360
YEARLY

**State Fiscal Year 2013
New Mexico
Children, Youth and
Families Department
Protective Services**

WELCOME TO 360 YEARLY

About 360 YEARLY

360 YEARLY is published yearly to provide CYFD management, staff, and external partners with data on:
- Reports of child abuse and neglect
- Investigations of child abuse and neglect
- Substantiated cases
- Permanency plans for youth in custody
- Plans for reunifications
- Number of youth in foster care

These data can be used to inform New Mexicans of trends in a particular area and where support may be needed. Data can also indicate positive outcomes in an aspect of the system in a particular county or region. 360 YEARLY is a tool that helps bring data to inform the prevention and treatment process.

About the Data

Data for the County Profiles is prepared through the collaborative efforts of the Data Evaluation Unit and FACTS Unit within the Research, Assessment and Data Bureau and Information Technology Services, with the assistance and guidance of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration For Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children's Bureau.

About Protective Services

Protective Services strives to enhance the safety, permanency and well-being of children and families in New Mexico. Protective Services workers investigate reports of child maltreatment and intervene to keep New Mexico's children safe. We provide foster care to thousands of children and work with families to enable parents to safely care for their children. When that cannot be accomplished, Protective Services workers find safe, permanent families for children through adoption or permanent guardianship. Protective Services also works with youth emancipating from the foster care system to assist them in successfully transitioning into adulthood.

PS Director's Office

Jared Rounsville, Director
Francine Anaya, Clinical Deputy Director
Anna Marie Luna, Program Deputy Director
Yvette Sandoval, Administrative Deputy Director

Santa Fe, New Mexico
(505) 827-8400   www.cyfd.org

About the Regional Offices

CYFD is divided into five regions, each one with their own central staff. The Regional Offices are responsible for ensuring that the counties they oversee are supported effectively.

Regional Managers

NW Region 1
Bart Sandoval   (505) 865-4634

NE Region 2
Carmela Alcon (505) 753-7191

Metro Region 3
Lisa Madrid-Schleicher (505) 841-7800

SE Region 4
Cory McCarrell (575) 397-3450

SW Region 5
Kasandra Gandara (575) 373-6410

Reaching CYFD PS Staff

To contact state or local CYFD staff please refer to the following link: http://cyfd.org/pef intenet_phone_directory.pdf

360 Design: Dominic Cappello, Data: John C. Barela, Photos: Armando De Aguero

CONTENTS

REGIONS

R-1 Region 1   R-2 Region 2   R-3 Region 3   R-4 Region 4   R-5 Region 5

San Juan
Rio Arriba   R-2
Taos   Colfax   Union
Sandoval   Harding
McKinley   Santa Fe   Mora   San Miguel   Quay
Los Alamos
Bernalillo   R-3   Guadalupe
West   East   Curry
Cibola   Torrance   DeBaca
Valencia   R-1   Roosevelt
Catron   Socorro   Lincoln
Lea
Grant   Sierra   Chaves   R-4
Otero
Hidalgo   Eddy
Luna   R-5
Dona Ana

Note: Map is a graphic representation and does not show precise county boundaries.

STATEWIDE SUMMARY

A SNAPSHOT OF OUR CHILDREN

All of New Mexico's children are our priority. We work to make sure that every child is safe from abuse and neglect. We also work to strengthen families so that they are safe, healthy and resilient.

For children in CYFD care, we track their progress through our FACTS data system. This report focuses on children in CYFD care and their parents and guardians.

The pages to follow will focus on the levels of reports of abuse and neglect, the unsubstantiated and substantiated investigations, permanency planning, and children in care awaiting adoption.

360 YEARLY is published to support our entire workforce, including external and internal partners, in viewing trends and progress made toward measurable objectives in each county office. The report is designed to be used by many populations:

• CYFD Workforce
• Community Partners
• Policymakers
• General public interested in child welfare and the safety of our children

TRENDS & COMPARISONS

Learning from Trends

360 YEARLY provides all New Mexico residents with data to better understand the status of child abuse and neglect, as well as the response from Protective Services. The data illustrate trends over time to provide a way to view progress and to assess challenges.

Comparing and Contrasting

The report also breaks the data down by regions so that the reader may compare and contrast the data between regions and compare regions to state.

The goals are to generate dialogue, assess the needs of counties and regions, and learn from each region.

Using this Guide

The RAD (Research, Assessment and Data) Bureau designs this publication to be used by community partners and in county office progress meetings so that every office staff member knows how local work is progressing and what form of continuous quality improvement can address challenges.

SAFETY

CPS Reports of Abuse and Neglect

CPS Allegations

CPS Investigations

Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 1. Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect-July 2012-June 2013: This chart illustrates the total number of accepted (screened-in) and not-accepted (screened-out) reports of abuse and neglect in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Notes:
1. An Unknown county report is a report that did not contain a zip code at the time the report was entered into the Family And Child Tracking System (FACTS).
2. Accepted Reports are comprised of reports of alleged child maltreatment with sufficient basis to receive a subsequent investigation by CYFD staff.
3. Not-Accepted Reports are comprised of reports of alleged child maltreatment with insufficient basis to receive a subsequent investigation by CYFD staff and may include duplicate reports.

County	Accepted Reports [2]		Not Accepted Reports [3]		Total Reports Received	
	#	% of County	#	% of County	#	% of State Total
Bernalillo	5,704	53.80%	4,901	46.20%	10,605	32.20%
Catron	16	48.50%	17	51.50%	33	0.10%
Chaves	739	58.90%	516	41.10%	1,255	3.80%
Cibola	191	55.50%	153	44.50%	344	1.00%
Colfax	191	57.70%	140	42.30%	331	1.00%
Curry	561	62.60%	335	37.40%	896	2.70%
De Baca	19	67.90%	9	32.10%	28	0.10%
Doña Ana	2,149	54.60%	1,789	45.40%	3,938	12.00%
Eddy	669	62.60%	400	37.40%	1,069	3.20%
Grant	378	55.20%	307	44.80%	685	2.10%
Guadalupe	44	45.40%	53	54.60%	97	0.30%
Harding	5	55.60%	4	44.40%	9	0.00%
Hidalgo	78	47.30%	87	52.70%	165	0.50%
Lea	595	58.90%	415	41.10%	1,010	3.10%
Lincoln	242	61.00%	155	39.00%	397	1.20%
Los Alamos	65	52.40%	59	47.60%	124	0.40%
Luna	274	58.20%	197	41.80%	471	1.40%
McKinley	348	49.40%	357	50.60%	705	2.10%
Mora	31	58.50%	22	41.50%	53	0.20%
Otero	520	55.40%	418	44.60%	938	2.80%
Quay	127	57.20%	95	42.80%	222	0.70%
Rio Arriba	337	54.40%	282	45.60%	619	1.90%
Roosevelt	184	58.80%	129	41.20%	313	1.00%
San Juan	1,107	57.60%	816	42.40%	1,923	5.80%
San Miguel	265	51.80%	247	48.20%	512	1.60%
Sandoval	788	51.80%	733	48.20%	1,521	4.60%
Santa Fe	946	55.70%	751	44.30%	1,697	5.20%
Sierra	180	62.90%	106	37.10%	286	0.90%
Socorro	221	63.10%	129	36.90%	350	1.10%
Taos	315	55.60%	252	44.40%	567	1.70%
Torrance	172	53.90%	147	46.10%	319	1.00%
Union	43	53.10%	38	46.90%	81	0.20%
Unknown [1]	16	16.50%	81	83.50%	97	0.30%
Valencia	677	53.30%	593	46.70%	1,270	3.90%
State Totals	18,197	55.30%	14,733	44.70%	32,930	100.00%

Statewide Central Intake Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 1. Total Reports: This graph illustrates the total number of reports of abuse and neglect from FY09 through FY13 in NM.
Source Sm01a10

Figure 2. Accepted Reports/Screened-In: This graph illustrates the total number of accepted reports from FY09 through FY13 in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Note: A screening determination on an incoming report is made by State Central Intake (SCI). Once accepted, the PS report is assigned to the appropriate county office for investigation.

Figure 3. Not-Accepted/Screened-Out: This graph illustrates the total number of not accepted reports from FY09 through FY13 in NM. Source: Sm01a10

Note: Reasons for non-acceptance of a report may include:
- No specific allegation/risk of abuse/neglect,
- Insufficient information to investigate,
- Referral to another agency,
- Does not meet sufficiency screening criteria,
- Perpetrator is non-caretaker/out-of-home,
- Referral to law enforcement, and/or
- Duplicate reports.

Figure 1. Total Reports

Figure 3. Not-Accepted/Screened-Out

Figure 2. Accepted Reports/Screened-In

Investigations

Figure 1. Investigations July 2012-June 2013:
This table illustrates the number of accepted reports, substantiated, and unsubstantiated investigations, and child victims. The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Notes:
1. Not all "accepted reports" result in Investigations that are completed during the same period in which the corresponding report was accepted; therefore, completed investigations will **not** match the number of accepted reports in any given year.

| County | Accepted Reports | Investigations | | | | | | Number of Substantiated Child Victims | Victim Rate per 1,000 |
		Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	County % of State Total Investigations		
		#	%	#	%	#			
Bernalillo	5,704	1,093	19.3%	4,578	80.7%	5,671	31.4%	1,675	9.4
Catron	16	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Chaves	739	186	22.0%	660	78.0%	846	4.7%	315	15.2
Cibola	191	62	35.2%	114	64.8%	176	1.0%	115	15.2
Colfax	191	125	57.3%	93	42.7%	218	1.2%	209	66.2
Curry	561	254	40.7%	370	59.3%	624	3.5%	486	32.0
De Baca	19	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	0.0%	5	10.4
Dona Ana	2,149	435	19.7%	1,773	80.3%	2,208	12.2%	770	12.0
Eddy	669	120	24.3%	373	75.7%	493	2.7%	223	14.3
Grant	378	73	31.3%	160	68.7%	233	1.3%	121	16.8
Guadalupe	44	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	14	0.1%	15	13.4
Harding	5	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Hidalgo	78	6	25.0%	18	75.0%	24	0.1%	9	6.5
Lea	595	164	35.7%	296	64.3%	460	2.5%	314	15.0
Lincoln	242	64	27.0%	173	73.0%	237	1.3%	124	28.9
Los Alamos	65	2	14.3%	12	85.7%	14	0.1%	4	0.9
Luna	274	104	31.5%	226	68.5%	330	1.8%	172	23.3
McKinley	348	113	35.0%	210	65.0%	323	1.8%	209	8.3
Mora	31	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Otero	520	112	16.3%	574	83.7%	686	3.8%	190	10.7
Quay	127	39	29.3%	94	70.7%	133	0.7%	69	31.4
Rio Arriba	337	123	32.0%	261	68.0%	384	2.1%	197	17.9
Roosevelt	184	55	29.3%	133	70.7%	188	1.0%	104	16.6
San Juan	1,107	331	30.5%	753	69.5%	1,084	6.0%	578	13.9
San Miguel	265	107	32.4%	223	67.6%	330	1.8%	184	24.2
Sandoval	788	149	15.8%	796	84.2%	945	5.2%	252	6.6
Santa Fe	946	225	26.9%	610	73.1%	835	4.6%	360	10.8
Sierra	180	60	33.3%	120	66.7%	180	1.0%	107	50.3
Socorro	221	82	39.8%	124	60.2%	206	1.1%	141	28.1
Taos	315	75	23.6%	243	76.4%	318	1.8%	152	20.4
Torrance	172	58	25.6%	169	74.4%	227	1.3%	99	22.7
Union	43	11	61.1%	7	38.9%	18	0.1%	27	26.2
Unknown	16	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0.0%	0	N/A
Valencia	677	282	43.2%	371	56.8%	653	3.6%	562	25.1
State Totals	18,197	4,520	25.0%	13,546	75.0%	18,066	100.0%	7,788	13.4

Investigations Trends

Figure 1. Protective Services Investigations FY 2009-2013: The graph illustrates the number of investigations result (substantiated and unsubstantiated) from FY09 through FY13.
Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Protective Services Investigations Percentages FY 2009-2013: The graph illustrates the number of investigations result (substantiated and unsubstantiated) displayed as percentage from FY09 through FY13.   Source: Sm06a01c

"Substantiated" in a child abuse and/or neglect investigation means the victim(s) is under the age of 18, a parent/caretaker has been identified as the perpetrator and/or identified as failing to protect, and credible evidence exists to support the conclusion by the investigation worker that the child has been abused and/or neglected as defined by the New Mexico Children's Code.

"Unsubstantiated" means that the information collected during the investigation does not support a finding that the child was abused and/or neglected as defined by the New Mexico Children's Code.

Figure 1. Protective Services Investigations FY 2009-2013

Figure 2. Protective Services Investigations Percentages FY 2009-2013

Allegation Types by County July 2012-June 2013

Figure 1.	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		
County	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total
Bernalillo E	186	14.2%	1,127	85.8%	1,313	23	20.7%	88	79.3%	111	552	18.9%	2,363	81.1%	2,915	761	17.5%	3,578	82.5%	4,339
Bernalillo W	159	10.5%	1,360	89.5%	1,519	34	18.5%	150	81.5%	184	570	17.1%	2,768	82.9%	3,338	763	15.1%	4,278	84.9%	5,041
Catron	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Chaves	37	10.1%	330	89.9%	367	8	25.0%	24	75.0%	32	227	21.7%	817	78.3%	1,044	272	18.8%	1,171	81.2%	1,443
Cibola	19	22.1%	67	77.9%	86	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4	76	33.0%	154	67.0%	230	95	29.7%	225	70.3%	320
Colfax	49	40.2%	73	59.8%	122	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	11	140	48.4%	149	51.6%	289	192	45.5%	230	54.5%	422
Curry	68	20.3%	267	79.7%	335	6	21.4%	22	78.6%	28	319	41.6%	448	58.4%	767	393	34.8%	737	65.2%	1,130
De Baca	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	7	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8	6	40.0%	9	60.0%	15
Doña Ana	119	11.1%	949	88.9%	1,068	14	13.0%	94	87.0%	108	471	19.0%	2,008	81.0%	2,479	604	16.5%	3,051	83.5%	3,655
Eddy	33	17.3%	158	82.7%	191	8	36.4%	14	63.6%	22	141	22.5%	485	77.5%	626	182	21.7%	657	78.3%	839
Grant	24	22.0%	85	78.0%	109	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	10	69	26.3%	193	73.7%	262	93	24.4%	288	75.6%	381
Guadalupe	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	16	9	40.9%	13	59.1%	22
Harding	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Hidalgo	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	4	13.3%	26	86.7%	30	7	17.1%	34	82.9%	41
Lea	59	27.3%	157	72.7%	216	7	25.0%	21	75.0%	28	244	40.2%	363	59.8%	607	310	36.4%	541	63.6%	851
Lincoln	17	18.5%	75	81.5%	92	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9	75	25.0%	225	75.0%	300	93	23.2%	308	76.8%	401
Los Alamos	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	7	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	2	11.8%	15	88.2%	17	2	8.3%	22	91.7%	24
Luna	34	24.6%	104	75.4%	138	6	30.0%	14	70.0%	20	104	28.4%	262	71.6%	366	144	27.5%	380	72.5%	524
McKinley	33	22.8%	112	77.2%	145	1	7.1%	13	92.9%	14	123	33.1%	249	66.9%	372	157	29.6%	374	70.4%	531
Mora	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Otero	30	8.8%	311	91.2%	341	4	9.5%	38	90.5%	42	106	13.4%	688	86.6%	794	140	11.9%	1,037	88.1%	1,177
Quay	10	22.7%	34	77.3%	44	5	33.3%	10	66.7%	15	41	26.6%	113	73.4%	154	56	26.3%	157	73.7%	213
Rio Arriba	50	25.8%	144	74.2%	194	8	36.4%	14	63.6%	22	167	33.1%	337	66.9%	504	225	31.3%	495	68.8%	720
Roosevelt	22	25.6%	64	74.4%	86	0	0.0%	14	100.0%	14	60	27.3%	160	72.7%	220	82	25.6%	238	74.4%	320
San Juan	139	23.7%	447	76.3%	586	7	13.2%	46	86.8%	53	322	25.6%	934	74.4%	1,256	468	24.7%	1,427	75.3%	1,895
San Miguel	39	25.0%	117	75.0%	156	5	17.9%	23	82.1%	28	130	32.3%	273	67.7%	403	174	29.6%	413	70.4%	587
Sandoval	83	14.1%	505	85.9%	588	9	18.4%	40	81.6%	49	163	15.7%	876	84.3%	1,039	255	15.2%	1,421	84.8%	1,676
Santa Fe	92	21.3%	339	78.7%	431	9	20.0%	36	80.0%	45	215	23.4%	703	76.6%	918	316	22.7%	1,078	77.3%	1,394
Sierra	16	20.3%	63	79.7%	79	2	15.4%	11	84.6%	13	61	28.8%	151	71.2%	212	79	26.0%	225	74.0%	304
Socorro	7	10.9%	57	89.1%	64	3	25.0%	9	75.0%	12	99	39.1%	154	60.9%	253	109	33.1%	220	66.9%	329
Taos	15	7.2%	194	92.8%	209	2	11.8%	15	88.2%	17	87	21.3%	321	78.7%	408	104	16.4%	530	83.6%	634
Torrance	30	22.2%	105	77.8%	135	0	0.0%	9	100.0%	9	59	23.0%	198	77.0%	257	89	22.2%	312	77.8%	401
Union	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3	11	44.0%	14	56.0%	25	22	53.7%	19	46.3%	41
Valencia	147	38.2%	238	61.8%	385	8	33.3%	16	66.7%	24	389	42.1%	535	57.9%	924	544	40.8%	789	59.2%	1,333
State Totals	1,533	17.0%	7,509	83.0%	9,042	173	18.6%	756	81.4%	929	5,040	24.0%	15,993	76.0%	21,033	6,746	21.8%	24,258	78.2%	31,004

Allegation Types by July 2012-June 2013

(Previous table)
Figure 1. Allegation Types by County July 2012-June 2013:
This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) broken out by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county.
Source: Sm06a01c

(Graphs on this page)
Figure 2. Total Allegations: This graph illustrates the different
types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect), from FY09 to FY13. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 3. Unsubstantiated Allegations: This graph illustrates the
different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect). Data is only shown for unsubstantiated allegations. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 4. Substantiated Allegations: This graph illustrates the
different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect). Data is only shown for substantiated allegations. Source: Sm06a01c


Figure 2. Total Allegations

Figure 4. Substantiated Allegations

Figure 3. Unsubstantiated Allegations

PERMANENCY

Foster Care
Reunification
Re-entering
**Placement Stability**
Adoptions

Children in Foster Care

Figure 1. Average Number of Children in Care: This table illustrates the average number of children in care by type from FY10 through FY13. The numbers displayed are 12-month averages. Source: Desktop Report Charts

Figure 2. Children in Care by Month FY10-FY13: This chart shows children in care by month from FY10-FY13. Note: The colors correspond with the fiscal years noted on the lower left. Source: Desktop Report Charts

Figure 1. Average Number of Children in Care				
	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
Foster Care	729.3	776.1	840.7	887.6
Foster Care Relative	338.5	327.5	334.1	381.9
Pre-Adoption	152.6	111.3	112.5	83.3
Pre-Adoption Relative	71.0	66.9	44.7	36.4
Special Arranged/DD	31.6	32.8	32.3	46
Treatment Foster Care	272.9	233.6	237.6	218.5
Treatment Foster Care Relative	11.7	13.7	16.6	13
Institutional Care	33.6	37.9	34.7	38.6
Group Home	20.3	19.9	21.0	25.2
Residential Treatment Care	47.6	57.9	30.3	35.3
SIL under 18	28.3	19.0	11.6	13.3
Total	**1737.3**	**1696.6**	**1715.9**	**1779.2**

Region 2. Children in Care by Month FY10 – FY13

|	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
SFY 10	1,827	1,796	1,697	1,796	1,792	1,715	1,697	1,677	1,717	1,746	1,703	1,685
SFY 11	1,657	1,719	1,688	1,704	1,711	1,660	1,660	1,676	1,703	1,736	1,721	1,724
SFY 12	1,751	1,720	1,732	1,710	1,709	1,668	1,681	1,693	1,721	1,745	1,733	1,728
SFY13	1752	1736	1737	1776	1757	1727	1739	1795	1824	1822	1840	1845

Reunification

Figure 1. Percent of children reunified with their natural families in less than 12 months of entry into care: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop and utilize program strategies which identify factors which contribute to the timely and appropriate return of children to their homes.

Re-entry

Figure 2. Percent of re-entering foster care in less than 12 months: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop and utilize program strategies which identify factors which contribute to the timely and appropriate return of children to their homes without increasing reentry into foster care.

Placement Stability

Figure 3. Percent of children in foster care for up to 12 months with no more than two placement settings: This line graph illustrates a strategic planning measure: Continue to develop, upgrade and utilize program strategies that identify and address factors that contribute to the stability of children in out-of-home placements.

Figure 1. Percent of children reunified with their natural families in less than 12 months of entry into care

Figure 3. Percent of children in foster care for up to 12 months with no more than two placement settings

Figure 2. Percent of children re-entering foster care in less than 12 months

Adoptions

Figure 1. Race of Children with a Ordered Goal of Adoption July 2012-June 2013: This bar chart contains data on the children with a plan of adoption. The percentages represent the distribution of the children by race.   Source: Sm0904

Figure 2. Adoption Goals July 2012-June2013: This table displays the number of children with a plan of adoption. It also shows the number of children freed and waiting broken out by category: (freed and waiting in a pre-adoption placement, freed and waiting without a pre-adoption placement).   Source: Sm0904

Figure 3. Gender of Children with a Ordered Goal of Adoption July 2012-June 2013: This pie chart contains data on the children with a plan of adoption. The percentages represent the distribution of the children by gender.   Source: Sm0904

Figure 4. Age Range of Children with a Ordered Goal of Adoption July 2012-June 2013: This bar chart contains data on the children with a plan of adoption. The percentages represent the distribution of the children by age range.   Source: Sm0904

Figure 1. Race of Children with a Ordered Goal of Adoption July 2012 - June 2013

Figure 2. Adoption Goals July 2012—June 2013	
Recommended Goal of Adoption	1,197
Ordered Goal of Adoption	1,125

Figure 4. Age Range of Children with a Ordered Goal of Adoption July 2012-June 2013

Figure 3. Gender of Children with a Ordered Goal of Adoption July 2012-June 2013

360
YEARLY
REGIONAL REPORTS

REGION 1, Northwest NM

Figure 1. Region 1—Protective Services Reports of Abuse and   Neglect: This line graph shows the percentage of accepted reports of abuse and neglect out of the total number of reports received. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 2. Region 1—Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and   Neglect: This table shows the number and percentage of accepted and non accepted reports.   Source: Sm01a10

Figure 3. Region 1—Protective Services Investigations: This table shows the number and percentage of substantiated and unsubstantiated investigations. It also contains data on the total number of child victims. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 1. Region 1 - Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 3. Region 1-Protective Services Investigations								
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	County % of State Total Investigations	Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
County	#	%	#	%	#			
Cibola	21	41.2%	30	58.8%	51	1.1%	36	5.8
McKinley	13	21.0%	49	79.0%	62	1.3%	20	2.6
San Juan	99	26.4%	276	73.6%	375	7.8%	164	3.5
Sandoval	28	12.7%	192	87.3%	220	4.6%	43	1.3
Torrance	15	27.3%	40	72.7%	55	1.1%	22	5.7
Valencia	88	44.9%	108	55.1%	196	4.1%	156	6.0
Region Totals	264	27.5%	695	72.5%	959	19.9%	441	3.3
State Totals	1,243	25.8%	3,571	74.2%	4,814	100.0%	2,052	3.3

Figure 2. Region 1-Protective Services Reports Of Abuse And Neglect						
	Accepted		Not Accepted		Total	County % of State Total Reports
County	#	%	#	%	#	
Cibola	191	55.5%	153	44.5%	344	1.0%
McKinley	348	49.4%	357	50.6%	705	2.1%
San Juan	1,107	57.6%	816	42.4%	1,923	5.8%
Sandoval	788	51.8%	733	48.2%	1,521	4.6%
Torrance	172	53.9%	147	46.1%	319	1.0%
Valencia	677	53.3%	593	46.7%	1,270	3.9%
Region Totals	3,283	54.0%	2,799	46.0%	6,082	18.5%
State Totals	18,197	55.3%	14,733	44.7%	32,930	100.0%

Figure 1. Region 1—Percent of Substantiated Investigations: This graph shows the percentage of total investigations that were substantiated from FY09 through FY13. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Region 1—Protective Services Allegations by Type: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) displayed by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 1. Region 1 - Percent of Substantiated Investigations

	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
	26.7%	25.9%	25.2%	29.1%	29.3%

Figure 2. Region 1-Protective Services Allegation Types

County	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		
	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total
Cibola	19	22.1%	67	77.9%	86	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4	76	33.0%	154	67.0%	230	67	29.7%	154	70.3%	225
McKinley	33	22.8%	112	77.2%	145	1	7.1%	13	92.9%	14	123	33.1%	249	66.9%	372	112	29.6%	249	70.4%	374
San Juan	139	23.7%	447	76.3%	586	7	13.2%	46	86.8%	53	322	25.6%	934	74.4%	1,256	447	24.7%	934	75.3%	1,427
Sandoval	83	14.1%	505	85.9%	588	9	18.4%	40	81.6%	49	163	15.7%	876	84.3%	1,039	505	15.2%	876	84.8%	1,421
Torrance	30	22.2%	105	77.8%	135	0	0.0%	9	100.0%	9	59	23.0%	198	77.0%	257	105	22.2%	198	77.8%	312
Valencia	147	38.2%	238	61.8%	385	8	33.3%	16	66.7%	24	389	42.1%	535	57.9%	924	238	40.8%	535	59.2%	789
Region Totals	451	23.4%	1,474	76.6%	1,925	25	16.3%	128	83.7%	153	1,132	27.8%	2,946	72.2%	4,078	1,474	26.1%	2,946	73.9%	4,548
State Totals	1,533	17.0%	7,509	83.0%	9,042	173	18.6%	756	81.4%	929	5,040	24.0%	15,993	76.0%	21,033	7,509	21.8%	15,993	78.2%	24,258

REGION 2, Northeast NM

Figure 1. Region 2—Protective Services Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This line graph shows the percentage of accepted reports of abuse and neglect out of the total number of reports received. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 2. Region 2—Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This table shows the number and percentage of accepted and non accepted reports. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 3. Region 2—Protective Services Investigations: This table shows the number and percentage of substantiated and unsubstantiated investigations. It also contains data on the total number of child victims. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 1. Region 2 - Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect

	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	County % of State Total Investigations	Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
County	#	%	#	%	#			
Colfax	43	59.7	29	40.3%	72	1.5%	73	10.1
Guadalupe	2	100.0	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	5	0.9
Los Alamos	2	28.6	5	71.4%	7	0.1%	4	0.0
Mora	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Rio Arriba	33	31.1	73	68.9%	106	2.2%	42	4.2
San Miguel	29	32.2	61	67.8%	90	1.9%	56	8.3
Santa Fe	63	24.9	190	75.1%	253	5.3%	98	2.8
Taos	28	29.5	67	70.5%	95	2.0%	57	4.3
Union	5	71.4	2	28.6%	7	0.1%	14	3.9
Region To-	205	32.4	427	67.6%	632	13.1%	349	3.8
State Totals	1,243	25.8	3,5	74.2%	4,814	100.0%	2,052	3.3

Figure 3. Region 2-Protective Services Investigations

	Accepted		Not Accepted		Total	County % of State Total Reports
County	#	%	#	%	#	
Colfax	191	57.7%	140	42.3%	331	1.0%
Guadalupe	44	45.4%	53	54.6%	97	0.3%
Los Alamos	65	52.4%	59	47.6%	124	0.4%
Mora	31	58.5%	22	41.5%	53	0.2%
Rio Arriba	337	54.4%	282	45.6%	619	1.9%
San Miguel	265	51.8%	247	48.2%	512	1.6%
Santa Fe	946	55.7%	751	44.3%	1,697	5.2%
Taos	315	55.6%	252	44.4%	567	1.7%
Union	43	53.1%	38	46.9%	81	0.2%
Region Totals	2,237	54.8%	1,844	45.2%	4,081	12.4%
State Totals	18,197	55.3%	14,733	44.7%	32,930	100.0%

Figure 2. Region 2-Protective Services Reports Of Abuse And Neglect

Figure 1. Region 2—Percent of Substantiated Investigations: This graph shows the percentage of total investigations that were substantiated from FY09 through FY13. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Region 2—Protective Services Allegations by Type: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) displayed by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 1. Region 2 - Percent of Substantiated Investigations

Figure 2. Region 2-Protective Services Allegation Types																			
	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		
County	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total
Colfax	49	40.2%	73	59.8%	122	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	11	140	48.4%	149	51.6%	289	192	45.5%	230	54.5%	422
Guadalupe	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	16	9	40.9%	13	59.1%	22
Los Alamos	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	7	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	2	11.8%	15	88.2%	17	2	8.3%	22	91.7%	24
Mora	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Rio Arriba	50	25.8%	144	74.2%	194	8	36.4%	14	63.6%	22	167	33.1%	337	66.9%	504	225	31.3%	495	68.8%	720
San Miguel	39	25.0%	117	75.0%	156	5	17.9%	23	82.1%	28	130	32.3%	273	67.7%	403	174	29.6%	413	70.4%	587
Santa Fe	92	21.3%	339	78.7%	431	9	20.0%	36	80.0%	45	215	23.4%	703	76.6%	918	316	22.7%	1,078	77.3%	1,394
Taos	15	7.2%	194	92.8%	209	2	11.8%	15	88.2%	17	87	21.3%	321	78.7%	408	104	16.4%	530	83.6%	634
Union	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3	11	44.0%	14	56.0%	25	22	53.7%	19	46.3%	41
Region Totals	257	22.6%	880	77.4%	1,137	27	21.3%	100	78.7%	127	760	29.5%	1,820	70.5%	2,580	1,044	27.2%	2,800	72.8%	3,844
State Totals	1,533	17.0%	7,509	83.0%	9,042	173	18.6%	756	81.4%	929	5,040	24.0%	15,993	76.0%	21,033	6,746	21.8%	24,258	78.2%	31,004

REGION 3, Metro NM

Figure 1. Region 3—Protective Services Reports of Abuse and   Neglect: This line graph shows the percentage of accepted reports of abuse and neglect out of the total number of reports received. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 2. Region 3—Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and   Neglect: This table shows the number and percentage of accepted and non accepted reports. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 3. Region 3—Protective Services Investigations: This table shows the number and percentage of substantiated and unsubstantiated investigations. It also contains data on the total number of child victims. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 1. Region 3 - Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect

	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
	43.9%	54.2%	52.5%	48.9%	53.8%

Figure 3. Region 3-Protective Services Investigations

County	Substantiated #	Substantiated %	Unsubstantiated #	Unsubstantiated %	Total #	County % of State Total Investigations %
Bernalillo East	152	22.9%	513	77.1%	665	13.8%
Bernalillo West	126	18.9%	541	81.1%	667	13.9%
Region Totals	278	20.9%	1,054	79.1%	1,332	27.7%
State Totals	1,243	25.8%	3,571	74.2%	4,814	100.0%

Figure 2. Region 3-Protective Services Reports Of Abuse And Neglect

County	Accepted #	Accepted %	Not Accepted #	Not Accepted %	Total #	County % of State Total Reports
Bernalillo	5,704	53.8%	4,901	46.2%	10,605	32.2%
Region Totals	5,704	53.8%	4,901	46.2%	10,605	32.2%
State Totals	18,197	55.3%	14,733	44.7%	32,930	100.0%

Figure 1. Region 3—Percent of Substantiated Investigations: This graph shows the percentage of total investigations that were substantiated from FY09 through FY13. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Region 3-Protective Services Victims: This table illustrates the number of victims of child abuse. Source: sm06a01c

Figure 3. Region 3—Protective Services Allegations by Type: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) broken out by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county.
Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 1. Region 3 - Percent of Substantiated Investigations

Figure 2. Region 3-Protective Services Victims		
County	Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
Bernalillo	427	2.1
Region Totals	427	2.1
State Totals	2,052	3.3

Figure 3. Region 3-Protective Services Allegation Types																				
	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		
County	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total
Bernalillo East	186	14.2%	1,127	85.8%	1,313	23	20.7%	88	79.3%	111	552	18.9%	2,363	81.1%	2,915	761	17.5%	3,578	82.5%	4,339
Bernalillo West	159	10.5%	1,360	89.5%	1,519	34	18.5%	150	81.5%	184	570	17.1%	2,768	82.9%	3,338	763	15.1%	4,278	84.9%	5,041
Region Total	345	12.2%	2,487	87.8%	2,832	57	19.3%	238	80.7%	295	1,122	17.9%	5,131	82.1%	6,253	1,524	16.2%	7,856	83.8%	9,380
State Totals	1,533	17.0%	7,509	83.0%	9,042	173	18.6%	756	81.4%	929	5,040	24.0%	15,993	76.0%	21,033	6,746	21.8%	24,258	78.2%	31,004

REGION 4, Southeast NM

Figure 1. Region 4—Protective Services Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This line graph shows the percentage of accepted reports of abuse and neglect out of the total number of reports received. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 2. Region 4—Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This table shows the number and percentage of accepted and non accepted reports. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 3. Region 4—Protective Services Investigations: This table shows the number and percentage of substantiated and unsubstantiated investigations. It also contains data on the total number of child victims. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 1. Region 4 - Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect

Figure 3. Region 4-Protective Services Investigations								
County	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	County % of State Total Investigations	Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
	#	%	#	%	#			
Chaves	34	28.6%	85	71.4%	119	2.5%	53	3.3
Curry	58	42.3%	79	57.7%	137	2.8%	98	10.0
De Baca	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3	0.1%	4	0.0
Eddy	55	27.1%	148	72.9%	203	4.2%	93	3.7
Harding	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Lea	38	31.9%	81	68.1%	119	2.5%	68	3.0
Quay	13	27.1%	35	72.9%	48	1.0%	24	8.7
Roosevelt	19	31.7%	41	68.3%	60	1.2%	47	3.7
Region Totals	219	31.8%	470	68.2%	689	14.3%	387	4.7
State Totals	1,243	25.8%	3,571	74.2%	4,814	100.0%	2,052	3.3

Figure 2. Region 4-Protective Services Reports Of Abuse And Neglect						
	Accepted		Not Accepted		Total	County % of State Total Reports
County	#	%	#	%	#	
Chaves	739	58.9%	516	41.1%	1,255	3.8%
Curry	561	62.6%	335	37.4%	896	2.7%
De Baca	19	67.9%	9	32.1%	28	0.1%
Eddy	669	62.6%	400	37.4%	1,069	3.2%
Harding	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	9	0.0%
Lea	595	58.9%	415	41.1%	1,010	3.1%
Quay	127	57.2%	95	42.8%	222	0.7%
Roosevelt	184	58.8%	129	41.2%	313	1.0%
Region Totals	2,899	60.4%	1,903	39.6%	4,802	14.6%
State Totals	18,197	55.3%	14,733	44.7%	32,930	100.0%

Figure 1. Region 4—Percent of Substantiated Investigations: This graph shows the percentage of total investigations that were substantiated from FY09 through FY13. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Region 4—Protective Services Allegations by Type: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) displayed by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 1. Region 4 - Percent of Substantiated Investigations

	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		
County	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total
Chaves	37	10.1%	330	89.9%	367	8	25.0%	24	75.0%	32	227	21.7%	817	78.3%	1,044	272	18.8%	1,171	81.2%	1,443
Curry	68	20.3%	267	79.7%	335	6	21.4%	22	78.6%	28	319	41.6%	448	58.4%	767	393	34.8%	737	65.2%	1,130
De Baca	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	7	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8	6	40.0%	9	60.0%	15
Eddy	33	17.3%	158	82.7%	191	8	36.4%	14	63.6%	22	141	22.5%	485	77.5%	626	182	21.7%	657	78.3%	839
Harding	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Lea	59	27.3%	157	72.7%	216	7	25.0%	21	75.0%	28	244	40.2%	363	59.8%	607	310	36.4%	541	63.6%	851
Quay	10	22.7%	34	77.3%	44	5	33.3%	10	66.7%	15	41	26.6%	113	73.4%	154	56	26.3%	157	73.7%	213
Roosevelt	22	25.6%	64	74.4%	86	0	0.0%	14	100.0%	14	60	27.3%	160	72.7%	220	82	25.6%	238	74.4%	320
Region	230	18.5%	1,016	81.5%	1,246	34	24.5%	105	75.5%	139	1,037	30.3%	2,389	69.7%	3,426	1,301	27.0%	3,510	73.0%	4,811
State Totals	1,533	17.0%	7,509	83.0%	9,042	173	18.6%	756	81.4%	929	5,040	24.0%	15,993	76.0%	21,033	6,746	21.8%	24,258	78.2%	31,004

Figure 2. Region 4-Protective Services Allegation Types

REGION 5, Southwest NM

Figure 1. Region 5—Protective Services Reports of Abuse and   Neglect: This line graph shows the percentage of accepted reports of abuse and neglect out of the total number of reports received. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 2. Region 5—Percent of Accepted Reports of Abuse and   Neglect: This table shows the number and percentage of accepted and non accepted reports. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 3. Region 5—Protective Services Investigations: This table shows the number and percentage of substantiated and unsubstantiated investigations. It also contains data on the total number of child victims. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 1. Region 5 - Accepted Reports of Abuse and Neglect

	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		Total	County % of State Total Investigations	Number of Substantiated Child Victims	Victim Rate per 1,000
County	#	%	#	%	#			
Catron	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0
Dona Ana	131	18.8%	564	81.2%	695	14.4%	211	2.8
Grant	24	36.9%	41	63.1%	65	1.4%	43	1.7
Hidalgo	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	0.2%	5	0.7
Lincoln	24	45.3%	29	54.7%	53	1.1%	34	6.8
Luna	38	29.9%	89	70.1%	127	2.6%	63	6.4
Otero	20	13.2%	131	86.8%	151	3.1%	34	4.8
Sierra	17	32.7%	35	67.3%	52	1.1%	24	16.5
Socorro	20	41.7%	28	58.3%	48	1.0%	34	6.0
Region Totals	277	23.1%	924	76.9%	1,201	24.9%	448	3.8
State Totals	1,243	25.8%	3,571	74.2%	4,814	100.0%	2,052	3.3

Figure 3. Region 5-Protective Services Investigations

	Accepted		Not Accepted		Total	County % of State Total Reports
County	#	%	#	%	#	
Catron	16	48.5%	17	51.5%	33	0.1%
Doña Ana	2,149	54.6%	1,789	45.4%	3,938	12.0%
Grant	378	55.2%	307	44.8%	685	2.1%
Hidalgo	78	47.3%	87	52.7%	165	0.5%
Lincoln	242	61.0%	155	39.0%	397	1.2%
Luna	274	58.2%	197	41.8%	471	1.4%
Otero	520	55.4%	418	44.6%	938	2.8%
Sierra	180	62.9%	106	37.1%	286	0.9%
Socorro	221	63.1%	129	36.9%	350	1.1%
Region Totals	4,058	%	3,205	%	7,263	%
State Totals	18,197	55.3%	14,733	44.7%	32,930	100.0%

Figure 2. Region 5-Protective Services Reports Of Abuse And Neglect

Figure 1. Region 5—Percent of Substantiated Investigations: This graph shows the percentage of total investigations that were substantiated from FY09 through FY13. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Region 5—Protective Services Allegations by Type: This chart illustrates the different types of allegations (physical abuse, sexual abuse, physical neglect) displayed by investigation results (substantiated or unsubstantiated). The data is displayed by county. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 1. Region 5 - Percent of Substantiated Investigations

	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13
	23.5%	21.8%	21.5%	20.6%	22.8%

Figure 2. Region 5-Protective Services Allegation Types

	Physical Abuse					Sexual Abuse					Physical Neglect					Total Allegation Types				
	Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated			Substantiated		Unsubstantiated		
County	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total	#	%	#	%	Total
Catron	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0
Dona Ana	119	11.1%	949	88.9%	1,068	14	13.0%	94	87.0%	108	471	19.0%	2,008	81.0%	2,479	604	16.5%	3,051	83.5%	3,655
Grant	24	22.0%	85	78.0%	109	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	10	69	26.3%	193	73.7%	262	93	24.4%	288	75.6%	381
Hidalgo	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	4	13.3%	26	86.7%	30	7	17.1%	34	82.9%	41
Lincoln	17	18.5%	75	81.5%	92	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9	75	25.0%	225	75.0%	300	93	23.2%	308	76.8%	401
Luna	34	24.6%	104	75.4%	138	6	30.0%	14	70.0%	20	104	28.4%	262	71.6%	366	144	27.5%	380	72.5%	524
Otero	30	8.8%	311	91.2%	341	4	9.5%	38	90.5%	42	106	13.4%	688	86.6%	794	140	11.9%	1,037	88.1%	1,177
Sierra	16	20.3%	63	79.7%	79	2	15.4%	11	84.6%	13	61	28.8%	151	71.2%	212	79	26.0%	225	74.0%	304
Socorro	7	10.9%	57	89.1%	64	3	25.0%	9	75.0%	12	99	39.1%	154	60.9%	253	109	33.1%	220	66.9%	329
Region Total	250	13.2%	1,651	86.8%	1,901	30	14.0%	185	86.0%	215	989	21.1%	3,707	78.9%	4,696	1,269	18.6%	5,543	81.4%	6,812
State Totals	1,533	17.0%	7,509	83.0%	9,042	173	18.6%	759	81.4%	932	5,040	24.0%	15,993	76.0%	21,033	6,746	21.8%	24,261	78.2%	31,007

REGIONAL COMPARISON-PERCENTAGE OF STATEWIDE TOTALS

Figure 1. Protective Services Reports of Abuse and Neglect: This bar chart compares the different regions on reports of abuse and neglect that were accepted. This data is for FY13. Source: Sm01a10

Figure 2. CPS Total Investigations: This bar chart compares the different regions on investigations conducted. This data is for FY13. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 3. Total Substantiated Investigations: This bar chart compares the different regions and state on substantiated investigations. This data is for FY13. Source: Sm06a01c

Notes:
[1]This chart depicts each Region's relative proportion of the State's total of PS Reports of Abuse & Neglect and does not equal 100%, due to rounding.

[2]This chart depicts each Region's relative proportion of the State's total of PS Total Investigations and does not equal 100%, due to rounding.

[3]For each Region the chart depicts the proportion of substantiated investigations within the Region. For comparison with the Regions, the state proportion is provided.


Figure 1. CPS Reports of Abuse and Neglect[1]

Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5
18.5%	12.4%	32.3%	14.6%	22.1%

Figure 3. Total Substantiated Investigations[3]

Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Statewide
29.3%	31.6%	19.3%	29.9%	22.8%	25.0%

Figure 2. CPS Total Investigations[2]

| Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5
18.8%	11.8%	31.4%	15.2%	22.7%

REGIONAL COMPARISON-PERCENTAGE OF STATEWIDE TOTALS

Figure 1. Substantiated Sexual Abuse: This bar chart compares the regions and state on the number of substantiated investigations with sexual abuse allegations. This data is for FY13. Source: Sm06a01c

Figure 2. Substantiated Physical Abuse: This bar chart compares the regions and state on the number of substantiated investigations with physical abuse allegations. This data is for FY13. Source: Sm06a01c

Notes: The data provided for each Region in these charts depict the applicable proportion of substantiated investigations by allegation type within the Region. Thus, these charts provide comparisons both among the Regions and also provides the overall New Mexico proportion of substantiated investigations by allegation type. This will facilitate comparison between regional and statewide results. *Source: Sm06a01c*

Figure 3. Substantiated Physical Neglect: This bar chart compares the regions and state on the number of substantiated investigations with physical neglect allegations. This data is for FY13. Source: Sm06a01cu

Figure 1. Substantiated Sexual Abuse[1]

Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Statewide
16.0%	21.1%	19.7%	24.3%	14.0%	18.6%

Figure 3. Substantiated Physical Neglect[1]

Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Statewide
27.8%	29.4%	17.9%	30.3%	21.0%	24.0%

Figure 2. Substantiated Physical Abuse[1]

Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	Region 4	Region 5	Statewide
26.9%	22.6%	12.1%	18.4%	13.2%	17.0%

360
YEARLY

State Fiscal Year 2013
New Mexico
Children, Youth and
Families Department
Protective Services