



360 YEARLY

**State Fiscal Year 2014
New Mexico
Children, Youth and
Families Department
Protective Services**



WELCOME TO 360 YEARLY

About 360 YEARLY

360 YEARLY is published yearly to provide CYFD management, staff, and external partners with data on:

- Reports of child abuse and neglect
- Investigations of child abuse and neglect
- Substantiated cases
- Permanency plans for youth in custody
- Plans for reunifications
- Number of youth in foster care

These data can be used to inform New Mexicans of trends in a particular area and where support may be needed. Data can also indicate positive outcomes in an aspect of the system in a particular county or region. 360 YEARLY is a tool that helps bring data to inform the prevention and treatment process.

About the Data

Data for the County Profiles is prepared through the collaborative efforts of the Data Evaluation Unit and FACTS Unit within the Research, Assessment and Data Bureau and Information Technology Services, with the assistance and guidance of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration For Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children's Bureau.

About Protective Services

Protective Services strives to enhance the safety, permanency and well-being of children and families in New Mexico. Protective Services workers investigate reports of child maltreatment and intervene to keep New Mexico's children safe. We provide foster care to thousands of children and work with families to enable parents to safely care for their children. When that cannot be accomplished, Protective Services workers find safe, permanent families for children through adoption or permanent guardianship. Protective Services also works with youth emancipating from the foster care system to assist them in successfully transitioning into adulthood.

PS Director's Office

Jared Rounsville, Director
Francine Anaya, Clinical Deputy Director
Anna Marie Luna, Program Deputy Director
Yvette Sandoval, Administrative Deputy Director

Santa Fe, New Mexico
(505) 827-8400 www.cyfd.org

About the Regional Offices

CYFD is divided into five regions, each one with their own central staff. The Regional Offices are responsible for ensuring that the counties they oversee are supported effectively.

Regional Managers

NW Region 1
Bart Sandoval (505) 865-4634

NE Region 2
Carmela Alcon (505) 753-7191

Metro Region 3
Lisa Madrid-Schleicher (505) 841-7800

SE Region 4
Cory McCarrell (575) 397-3450

SW Region 5
Kasandra Gandara (575) 373-6410

Reaching CYFD PS Staff

To contact state or local CYFD staff please refer to the following link:
http://cyfd.org/pef_internet_phone_directory.pdf

360 Design: Dominic Cappello, Data: John C. Barela, Photos: Armando De Agüero

CONTENTS

SAFETY.....5

PERMANENCY.....12

REGIONAL DATA....15

REGION 1.....17

REGION 2.....19


REGION 3.....21

REGION 4.....23

REGION 5.....25

COMPARISONS27

REGIONS



Note: Map is a graphic representation and does not show precise county boundaries.

STATEWIDE SUMMARY

A SNAPSHOT OF OUR CHILDREN

All of New Mexico's children are our priority. We work to make sure that every child is safe from abuse and neglect. We also work to strengthen families so that they are safe, healthy and resilient.

For children in CYFD care, we track their progress through our FACTS data system. This report focuses on children in CYFD care and their parents and guardians.

The pages to follow will focus on the levels of reports of abuse and neglect, the unsubstantiated and substantiated investigations, permanency planning, and children in care awaiting adoption.

360 YEARLY is published to support our entire workforce, including external and internal partners, in viewing trends and progress made toward measurable objectives in each county office. The report is designed to be used by many populations:

- CYFD Workforce
- Community Partners
- Policymakers
- General public interested in child welfare and the safety of our children

TRENDS & COMPARISONS

Learning from Trends

360 YEARLY provides all New Mexico residents with data to better understand the status of child abuse and neglect, as well as the response from Protective Services. The data illustrate trends over time to provide a way to view progress and to assess challenges.

Comparing and Contrasting

The report also breaks the data down by regions so that the reader may compare and contrast the data between regions and compare regions to state.

The goals are to generate dialogue, assess the needs of counties and regions, and learn from each region.

Using this Guide

The RAD (Research, Assessment and Data) Bureau designs this publication to be used by community partners and in county office progress meetings so that every office staff member knows how local work is progressing and what form of continuous quality improvement can address challenges.

SAFETY

**CPS Reports of Abuse
and Neglect**

CPS Allegations

CPS Investigations



Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 1. Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect-July 2012-June 2014: This chart illustrates the total number of accepted (screened-in) and not-accepted (screened-out) reports of abuse and neglect in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Notes:

1. An Unknown county report is a report that did not contain a zip code at the time the report was entered into the Family And Child Tracking System (FACTS).
2. Accepted Reports are comprised of reports of alleged child maltreatment with sufficient basis to receive a subsequent investigation by CYFD staff.
3. Not-Accepted Reports are comprised of reports of alleged child maltreatment with insufficient basis to receive a subsequent investigation by CYFD staff and may include duplicate reports.

County	Accepted Reports ²		Not Accepted Reports ³		Total Reports Received	
	#	% of County	#	% of County	#	% of State Total
Bernalillo	6,946	58.1%	5,009	41.9%	11,955	33.3%
Catron	30	55.6%	24	44.4%	54	0.2%
Chaves	817	62.7%	486	37.3%	1,303	3.6%
Cibola	227	60.7%	147	39.3%	374	1.0%
Colfax	168	63.9%	95	36.1%	263	0.7%
Curry	577	62.9%	341	37.1%	918	2.6%
De Baca	24	64.9%	13	35.1%	37	0.1%
Doña Ana	2,467	59.7%	1,667	40.3%	4,134	11.5%
Eddy	632	61.7%	392	38.3%	1,024	2.9%
Grant	425	63.0%	250	37.0%	675	1.9%
Guadalupe	78	61.4%	49	38.6%	127	0.4%
Harding	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	0.0%
Hidalgo	49	45.4%	59	54.6%	108	0.3%
Lea	600	62.6%	359	37.4%	959	2.7%
Lincoln	221	60.4%	145	39.6%	366	1.0%
Los Alamos	57	58.2%	41	41.8%	98	0.3%
Luna	347	62.4%	209	37.6%	556	1.6%
McKinley	515	52.1%	473	47.9%	988	2.8%
Mora	38	57.6%	28	42.4%	66	0.2%
Otero	621	59.5%	422	40.5%	1,043	2.9%
Quay	171	60.2%	113	39.8%	284	0.8%
Rio Arriba	376	55.9%	297	44.1%	673	1.9%
Roosevelt	192	60.4%	126	39.6%	318	0.9%
San Juan	1,280	59.4%	876	40.6%	2,156	6.0%
San Miguel	327	58.4%	233	41.6%	560	1.6%
Sandoval	1,014	58.0%	735	42.0%	1,749	4.9%
Santa Fe	1,055	58.4%	753	41.6%	1,808	5.0%
Sierra	147	62.3%	89	37.7%	236	0.7%
Socorro	293	62.3%	177	37.7%	470	1.3%
Taos	359	58.2%	258	41.8%	617	1.7%
Torrance	191	59.7%	129	40.3%	320	0.9%
Union	36	62.1%	22	37.9%	58	0.2%
Unknown ¹	32	18.9%	137	81.1%	169	0.5%
Valencia	815	59.1%	563	40.9%	1,378	3.8%
State Totals	21,129	58.9%	14,721	41.1%	35,850	100.00%

Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 1. Total Reports: This graph illustrates the total number of reports of abuse and neglect from FY09 through FY14 in NM. Source Sm01a10




Figure 2. Accepted Reports/Screened-In: This graph illustrates the total number of accepted reports from FY09 through FY14 in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Note: A screening determination on an incoming report is made by State Central Intake (SCI). Once accepted, the PS report is assigned to the appropriate county office for investigation.

Figure 3. Not-Accepted/Screened-Out: This graph illustrates the total number of not accepted reports from FY09 through FY14 in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Note: Reasons for non-acceptance of a report may include:

- No specific allegation/risk of abuse/neglect,
- Insufficient information to investigate,
- Referral to another agency,
- Does not meet sufficiency screening criteria,
- Perpetrator is non-caretaker/out-of-home,
- Referral to law enforcement, and/or
- Duplicate reports.



Investigations

Figure 1. Investigations July 2013-June 2014: This table illustrates the number of accepted reports, substantiated, and unsubstantiated investigations, and child victims. The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Notes:

1. Not all “accepted reports” result in investigations that are completed during the same period in which the corresponding report was accepted; therefore, completed investigations will **not** match the number of accepted reports in any given year.

County	Accepted Reports	Investigations						Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
		Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	County % of State Total Investigations		
		#	%	#	%	#			
Bernalillo	6,946	1,677	24.2%	5,265	75.8%	6,942	33.2%	2,697	15.1
Catron	30	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	0
Chaves	817	235	27.2%	630	72.8%	865	4.1%	447	21.5
Cibola	227	68	32.7%	140	67.3%	208	1.0%	111	14.7
Colfax	168	102	60.4%	67	39.6%	169	0.8%	175	55.5
Curry	577	211	38.2%	342	61.8%	553	2.6%	376	24.8
De Baca	24	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	0.0%	7	14.6
Dona Ana	2,467	461	19.4%	1,911	80.6%	2,372	11.3%	849	13.2
Eddy	632	250	27.4%	664	72.6%	914	4.4%	405	26.0
Grant	425	129	22.2%	451	77.8%	580	2.8%	208	28.9
Guadalupe	78	7	29.2%	17	70.8%	24	0.1%	17	15.1
Harding	2	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Hidalgo	49	2	15.4%	11	84.6%	13	0.1%	2	1.4
Lea	600	223	32.7%	460	67.3%	683	3.3%	393	18.7
Lincoln	221	75	31.5%	163	68.5%	238	1.1%	130	30.3
Los Alamos	57	2	13.3%	13	86.7%	15	0.1%	2	0.4
Luna	347	86	21.0%	323	79.0%	409	2.0%	151	20.5
McKinley	515	135	35.6%	244	64.4%	379	1.8%	228	9.1
Mora	38	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Otero	621	97	13.7%	612	86.3%	709	3.4%	190	10.7
Quay	171	56	32.4%	117	67.6%	173	0.8%	93	42.4
Rio Arriba	376	129	31.7%	278	68.3%	407	1.9%	210	19.0
Roosevelt	192	80	41.9%	111	58.1%	191	0.9%	147	23.4
San Juan	1,280	344	27.7%	900	72.3%	1,244	5.9%	606	14.6
San Miguel	327	140	34.2%	269	65.8%	409	2.0%	240	31.5
Sandoval	1,014	142	17.2%	683	82.8%	825	3.9%	262	6.8
Santa Fe	1,055	245	25.5%	717	74.5%	962	4.6%	380	11.4
Sierra	147	47	34.6%	89	65.4%	136	0.6%	84	39.5
Socorro	293	100	38.9%	157	61.1%	257	1.2%	166	33.1
Taos	359	99	30.2%	229	69.8%	328	1.6%	174	23.3
Torrance	191	53	24.1%	167	75.9%	220	1.1%	97	22.2
Union	36	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12	0.1%	19	18.4
Unknown	32	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	0.0%	1	0.0
Valencia	815	322	47.0%	363	53.0%	685	3.3%	545	24.3
State Totals	21,129	5,531	26.4%	15,400	73.6%	20,931	100.0%	9,412	16.2



Investigations Trends

Figure 1. Protective Services Investigations FY 2009-2014: The graph illustrates the number of investigations result (substantiated and unsubstantiated) from FY09 through FY14. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Protective Services Investigations Percentages FY 2009-2014: The graph illustrates the number of investigations result (substantiated and unsubstantiated) displayed as percentage from FY09 through FY14. Source: Sm06a01c

“Substantiated” in a child abuse and/or neglect investigation means the victim(s) is under the age of 18, a parent/caretaker has been identified as the perpetrator and/or identified as failing to protect, and credible evidence exists to support the conclusion by the investigation worker that the child has been abused and/or neglected as defined by the New Mexico Children’s Code.

“Unsubstantiated” means that the information collected during the investigation does not support a finding that the child was abused and/or neglected as defined by the New Mexico Children’s Code.



Allegation Types by County July 2013-June 2014

Figure 1. County	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total
	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%	
Bernalillo E	388	18.0%	1,771	82.0%	2,159	46	27.1%	124	72.9%	170	1,227	23.6%	3,966	76.4%	5,193	1,661	22.1%	5,861	77.9%	7,522
Bernalillo W	308	13.8%	1,928	86.2%	2,236	29	14.9%	166	85.1%	195	918	18.9%	3,936	81.1%	4,854	1,255	17.2%	6,030	82.8%	7,285
Catron	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Chaves	53	12.2%	382	87.8%	435	9	19.6%	37	80.4%	46	427	27.5%	1,127	72.5%	1,554	489	24.0%	1,546	76.0%	2,035
Cibola	17	19.1%	72	80.9%	89	1	8.3%	11	91.7%	12	115	30.7%	260	69.3%	375	133	27.9%	343	72.1%	476
Colfax	49	48.0%	53	52.0%	102	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	6	173	53.1%	153	46.9%	326	222	51.2%	212	48.8%	434
Curry	82	23.6%	266	76.4%	348	5	17.2%	24	82.8%	29	311	32.7%	639	67.3%	950	398	30.0%	929	70.0%	1,327
De Baca	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	12	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	14
Doña Ana	125	8.9%	1,279	91.1%	1,404	18	14.4%	107	85.6%	125	641	16.9%	3,160	83.1%	3,801	784	14.7%	4,546	85.3%	5,330
Eddy	68	14.0%	416	86.0%	484	11	22.9%	37	77.1%	48	338	22.1%	1,191	77.9%	1,529	417	20.2%	1,644	79.8%	2,061
Grant	56	14.7%	325	85.3%	381	5	17.2%	24	82.8%	29	139	17.0%	680	83.0%	819	200	16.3%	1,029	83.7%	1,229
Guadalupe	5	27.8%	13	72.2%	18	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	8	26.7%	22	73.3%	30	13	26.5%	36	73.5%	49
Harding	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Hidalgo	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	9	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	2	12.5%	14	87.5%	16	5	19.2%	21	80.8%	26
Lea	73	20.9%	276	79.1%	349	6	14.0%	37	86.0%	43	324	29.2%	784	70.8%	1,108	403	26.9%	1,097	73.1%	1,500
Lincoln	29	18.5%	128	81.5%	157	0	0.0%	12	100.0%	12	111	26.9%	301	73.1%	412	140	24.1%	441	75.9%	581
Los Alamos	2	15.4%	11	84.6%	13	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	4	23.5%	13	76.5%	17	6	20.0%	24	80.0%	30
Luna	30	14.7%	174	85.3%	204	0	0.0%	25	100.0%	25	120	17.7%	559	82.3%	679	150	16.5%	758	73.5%	908
McKinley	63	28.6%	157	71.4%	220	8	34.8%	15	65.2%	23	173	32.6%	357	67.4%	530	244	31.6%	529	68.4%	773
Mora	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Otero	40	10.5%	342	89.5%	382	1	2.8%	35	97.2%	36	122	11.0%	985	89.0%	1,107	163	10.7%	1,362	89.3%	1,525
Quay	19	18.1%	86	81.9%	105	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	5	81	29.2%	196	70.8%	277	100	25.8%	287	74.2%	387
Rio Arriba	48	22.7%	163	77.3%	211	10	40.0%	15	60.0%	25	241	28.3%	611	71.7%	852	299	27.5%	789	72.5%	1,088
Roosevelt	40	38.1%	65	61.9%	105	1	7.7%	12	92.3%	13	93	29.0%	228	71.0%	321	134	30.5%	305	69.5%	439
San Juan	158	18.9%	678	81.1%	836	8	14.3%	48	85.7%	56	462	21.7%	1,669	78.3%	2,131	628	20.8%	2,395	79.2%	3,023
San Miguel	70	24.4%	217	75.6%	287	3	13.0%	20	87.0%	23	213	26.6%	588	73.4%	801	286	25.7%	825	74.3%	1,111
Sandoval	84	13.1%	556	86.9%	640	5	12.2%	36	87.8%	41	188	15.6%	1,020	84.4%	1,208	277	14.7%	1,612	85.3%	1,889
Santa Fe	117	16.9%	574	83.1%	691	8	12.1%	58	87.9%	66	277	19.7%	1,128	80.3%	1,405	402	18.6%	1,760	81.4%	2,162
Sierra	12	17.6%	56	82.4%	68	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4	71	27.5%	187	72.5%	258	83	25.2%	247	74.8%	330
Socorro	23	17.6%	108	82.4%	131	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	9	162	34.2%	312	65.8%	474	187	30.5%	427	69.5%	614
Taos	27	12.6%	188	87.4%	215	2	8.0%	23	92.0%	25	142	25.7%	410	74.3%	552	171	21.6%	621	78.4%	792
Torrance	25	16.0%	131	84.0%	156	4	26.7%	11	73.3%	15	64	17.1%	310	82.9%	374	93	17.1%	452	82.9%	545
Union	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	16	80.0%	4	20.0%	20	21	75.0%	7	25.0%	28
Valencia	190	38.3%	306	61.7%	496	27	40.3%	40	59.7%	67	553	43.6%	715	56.4%	1,268	770	42.1%	1,061	57.9%	1,831
State Totals	2,208	17.1%	10,733	82.9%	12,941	210	18.3%	940	81.7%	1,150	7,720	23.2%	25,536	76.8%	33,253	10,138	21.4%	37,206	78.6%	47,344

Allegation Types by July 2013-June 2014

(Previous table)

Figure 1. Allegation Types by County July 2013-June 2014:

This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) broken out by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county.

Source: Sm06a01c

(Graphs on this page)

Figure 2. Total Allegations:

This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect), from FY09 to FY14.

Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 3. Unsubstantiated Allegations:




This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect). Data is only shown for unsubstantiated allegations.

Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 4. Substantiated Allegations:

This graph illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect). Data is only shown for substantiated allegations.

Source: Sm06a01c



PERMANENCY

Foster Care

Reunification

Re-entering

Placement Stability

Adoptions





Children in Foster Care

Figure 1. Average Number of Children in Care: This table illustrates the average number of children in care by type from FY10 through FY14. The numbers displayed are 12-month averages. Source: Desktop Report Charts

Figure 1. Average Number of Children in Care					
	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14
Foster Care	729.3	776.1	840.7	887.6	947.0
Foster Care Relative	338.5	327.5	334.1	381.9	464.3
Pre-Adoption	152.6	111.3	112.5	83.3	96.7
Pre-Adoption Relative	71.0	66.9	44.7	36.4	36.7
Special Arranged/DD	31.6	32.8	32.3	46	56.1
Treatment Foster Care	272.9	233.6	237.6	218.5	224.2
Treatment Foster Care Relative	11.7	13.7	16.6	13	11.3
Institutional Care	33.6	37.9	34.7	38.6	45.8
Group Home	20.3	19.9	21.0	25.2	34.3
Residential Treatment Care	47.6	57.9	30.3	35.3	47.0
SIL under 18	28.3	19.0	11.6	13.3	13.4
Total	1737.3	1696.6	1715.9	1779.2	1872.5

Figure 2. Children in Care by Month FY10-FY14: This chart shows children in care by month from FY10-FY14. Note: The colors correspond with the fiscal years noted on the lower left. Source: Desktop Report Charts

Figure 3. Children in Care by Month (Snapshot): This chart shows children in care by month in FY14. Source: Desktop Report Charts



Reunification




Figure 1. Percent of children reunified with their natural families in less than 12 months of entry into care: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop and utilize program strategies which identify factors which contribute to the timely and appropriate return of children to their homes.

Re-entry

Figure 2. Percent of re-entering foster care in less than 12 months: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop and utilize program strategies which identify factors which contribute to the timely and appropriate return of children to their homes without increasing reentry into foster care.

Placement Stability

Figure 3. Percent of children in foster care for up to 12 months with no more than two placement settings: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop, upgrade and utilize program strategies that identify and address factors that contribute to the stability of children in out-of-home placements.



Adoptions

Figure 1. Race of Children with a Ordered Goal of Adoption July 2013-June 2014: This bar chart contains data on the children with a plan of adoption. The percentages represent the distribution of the children by race. Source: Sm0904




Figure 2. Finalized Adoptions and Goals July 2013-June 2014: This table displays the number of children with a plan of adoption. It also has the number of finalized adoptions. Source: Sm0904 & Sm16a07

Finalized Adoptions	278
Ordered Goal of Adoption	1,219
Recommended Goal of Adoption	1,273

Figure 3. Gender of Children with a Ordered Goal of Adoption July 2013-June 2014: This pie chart contains data on the children with a plan of adoption. The percentages represent the distribution of the children by gender. Source: Sm0904





Figure 4. Age Range of Children with a Ordered Goal of Adoption July 2013-June 2014: This bar chart contains data on the children with a plan of adoption. The percentages represent the distribution of the children by age range. Source: Sm0904





360
YEARLY
REGIONAL REPORTS

REGION 1, Northwest NM

Figure 1. Region 1—Protective Services Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This line graph shows the percentage of accepted reports of abuse and neglect out of the total number of reports received. Source: Sm01a10




Figure 2. Region 1—Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This table shows the number and percentage of accepted and non accepted reports. Source: Sm01a10

County	Accepted		Not Accepted		Total #	County % of State Total Reports
	#	%	#	%		
Cibola	227	60.7%	147	39.3%	374	1.0%
McKinley	515	51.9%	478	48.1%	988	2.8%
San Juan	1,280	59.4%	876	40.6%	2,156	6.0%
Sandoval	1,014	58.0%	735	42.0%	1,749	4.9%
Torrance	191	59.7%	129	40.3%	320	0.9%
Valencia	815	59.1%	563	40.9%	1,378	3.8%
Region Totals	4,042	58.0%	2,928	42.0%	6,965	19.4%
State Totals	21,129	58.9%	14,721	41.1%	35,850	100.0%

Figure 3. Region 1—Protective Services Investigations: This table shows the number and percentage of substantiated and unsubstantiated investigations. It also contains data on the total number of child victims. Source: Sm06a01c

County	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total #	County % of State Total Investigations	Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
	#	%	#	%				
Cibola	68	32.7%	140	67.3%	208	1.0%	111	14.7
McKinley	135	35.6%	244	64.4%	379	1.8%	228	9.1
San Juan	344	27.7%	900	72.3%	1,244	5.9%	606	14.6
Sandoval	142	17.2%	683	82.8%	825	3.9%	262	6.8
Torrance	53	24.1%	167	75.9%	220	1.1%	97	22.2
Valencia	322	47.0%	363	53.0%	685	3.3%	545	24.3
Region Totals	1,064	29.9%	2,497	70.1%	3,561	17.0%	1,849	13.3
State Totals	5,531	26.4%	15,400	73.6%	20,931	100.0%	9,412	16.2

Figure 1. Region 1—Percent of Substantiated Investigations: This graph shows the percentage of total investigations that were substantiated from FY09 through FY14. Source: Sm06a01c




Figure 2. Region 1—Protective Services Allegations by Type: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) displayed by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Region 1-Protective Services Allegation Types

County	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total
	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%	
Cibola	17	19.1%	72	80.9%	89	1	8.3%	11	91.7%	12	115	30.7%	260	69.3%	375	133	27.9%	343	72.1%	476
McKinley	63	28.6%	157	71.4%	220	8	34.8%	15	65.2%	23	173	32.6%	357	67.4%	530	244	31.6%	529	68.4%	773
San Juan	158	18.9%	678	81.1%	836	8	14.3%	48	85.7%	56	462	21.7%	1,669	78.3%	2,131	628	20.8%	2,395	79.2%	3,023
Sandoval	84	13.1%	556	86.9%	640	5	12.2%	36	87.8%	41	188	15.6%	1,020	84.4%	1,208	277	14.7%	1,612	85.3%	1,889
Torrance	25	16.0%	131	84.0%	156	4	26.7%	11	73.3%	15	64	17.1%	310	82.9%	374	93	17.1%	452	82.9%	545
Valencia	190	38.3%	306	61.7%	496	27	40.3%	40	59.7%	67	553	43.6%	715	56.4%	1,268	770	42.1%	1,061	57.9%	1,831
Region Totals	537	22.0%	1,900	78.0%	2,437	53	24.8%	161	75.2%	214	1,555	26.4%	4,331	73.6%	5,886	2,145	25.1%	6,392	74.9%	8,537
State Totals	2,208	17.1%	10,733	82.9%	12,941	211	18.3%	940	81.7%	1,151	7,720	23.2%	25,536	76.8%	33,256	10,139	21.4%	37,209	78.6%	47,348

REGION 2, Northeast NM

Figure 1. Region 2—Protective Services Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This line graph shows the percentage of accepted reports of abuse and neglect out of the total number of reports received. Source: Sm01a10




Figure 2. Region 2—Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This table shows the number and percentage of accepted and non accepted reports. Source: Sm01a10

County	Accepted		Not Accepted		Total #	County % of State Total Reports
	#	%	#	%		
Colfax	168	63.9%	95	36.1%	263	0.7%
Guadalupe	78	61.4%	49	38.6%	127	0.4%
Los Alamos	57	58.2%	41	41.8%	98	0.3%
Mora	38	57.6%	28	42.4%	66	0.2%
Rio Arriba	376	55.9%	297	44.1%	673	1.9%
San Miguel	327	58.4%	233	41.6%	560	1.6%
Santa Fe	1,055	58.4%	753	41.6%	1,808	5.0%
Taos	359	58.2%	258	41.8%	617	1.7%
Union	36	62.1%	22	37.9%	58	0.2%
Region Totals	2,494	58.4%	1,776	41.6%	4,270	11.9%
State Totals	21,129	58.9%	14,721	41.1%	35,850	100.0%

Figure 3. Region 2—Protective Services Investigations: This table shows the number and percentage of substantiated and unsubstantiated investigations. It also contains data on the total number of child victims. Source: Sm06a01c

County	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total #	County % of State Total Investigations	Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
	#	%	#	%				
Colfax	102	60.4%	67	39.6%	169	0.8%	175	55.5
Guadalupe	7	29.2%	17	70.8%	24	0.1%	17	15.1
Los Alamos	2	13.3%	13	86.7%	15	0.1%	2	0.4
Mora	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Rio Arriba	129	31.7%	278	68.3%	407	1.9%	210	19.0
San Miguel	140	34.2%	269	65.8%	409	2.0%	240	31.5
Santa Fe	245	25.5%	717	74.5%	962	4.6%	380	11.4
Taos	99	30.2%	229	69.8%	328	1.6%	174	23.3
Union	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12	0.1%	19	18.4
Region Totals	734	31.6%	1,592	68.4%	2,326	11.1%	1,217	17.2
State Totals	5,531	26.4%	15,400	73.6%	20,931	100.0%	9,412	16.2

Figure 1. Region 2—Percent of Substantiated Investigations: This graph shows the percentage of total investigations that were substantiated from FY09 through FY14. Source: Sm06a01c




Figure 2. Region 2—Protective Services Allegations by Type: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) displayed by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Region 2-Protective Services Allegation Types

County	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total
#	%	#	%	#		%	#	%	#		%	#	%	#		%	#	%	#	
Colfax	49	48.0%	53	52.0%	102	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	6	173	53.1%	153	46.9%	326	222	51.2%	212	48.8%	434
Guadalupe	5	27.8%	13	72.2%	18	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	8	26.7%	22	73.3%	30	13	26.5%	36	73.5%	49
Los Alamos	2	15.4%	11	84.6%	13	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	4	23.5%	13	76.5%	17	6	20.0%	24	80.0%	30
Mora	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Rio Arriba	48	22.7%	163	77.3%	211	10	40.0%	15	60.0%	25	241	28.3%	611	71.7%	852	299	27.5%	789	72.5%	1,088
San Miguel	70	24.4%	217	75.6%	287	3	13.0%	20	87.0%	23	213	26.6%	588	73.4%	801	286	25.7%	825	74.3%	1,111
Santa Fe	117	16.9%	574	83.1%	691	8	12.1%	58	87.9%	66	277	19.7%	1,128	80.3%	1,405	402	18.6%	1,760	81.4%	2,162
Taos	27	12.6%	188	87.4%	215	2	8.0%	23	92.0%	25	142	25.7%	410	74.3%	552	171	21.6%	621	78.4%	792
Union	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	16	80.0%	4	20.0%	20	21	75.0%	7	25.0%	28
Region Totals	323	20.9%	1,222	79.1%	1,545	23	15.8%	123	84.2%	146	1,074	26.8%	2,929	73.2%	4,003	1,420	24.9%	4,274	75.1%	5,694
State Totals	2,208	17.1%	10,733	82.9%	12,941	211	18.3%	940	81.7%	1,151	7,720	23.2%	25,536	76.8%	33,256	10,139	21.4%	37,209	78.6%	47,348

REGION 3, Metro NM

Figure 1. Region 3—Protective Services Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This line graph shows the percentage of accepted reports of abuse and neglect out of the total number of reports received. Source: Sm01a10




Figure 2. Region 3—Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This table shows the number and percentage of accepted and non accepted reports. Source: Sm01a10

County	Accepted		Not Accepted		Total	County % of State Total Reports
	#	%	#	%	#	
Bernalillo	6,946	58.1%	5,009	41.9%	11,955	33.3%
Region Totals	6,946	58.1%	5,009	41.9%	11,955	33.3%
State Totals	21,129	58.9%	14,721	41.1%	35,850	100.0%

Figure 3. Region 3—Protective Services Investigations: This table shows the number and percentage of substantiated and unsubstantiated investigations. It also contains data on the total number of child victims. Source: Sm06a01c

County	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	County % of State Total Investigations
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Bernalillo East	942	27.3%	2,508	72.7%	3,450	16.5%
Bernalillo West	735	21.0%	2,757	79.0%	3,492	16.7%
Region Totals	1,677	24.2%	5,265	75.8%	6,942	33.2%
State Totals	5,531	26.4%	15,400	73.6%	20,931	100.0%

Figure 1. Region 3—Percent of Substantiated Investigations: This graph shows the percentage of total investigations that were substantiated from FY09 through FY14. Source: Sm06a01c




Figure 2. Region 3-Protective Services Victims: This table illustrates the number of victims of child abuse. Source: sm06a01c

County	Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
Bernalillo	2,697	15.1
Region Totals	2,697	15.1
State Totals	9,412	16.2

Figure 3. Region 3—Protective Services Allegations by Type: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) broken out by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

County	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total
	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%	
Bernalillo East	388	18.0%	1,771	82.0%	2,159	46	27.1%	124	72.9%	170	1,227	23.6%	3,966	76.4%	5,193	1,661	22.1%	5,861	77.9%	7,522
Bernalillo West	308	13.8%	1,928	86.2%	2,236	29	14.9%	166	85.1%	195	918	18.9%	3,936	81.1%	4,854	1,255	17.2%	6,030	82.8%	7,285
Region Total	696	15.8%	3,699	84.2%	4,395	75	20.5%	290	79.5%	365	2,145	21.3%	7,902	78.7%	10,047	2,916	19.7%	11,891	80.3%	14,807
State Totals	2,208	17.1%	10,733	82.9%	12,941	211	18.3%	940	81.7%	1,151	7,720	23.2%	25,536	76.8%	33,256	10,139	21.4%	37,209	78.6%	47,348

REGION 4, Southeast NM

Figure 1. Region 4—Protective Services Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This line graph shows the percentage of accepted reports of abuse and neglect out of the total number of reports received. Source: Sm01a10




Figure 2. Region 4—Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This table shows the number and percentage of accepted and non accepted reports. Source: Sm01a10

County	Accepted		Not Accepted		Total #	County % of State Total Reports
	#	%	#	%		
Chaves	817	62.7%	486	37.3%	1,303	3.6%
Curry	577	62.9%	341	37.1%	918	2.6%
De Baca	24	64.9%	13	35.1%	37	0.1%
Eddy	632	61.7%	392	38.3%	1,024	2.9%
Harding	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	0.0%
Lea	600	62.6%	359	37.4%	959	2.7%
Quay	171	60.2%	113	39.8%	284	0.8%
Roosevelt	192	60.4%	126	39.6%	318	0.9%
Region Totals	3,015	62.2%	1,834	37.8%	4,849	13.5%
State Totals	21,129	58.9%	14,721	41.1%	35,850	100.0%

Figure 3. Region 4—Protective Services Investigations: This table shows the number and percentage of substantiated and un-substantiated investigations. It also contains data on the total number of child victims. Source: Sm06a01c

County	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total #	County % of State Total Investigations	Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
	#	%	#	%				
Chaves	235	27.2%	630	72.8%	865	4.1%	447	21.5
Curry	211	38.2%	342	61.8%	553	2.6%	376	24.8
De Baca	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	0.0%	7	14.6
Eddy	250	27.4%	664	72.6%	914	4.4%	405	26.0
Harding	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Lea	223	32.7%	460	67.3%	683	3.3%	393	18.7
Quay	56	32.4%	117	67.6%	173	0.8%	93	42.4
Roosevelt	80	41.9%	111	58.1%	191	0.9%	147	23.4
Region Totals	1,058	31.2%	2,328	68.8%	3,386	16.2%	1,868	22.9
State Totals	5,531	26.4%	15,400	73.6%	20,931	100.0%	9,412	16.2

Figure 1. Region 4—Percent of Substantiated Investigations: This graph shows the percentage of total investigations that were substantiated from FY09 through FY14. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Region 4—Protective Services Allegations by Type: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) displayed by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c




Figure 2. Region 4-Protective Services Allegation Types

County	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated #	Substantiated %	Unsubstantiated #	Unsubstantiated %	Total	Substantiated #	Substantiated %	Unsubstantiated #	Unsubstantiated %	Total	Substantiated #	Substantiated %	Unsubstantiated #	Unsubstantiated %	Total	Substantiated #	Substantiated %	Unsubstantiated #	Unsubstantiated %	Total
Chaves	53	12.2%	382	87.8%	435	9	19.6%	37	80.4%	46	427	27.5%	1,127	72.5%	1,554	489	24.0%	1,546	76.0%	2,035
Curry	82	23.6%	266	76.4%	348	5	17.2%	24	82.8%	29	311	32.7%	639	67.3%	950	398	30.0%	929	70.0%	1,327
De Baca	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	0	N/A	0	NA	0	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	12	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	14
Eddy	68	14.0%	416	86.0%	484	11	22.9%	37	77.1%	48	338	22.1%	1,191	77.9%	1,529	417	20.2%	1,644	79.8%	2,061
Harding	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Lea	73	20.9%	276	79.1%	349	6	14.0%	37	86.0%	43	324	29.2%	784	70.8%	1,108	403	26.9%	1,097	73.1%	1,500
Quay	19	18.1%	86	81.9%	105	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	5	81	29.2%	196	70.8%	277	100	25.8%	287	74.2%	387
Roosevelt	40	38.1%	65	61.9%	105	1	7.7%	12	92.3%	13	93	29.0%	228	71.0%	321	134	30.5%	305	69.5%	439
Region	335	18.3%	1,493	81.7%	1,828	32	17.4%	152	82.6%	184	1,578	27.4%	4,173	72.6%	5,751	1,945	25.1%	5,818	74.9%	7,763
State Totals	2,208	17.1%	10,733	82.9%	12,941	211	18.3%	940	81.7%	1,151	7,720	23.2%	25,536	76.8%	33,256	10,139	21.4%	37,209	78.6%	47,348

REGION 5, Southwest NM

Figure 1. Region 5—Protective Services Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This line graph shows the percentage of accepted reports of abuse and neglect out of the total number of reports received. Source: Sm01a10




Figure 2. Region 5—Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This table shows the number and percentage of accepted and non accepted reports. Source: Sm01a10

County	Accepted		Not Accepted		Total #	County % of State Total Reports
	#	%	#	%		
Catron	30	55.6%	24	44.4%	54	0.2%
Doña Ana	2,467	59.7%	1,667	40.3%	4,134	11.5%
Grant	425	63.0%	250	37.0%	675	1.9%
Hidalgo	49	45.4%	59	54.6%	108	0.3%
Lincoln	221	60.4%	145	39.6%	366	1.0%
Luna	347	62.4%	209	37.6%	556	1.6%
Otero	621	59.5%	422	40.5%	1,043	2.9%
Sierra	147	62.3%	89	37.7%	236	0.7%
Socorro	293	62.3%	177	37.7%	470	1.3%
Region Totals	4,600	60.2%	3,042	39.8%	7,642	21.3%
State Totals	21,129	58.9%	14,721	41.1%	35,850	100.0%

Figure 3. Region 5—Protective Services Investigations: This table shows the number and percentage of substantiated and unsubstantiated investigations. It also contains data on the total number of child victims. Source: Sm06a01c

County	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total #	County % of State Total Investigations	Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
	#	%	#	%				
Catron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Dona Ana	461	19.4%	1,911	80.6%	2,372	11.3%	849	13.2
Grant	129	22.2%	451	77.8%	580	2.8%	208	28.9
Hidalgo	2	15.4%	11	84.6%	13	0.1%	2	1.4
Lincoln	75	31.5%	163	68.5%	238	1.1%	130	30.3
Luna	86	21.0%	323	79.0%	409	2.0%	151	20.5
Otero	97	13.7%	612	86.3%	709	3.4%	190	10.7
Sierra	47	34.6%	89	65.4%	136	0.6%	84	39.5
Socorro	100	38.9%	157	61.1%	257	1.2%	166	33.1
Region Totals	997	21.1%	3,717	78.9%	4,714	22.5%	1,780	16.2
State Totals	5,531	26.4%	15,400	73.6%	20,931	100.0%	9,412	16.2

Figure 1. Region 5—Percent of Substantiated Investigations: This graph shows the percentage of total investigations that were substantiated from FY09 through FY14. Source: Sm06a01c




Figure 2. Region 5—Protective Services Allegations by Type: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) displayed by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Region 5-Protective Services Allegation Types

County	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total
	#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%		#	%	#	%	
Catron	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Dona Ana	125	8.9%	1,279	91.1%	1,404	18	14.4%	107	85.6%	125	641	16.9%	3,160	83.1%	3,801	784	14.7%	4,546	85.3%	5,330
Grant	56	14.7%	325	85.3%	381	5	17.2%	24	82.8%	29	139	17.0%	680	83.0%	819	200	16.3%	1,029	83.7%	1,229
Hidalgo	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	9	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	2	12.5%	14	87.5%	16	5	19.2%	21	80.8%	26
Lincoln	29	18.5%	128	81.5%	157	0	0.0%	12	100.0%	12	111	26.9%	301	73.1%	412	140	24.1%	441	75.9%	581
Luna	30	14.7%	174	85.3%	204	0	0.0%	25	100.0%	25	120	17.7%	559	82.3%	679	150	16.5%	758	83.5%	908
Otero	40	10.5%	342	89.5%	382	1	2.8%	35	97.2%	36	122	11.0%	985	89.0%	1,107	163	10.7%	1,362	89.3%	1,525
Sierra	12	17.6%	56	82.4%	68	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4	71	27.5%	187	72.5%	258	83	25.2%	247	74.8%	330
Socorro	23	17.6%	108	82.4%	131	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	9	162	34.2%	312	65.8%	474	187	30.5%	427	69.5%	614
Region Total	317	11.6%	2,419	88.4%	2,736	27	11.2%	214	88.8%	241	1,368	18.1%	6,198	81.9%	7,566	1,712	16.2%	8,831	83.8%	10,543
State Totals	2,208	17.1%	10,733	82.9%	12,941	211	18.3%	940	81.7%	1,151	7,720	23.2%	25,536	76.8%	33,256	10,139	21.4%	37,209	78.6%	47,348

REGIONAL COMPARISON- PERCENTAGE OF STATEWIDE TOTALS

Figure 1. Protective Services Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This bar chart compares the different regions on reports of abuse and neglect that were accepted. This data is for FY14. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 2. CPS Total Investigations: This bar chart compares the different regions on investigations conducted. This data is for FY14. Source: Sm06a01c




Figure 3. Total Substantiated Investigations: This bar chart compares the different regions and state on substantiated investigations. This data is for FY14. Source: Sm06a01c

Notes:

¹This chart depicts each Region's relative proportion of the State's total of PS Reports of Abuse & Neglect and does not equal 100%, due to rounding.

²This chart depicts each Region's relative proportion of the State's total of PS Total Investigations and does not equal 100% , due to rounding.

³For each Region the chart depicts the proportion of substantiated investigations within the Region. For comparison with the Regions, the state proportion is provided.






REGIONAL COMPARISON- PERCENTAGE OF STATEWIDE TOTALS

Figure 1. Substantiated Sexual Abuse: This bar chart compares the regions and state on the number of substantiated investigations with sexual abuse allegations. This data is for FY14. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Substantiated Physical Abuse: This bar chart compares the regions and state on the number of substantiated investigations with physical abuse allegations. This data is for FY14. Source: Sm06a01c

Notes: The data provided for each Region in these charts depict the applicable proportion of substantiated investigations by allegation type within the Region. Thus, these charts provide comparisons both among the Regions and also provides the overall New Mexico proportion of substantiated investigations by allegation type. This will facilitate comparison between regional and statewide results. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 3. Substantiated Physical Neglect: This bar chart compares the regions and state on the number of substantiated investigations with physical neglect allegations. This data is for FY14. Source: Sm06a01c





360 YEARLY

State Fiscal Year 2014
New Mexico
Children, Youth and
Families Department
Protective Services