Youth Emancipating from Foster Care: Trends: This line chart shows the number of youth emancipating from foster care at age 18. Young adults emancipating from foster care are provided with independent living skills and transitional services both before and after emancipation. Source: rp0813




Victim Rates per 1,000 Children Age 0-17 July 2014-June 2015



Accepted SCI (Statewide Central Intake) Reports July 2014-June 2015



Not Accepted SCI (Statewide Central Intake) Reports July 2014-June 2015





360 YEARLY

**State Fiscal Year 2014
New Mexico
Children, Youth and
Families Department
Protective Services**